

# 2025-26 School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP)

District	School Name	Principal Name	Grades Served	Accountability Model
Syracuse City	Roberts PreK-8	Dr. Sharon Archer	Prek-8	CSI

- ✓ **Principal Commitment:** This plan provides focus and urgency to significantly improve student outcomes, especially for our diverse learners. Per agreement with the Syracuse Teachers Association, the School Leadership Team is responsible for developing, implementing, and monitoring this plan. As approved by the SLT, this plan was collaboratively developed by the SCEP Development Team members identified below. As the school's leader, I commit to 1) pursuing the identified goals, commitments, and strategies, 2) monitoring progress, and 3) adjusting the plan based on ongoing monitoring.
- ✓ Assistant Superintendent has reviewed this plan and will partner with the school to ensure its execution.
- ✓ District has approved this plan and will partner with the school to ensure its execution.
- ✓ Civic Empowerment Project identified: Monthly School Focus Groups

	SCEP DEVELOPMENT TEAM				
	Name	Title / Role			
1	Dr. Sharon Archer	Principal			
2	Lindsay Thompson	Vice principal			
3	Jenniffer Benedetto	Vice principal			
4	Kelly Wolfram	Instructional Coach			
5	Christopher Constantino	IB Coordinator			
6	Lacey Dowd	IB Coordinator			
7	Phillp Madvek	8 <sup>th</sup> grade Science			
8	Alicia Whitmore	DEB Lead/8 <sup>th</sup> grade Social Studies			
9	Annmarie Jones	AIS/ STA building rep			
10	Marissa Mims	CTE/ DEB Lead			
11	Cindi Metallo	AIS			
12	Kathy Doner	6 <sup>th</sup> grade ELA			
13	Amy Phinney	Social Worker			
14	Leigh Sexton	Social Worker			
15	Erin Earnestine	Social Work Assistant			
16	Zona Williams	Parent			
17	Candi Edwards	Parent			
18	Alea Amyot	Kindergarten CT			
19	Michelle Terpening	3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade			

### 2025-26 SCEP Guidance

Link To Your School's 2025-26 Professional	SY2526 PD Plan [Roberts].xlsx
Development Plan	

## **Table of Contents – Bookmark Links**

## **Overview Page**

- Year End Goals
- District Commitments
- Key Strategies

### **Academic Commitment #1**

- Strategy 1
- Strategy 2

### **Academic Commitment #2**

- Strategy 3
- Strategy 4

### **Attendance Commitment**

Strategy 5

## **Student Supports Commitment**

Strategy 6

**End of Year Survey** 

**Evidence-Based Intervention** 

**SCEP Development Team Participation** 

**Learning As A Team** 

**SIG Expenditure Plan (CSI, ATSI,TSI Only)** 

#### **OVERVIEW PAGE**

	Year-End Goals				
	Accountability	Specific Year-End Goals			
	Area	Identify at least one goal for each accountability area.			
1	ELA				
2	Math	Goals will be			
3	Attendance	developed after all 2024-25 data are			
4	ELP / Other	available			
5	Graduation Rate / Other / Optional				

	Commitments		
1	Academic	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners,	
		have the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond.	
2	Academic	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners,	
		have the numeracy and literacy skills to prepare them for any path they choose.	
3	Attendance	This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend	
		school daily.	
4	Student	This school is committed to aligning and maximizing resources to serve and impact each	
	Supports	student's needs.	

### School Identified Key Strategies (Maximum of 6)

**Directions:** Use the school's needs assessment results to identify strategies from each drop-down menu that the school will prioritize. Refer to the Strategy Companion Guide for additional guidance on each strategy. Note, this means **a maximum of six strategies** across the four commitments. Confirm whether the strategy is "new" (N) – "expanded" (E) – "refined (R)."

	Commitments		Key Strategies (Refer to Strategy Companion Guide)	
1	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have	1	2) Accountable Talk: Using Tools to Plan	E
	the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond.	2	IB Primary Years Programme & Middle Years Programme (IB PYP MYP)	N
2	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have	3	1) PLC: Implementing Essential Structures	E
	the numeracy and literacy skills to prepare them for any path they choose.	4	Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	N
3	This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend school daily.	5	Implementing an Effective Attendance Team	E
4	This school is committed to aligning and maximizing resources to serve and impact each student's needs.	6	Social Emotional Learning	N

Key Strategy 1:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Using Tools to plan	[Roberts].xlsx	Benedetto

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Based on a district-wide assessment, *Accountable Talk* was identified as a district priority. Therefore, all schools are expected to implement this strategy.

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that accountable talk was observed in walkthroughs 41% of the time. This year we are expanding this strategy to continue PD on accountable talk that directly develops rigorous thinking and discourse.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Revisit and utilize the common district vocabulary, resources to tools around accountable talk	August, 28 2025	Benedetto	PD People Budget- EOS/SIG	
Prioritize areas of focus and set year-long implementation goals for improving Accountable Talk	August 28, 2025	Benedetto	People time	
Use district provided tools to collect and analyze schoolwide baseline data to identify assets and areas of focus aligned to Accountable Talk	September 3, 2025	Benedetto	People time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data					
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:			
10/31	100% of teachers engage in accountable talk (AT) launch professional development for 25-26	100% of staff engaged in BOY training				
10/31	100% of teachers complete AT bridge to practice	100% of teachers complete bridge to practice				
10/31	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits reflects growing trend of opportunities to engage in sense – making discussion	40% of students engaged in accountable talk				

Notes/Reflections/P	Potential Adjustment	s to Inform November – I	December Implementation Plar
---------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------

Key Strategy 1:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Using Tools to plan	[Roberts].xlsx	Benedetto

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that accountable talk was observed in walkthroughs 41% of the time. This year we are expanding this strategy to continue PD on accountable talk that directly develops rigorous thinking and discourse.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Identify, plan for, and protect time for teachers to collaborate and co-plan the integration of Accountable Talk (at least three times a month)	November 1, 2025	Benedetto	People Time	
Use District Provided Tools to collect and analyze school wide data	November 1, 2025	Benedetto	People Time	
Utilize district walkthrough tool to measure student engagement in accountable talk.	November 1, 2025	Benedetto	People Time District tool	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
		Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
12/ 2025	Walkthrough data collected across	Data reflects a growing trend of lesson			
	multiple classroom visits	objectives and texts and tasks that			
		offer opportunities for rigorous sense-			
		making. (60%)			
		(Process/Implementation)			
12/2025	Supported planning with a coach	100% of teachers engaged in			
	utilizing the AT Action Tool at least 1	supportive planning based on PLC			
	time per week	minutes and notes uploaded in			
	(Process/Implementation)	shared drive			
12/2025	Walkthrough data indicates increase	55% of students engaged in			
	in participation and engagement in accountable talk	accountable talk			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan			

Key Strategy 1:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Using Tools to plan	[Roberts].xlsx	Benedetto

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that accountable talk was observed in walkthroughs 41% of the time. This year we are expanding this strategy to continue PD on accountable talk that directly develops rigorous thinking and discourse.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Analyze walkthrough data and identify next steps to support teachers	March 1, 2025	Benedetto	PD micro sessions	
Turnkey district micro session PD	January 15, 2025	Benedetto	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)					
	Outcome Data					
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:			
March 2025	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits reflects a growing trend of lesson objectives and texts and tasks that offer opportunities for rigorous sense-making.  (Process/Implementation	70% of students engaged in accountable talk				
March 2025	100% of teachers engaged in supportive planning with a coach utilizing the AT Action Tool at least 3 times per week (Process/Implementation)	Accountable Talk evident in collaborative plans. This will be measured by agenda, planning notes uploaded in PLC folders				

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan			

Key Strategy 1:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Using Tools to plan	[Roberts].xlsx	Benedetto

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that accountable talk was observed in walkthroughs 41% of the time. This year we are expanding this strategy to continue PD on accountable talk that directly develops rigorous thinking and discourse.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Analyze walkthrough data and identify next steps to support teachers	May 1, 2026	Benedetto	People time	
Turnkey district micro session PD	May 1, 2026	Benedetto	People Time	
Complete Envision & needs Assessment to evaluate accountable implementation to select appropriate next steps	June 1, 2026	Benedetto	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)					
	Outcome Data					
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:			
5/31/26	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits )	Data reflects a growing trend of lesson objectives and texts and tasks that offer opportunities for rigorous sensemaking. (85%) (Process/Implementation				
5/31/26	Supported planning with a coach utilizing the AT Action Tool at least 1 time per week (Process/Implementation)	Accountable Talk evident in collaborative plans.				
5/31/26	Walkthrough data indicates increase in participation and engagement in accountable talk	85% of students engaged in accountable talk				

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning			

Key Strategy 2:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
IB Primary Years Programme & Middle Years	[Roberts].xlsx	Dowd

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know. IB supports both of these root causes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Revise and publish IB policies and classroom evidence checklist Establish expectations for using Learning Goals and Success Criteria in ELA.	August 15, 2025	Dowd	People Time EOS budget	
Schedule PD for learner profile and classroom evidence and communicate minimum expectations for classroom agreements and norms.	September 1, 2025	Dowd	People Time EOS budget	
Approaches to Learning skills are posted, named, and visible	September 1, 2025	Dowd	People Time EOS budget	
Integrate monthly learner profile into CREW/ Second Step lessons	September 1, 2025	Dowd	People Time EOS budget	

PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data				
Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see? What we actually saw:				
9/4/2025	BOY classroom set up checklist data	100% of classrooms have required elements		
9/1/25	Revised policies shared with staff and published on district website	Updated policies loaded onto website		
9/1/25	Opening days PD schedule	Opening days agenda includes IB indicators		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 2:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
IB Primary Years Programme & Middle Years	[Roberts].xlsx	Dowd

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know. IB supports both of these root causes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Continuation of using Learning Goals and Success Criteria in ELA and progression through IB checklist	11/1/25	Dowd	People Time	
Evidence of feedback that is explicitly tied to Learning Goal(s) is displayed and/or documented within classrooms	12/1/25	Dowd	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER) Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
11/1/25	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits reflects a growing trend of transparency of Learning Goals and Success Criteria	During walkthroughs, we will randomly sample 3 students in the class. We expect 2 out of 3 students to be able to articulate the learning goal and success criteria			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan				

Key Strategy 2:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
IB Primary Years Programme & Middle Years	[Roberts].xlsx	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know. IB supports both of these root causes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)					
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P	
Monitor expectations for using Learning Goals and Success Criteria.	January 1, 2026	Dowd	People Time		
Evidence of feedback that is explicitly tied to Learning Goal(s) is displayed and/or documented.	January 1, 2026	Dowd	People Time		
Analyze student work as evidence of learning goal attainment.	January 1, 2026	Dowd	People Time		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH) Outcome Data				
Date	Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see? What we actually saw:				
3/15/26	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits reflects a growing trend of transparency of Learning Goals and Success Criteria	During walkthroughs, we will randomly sample 4 students in the class. We expect 3 out of 4 students to be able to articulate the learning goal and success criteria			
3/1/26	Data analysis of benchmark assessments (DIBELS, NWEA) compared to 1/2025	80% of students will demonstrate improvement compared to previous benchmark			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan			

Key Strategy 2:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
IB Primary Years Programme & Middle Years	[Roberts].xlsx	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know. IB supports both of these root causes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)					
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P	
Monitor expectations for using Learning Goals and Success Criteria.	April 1, 2026	Dowd	People Time		
Evidence of feedback that is explicitly tied to Learning Goal(s) is displayed and/or documented.	April 1, 2026	Dowd	People Time		
Introduce use of Rubrics, checklists, or feedback tools for utilization in the 2026-27 school year.	June1, 2026	Dowd	People Time		

PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
6/1/26	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits reflects a growing trend of transparency of Learning Goals and Success Criteria	During walkthroughs, we will randomly sample 5 students in the class. We expect 4 out of 5 students to be able to articulate the learning goal and success criteria		
6/1/26	Assessments for student learning.	Rubrics, checklists, or feedback tools in place for the 2026-27 school year.		
6/1/26	Attain PYP accreditation	January 2026 accreditation		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning			

Key Strategy 3:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
PLC: Implementing Essential Structures	[Roberts].xlsx	Wolfram

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learnwhat was taught.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that only 2 PLTs successfully and regularly completed the 15-day learning cycle. This year we are refining this strategy to continue PLC work to support teachers in knowing what they want students to learn, how will they know they have learned it, what to do next if they did learn in and what to do if they did not. While we attempted to support PLC in 2024-25, our walkthrough data of 41% confirms our approach must be revised/adjusted. Therefore, for 2025-26, we will implement the actions below to revise/adjust/improve our practices

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Establish PLC expert team and re-establish Guiding Coalition	August 1, 2025	Wolfram	People Time EOS	
Facilitate Teams writing agendas & Common formative assessments	September 1, 2025	Wolfram	People Time EOS	
Establish Teacher-led facilitation of PLC sessions and collaborative PD	September 1, 2025	Wolfram	People Time EOS	
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and PLC data analysis.	Monthly	Wolfram	PLC Time, DIBELS probes	

PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data					
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
10/31	Master schedule has a minimum of 3 days dedicated to PLC	100% of staff engaged in PLC planning 3 days a week			
10/31	100% of teams have established norms, shared agenda, meeting minutes, and clearly defined roles.	Norms, agenda, minutes, and roles in PLC folders			
10/31	PLC teams submit evidence of using common assessments	Common Formative assessment data is analyzed using school tool tracker and is uploaded for grade level folder for ELA, Math, 5 <sup>th</sup> grade science, 8 <sup>th</sup> grade Science			
10/31	Data analysis of benchmark assessments (DIBELS, NWEA) compared to 1/2025	100% of classrooms will meet the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile overall for growth on NWEA for ELA and Math			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Investing time in PLC will increase effectiveness in instructional practice

Key Strategy 3:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
PLC: Implementing Essential Structures	[Roberts].xlsx	Wolfram

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that only 2 PLTs successfully and regularly completed the 15-day learning cycle. This year we are refining this strategy to continue PLC work to support teachers in knowing what they want students to learn, how will they know they have learned it, what to do next if they did learn in and what to do if they did not. While we attempted to support PLC in 2024-25, our walkthrough data of 41% confirms our approach must be revised/adjusted. Therefore, for 2025-26, we will implement the actions below to revise/adjust/improve our practices

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Analyze Common formative assessments	November 1,	Wolfram	People	
	2025		time	
Peer observation, co-planning and shared reflection	November 1,	Wolfram	People	
	2025		time	
Teacher-led facilitation of PLC sessions and	November 1,	Wolfram	People	
collaborative PD	2025		time	
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and PLC data analysis.	Monthly	Wolfram	PLC Time, DIBELS probes	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)					
	Outcome Data					
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:			
12/15/25	Formative Assessment document	100% of teams have formative				
		assessment analysis documentation in				
		folders				
12/15/25	Peer observation schedule	100% of teachers completed peer				
		observation				
12/15/25	Formative Assessment Rubric	60% of teachers meet a score of "3"				
	feedback	on the formative assessment rubric				
12/15/25	Data analysis of benchmark	100% of classrooms will meet the 50 <sup>th</sup>				
	assessments (DIBELS, NWEA)	percentile overall for growth on NWEA				
	compared to 1/2025	for ELA and Math				

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 3:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
PLC: Implementing Essential Structures	[Roberts].xlsx	Wolfram

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that only 2 PLTs successfully and regularly completed the 15-day learning cycle. This year we are refining this strategy to continue PLC work to support teachers in knowing what they want students to learn, how will they know they have learned it, what to do next if they did learn in and what to do if they did not. While we attempted to support PLC in 2024-25, our walkthrough data of 41% confirms our approach must be revised/adjusted. Therefore, for 2025-26, we will implement the actions below to revise/adjust/improve our practices

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Analyze Common formative assessments	January 1, 2026	Wolfram	People time	
Peer observation and classrooms visitation	January 1, 2026	Wolfram	People time	
Teacher-led facilitation of PLC sessions	January1, 2026	Wolfram	People Time	
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and PLC data analysis.	Monthly	Wolfram	PLC Time, DIBELS probes	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)				
		Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
3/15/26	Formative Assessment document	100% of teams have formative			
		assessment analysis documentation in			
		folders			
3/15/26	Peer observation schedule	75% of teachers grew in peer			
		observation rubric feedback			
3/15/26	Formative Assessment Rubric feedback	85% of teachers meet a score of "3"			
		on the formative assessment rubric			
3/15/26	Data analysis of benchmark	100% of classrooms will meet the 50 <sup>th</sup>			
	assessments (DIBELS, NWEA)	percentile overall for growth on NWEA			
	compared to 1/2025	for ELA and Math			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan		

Key Strategy 3:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
PLC: Implementing Essential Structures	[Roberts].xlsx	Wolfram

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that only 2 PLTs successfully and regularly completed the 15-day learning cycle. This year we are refining this strategy to continue PLC work to support teachers in knowing what they want students to learn, how will they know they have learned it, what to do next if they did learn in and what to do if they did not. While we attempted to support PLC in 2024-25, our walkthrough data of 41% confirms our approach must be revised/adjusted. Therefore, for 2025-26, we will implement the actions below to revise/adjust/improve our practices

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Analyze Common Formative Assessments	April 1, 2026	Wolfram	People	
			time	
Peer observation classroom visitation	April 1, 2026	Wolfram	People	
			time	
Teacher-led facilitation of PLC sessions and	April 1, 2026	Wolfram	People	
collaborative PD			time	
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and PLC data analysis.	Monthly	Wolfram	PLC Time, DIBELS probes	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)			
		Outcome Data		
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
6/1/26	Formative Assessment document	100% of teams have formative		
		assessment analysis documentation in		
		folders		
6/1/26	Peer observation schedule	85% of teachers grew in peer		
		observation rubric feedback		
6/15/26	Formative Assessment Rubric feedback	95% of teachers meet a score of "3"		
		on the formative assessment rubric		
6/15/26	Data analysis of benchmark	100% of classrooms will meet the 50 <sup>th</sup>		
	assessments (DIBELS, NWEA)	percentile overall for growth on NWEA		
	compared to 1/2025	for ELA and Math		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning		

Key Strategy 4:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	[Roberts].xlsx	Constantino

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. This is a new key strategy.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)			
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Schedule Formative Assessment PD	August 1, 2025	Constantino	People Time EOS	
Clearly communicate the vision, expectations, and guidelines for Targeted small group instruction	September 1, 2025	Constantino	People Time EOS	
Schedule PD for Differentiated instruction	August 1, 2025	Constantino	People Time EOS	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
10/31/25	Schedule of teacher collaboration time	100% of teachers participated in collaborative planning		
10/31/25	Schedule of Formative Assessment, Targeted small group, and differentiation PD	100% of teachers attend all scheduled PD		
10/31/25	Data analysis of benchmark assessments (DIBELS, NWEA) compared to 6/2025	80% of students will demonstrate improvement compared to previous benchmark Number of Level 1's will decrease 5% in ELA and Math from 6/2025		

### Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Teachers will be establishing systems, routines and procedures at this time to help support regular formative assessment and progress monitoring.

Key Strategy 4:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	[Roberts].xlsx	Constantino

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Develop walkthrough tool with Tier II indicators	11/1/25	Constantino	People Time EOS	
Establish coaching feedback cycles around targeted small group instruction.	11/1/25	Constantino	People Time EOS	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)			
Date	Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see? What we actually saw:			
12/1/25	Schedule of walkthroughs and data	70% of all walkthroughs will indicate	what we actually saw.	
12/1/23	from walkthroughs	effective Tier II intervention		
12/1/25	Targeted small group coaching cycles.	100% completion of targeted small		
		group coaching cycles		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan		

Key Strategy 4:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	[Roberts].xlsx	Constantino

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Revise walkthrough tool with Tier II indicators based on walkthrough data	1/1/26	Constantino	People Time	
Continue coaching feedback cycles around targeted small group instruction.	1/1/26	Constantino	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)			
		Outcome Data		
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
1/1/26	Schedule of walkthroughs and data	85% of all walkthroughs will indicate		
	from walkthroughs	effective Tier II intervention		
3/15/26	100% completion of targeted small	100% completion of targeted small		
	group coaching cycles.	group coaching cycles		
3/15/26	Data analysis of benchmark	80% of students will demonstrate		
	assessments (DIBELS, NWEA)	improvement compared to previous		
	compared to 1/2025	benchmark		
		Number of Level 1's will decrease 5%		
		in ELA and Math from 6/2025		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan	

Key Strategy 4:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	[Roberts].xlsx	Constantino

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Revise walkthrough tool with Tier II indicators based	4/1/26	Constantino	People	
on walkthrough data			time	
Continue coaching feedback cycles around targeted small group instruction.	4/1/26	Constantino	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)				
	Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
6/1/26	Schedule of walkthroughs and data from walkthroughs	95% of all walkthroughs will indicate effective Tier II intervention			
6/1/26	100% completion of targeted small group coaching cycles.	100% completion of targeted small group coaching cycles			
6/1/26	Data analysis of benchmark assessments (DIBELS, NWEA) compared to 1/2025	80% of students will demonstrate improvement compared to previous benchmark			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning				

Key Strategy 5:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Implementing an effective Attendance Team	[Roberts].xlsx	Phinney

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our attendance data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) 32% of students are chronically absent 2)

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р	
Contact all families whose students had poor attendance for the previous year in hopes of determining the reason for the absences.	8/1/25	Phinney	People Time EOS		
Provide teachers with a step-by-step protocol of addressing absences.	8/15/ 2025	Phinney	People Time EOS		
Create and provide a daily report to attendance team of students with names of students who have attendance concerns	September 15, 2025	Phinney	People Time EOS		
Define roles for each team member, including admin directly contacting staff who have not taken attendance	September 1, 2025	Phinney	People Time EOS		

PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:
9/30/25	Regular communication about students	100% of SIT Meeting agendas will	
	with >3 absences	include names of students that were	
		referred to the attendance team due to	
		absences	
9/30/25	Daily monitoring of attendance-by-	Decreases in absences and increase of	
	attendance team	effectiveness of attendance team	
		10% decrease in absence as compared	
		to same time last year	
9/30/25	Improved attendance compared to this	Increase in student attendance and	
	marking period last year	accurate attendance daily by 10%	
		compared to 2024	

	In a set to the second of		
Notes/Reflections/	/Potential Adjustments to Inf	torm November – Decem	hber Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 5:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Implementing an effective Attendance Team	[Roberts].xlsx	Phinney

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our attendance data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) 32% of students are chronically absent 2)

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Create and provide a daily report to attendance team of students with names of students who have attendance concerns for the quarter	11/1/25	Phinney	People Time	
Schedule daily attendance team meeting at 10:00 am for the quarter	11/1/25	Phinney	People Time	
Schedule quarterly Data meeting to compare CA 2024 with CA 2025	11/1/25	Phinney	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER) Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
12/15/25	Regular communication about students with >5 absences	100% of SIT Meeting agendas will include names of students that were referred to the attendance team due to absences		
12/15/25	Daily monitoring of attendance by the attendance team	Decreases in absences and increase of effectiveness of attendance team 15% decrease in absence as compared to same time last year		
12/15/25	Improved attendance compared to this marking period last year	Increase in student attendance and accurate attendance daily by 15% compared to 2024		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan		

Key Strategy 5:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Implementing an effective Attendance Team	[Roberts].xlsx	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our attendance data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) 32% of students are chronically absent 2)

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Create and provide a daily report to attendance team of students with names of students who have attendance concerns for the quarter	1/1/26	Phinney	People time	
Schedule daily attendance team meeting at 10:00 am for the quarter	1/1/26	Phinney	People Time	
Schedule quarterly Data meeting to compare CA 2023 with CA 2024	1/126	Phinney	People Time	

PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH) Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:
3/15/25	Regular communication about students with >7 absences	100% of SIT Meeting agendas will include names of students that were referred to the attendance team due to absences	
3/15/25	Daily monitoring of attendance by the attendance team	Decreases in absences and increase of effectiveness of attendance team 10% decrease in absence as compared to same time last year	
3/15/25	Improved attendance compared to this Marking period last year	Increase in student attendance and accurate attendance daily by 10% compared to 2024	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan			

Key Strategy 5:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Implementing an effective Attendance Team	[Roberts].xlsx	Phinney

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our attendance data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) 32% of students are chronically absent 2)

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Create and provide a daily report to attendance team of students with names of students who have attendance concerns for the quarter	4/1/26	Phinney	People Time	
Schedule daily attendance team meeting at 10:00 am for the quarter	4/1/26	Phinney	People Time	
Improved attendance compared to this Marking period last year	4/1/26	Phinney	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
6/1/26	Regular communication about students with >10 absences	100% of SIT Meeting agendas will include names of students that were referred to the attendance team due to absences		
6/1/26	Daily monitoring of attendance by the attendance team	Decreases in absences and increase of effectiveness of attendance team 25% decrease in absence as compared to same time last year		
6/1/26	Improved attendance compared to this Marking period last year	Increase in student attendance and accurate attendance daily by 25% compared to 2024		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning		

Key Strategy 6:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
SEL	[Roberts].xlsx	Thompson

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our SEL data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) Second step curriculum was only used 49% of the time grades k-5 2)CREW was being implemented with fidelity 16% of the time in grades 6-8.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that 49% of our K-5 teachers and 16% of our 6-8 teachers implemented SEL curriculum with fidelity. This year, we will work collaboratively to develop SEL lessons and plans to support implementation with fidelity.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Create Schedule that allows for daily SEL for students grades Prek-8	8/1/25	Thompson	People Time	
Communicate minimum expectations for teachers and SEL- CFREW and Second Step	9/1/25	Thompson	People Time EOS	
Create schedule that allows for weekly staff CREW grades 6-8	9/1/25	Thompson	People Time	
Create CREW/SEL committee structure	8/1/25	Thompson	People Time EOS	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data		
Date	Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see?		What we actually saw:
8/1/25	Master schedule that indicates SEL time	100% of staff and students engaged in SEL during the scheduled time	
9/1/26 BOY PD & agenda communicating SEL 100% teacher attendance and participation in PD			
10/1/26	Middle school structure with staff crew scheduled weekly	100% of middle school staff attending staff crew	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 6:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
SEL	[Roberts].xlsx	Thompson

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our SEL data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) Second step curriculum was only used 49% of the time grades k-5 2)CREW was being implemented with fidelity 16% of the time in grades 6-8.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that 49% of our K-5 teachers and 16% of our 6-8 teachers implemented SEL curriculum with fidelity. This year, we will work collaboratively to develop SEL lessons and plans to support implementation with fidelity.

IMPLEMENTATION	PLAN (NOVEMBE	R – DECEMBER)		
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	
Establish CREW committee that meets weekly	11/1/25	Thompson	People	
			Time	
Develop walkthrough tool and schedule for SEL prek-	11/1/25	Thompson	People	
8			Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
		Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
11/1/25	Meeting agendas from CREW	100% of CREW committee members			
	committee	meeting weekly			
11/1/26	Walkthrough data analysis	55% of CREWS meeting walkthrough			
		metrics			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 6:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
SEL	[Roberts].xlsx	Thompson

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our SEL data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) Second step curriculum was only used 49% of the time grades k-5 2)CREW was being implemented with fidelity 16% of the time in grades 6-8.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy Last year we measured that 49% of our K-5 teachers and 16% of our 6-8 teachers implemented SEL curriculum with fidelity. This year, we will work collaboratively to develop SEL lessons and plans to support implementation with fidelity.

IMPLEMENTATIO	N PLAN (JANUAF	RY – MARCH)		
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Revisit and revise CREW committee goals	1/1/26	Thompson	People Time	
Analyze SEL walkthrough data and Revise SEL walkthrough tool	1/1/26	Thompson	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)  Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
3/1/26	Meeting agendas from CREW committee revisions	100% of CREW committee members meeting weekly			
3/1/26	Walkthrough data analysis	70% of CREWS meeting walkthrough metrics			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 6:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
SEL	[Roberts].xlsx	Thompson

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our SEL data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) Second step curriculum was only used 49% of the time grades k-5 2)CREW was being implemented with fidelity 16% of the time in grades 6-8.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that 49% of our K-5 teachers and 16% of our 6-8 teachers implemented SEL curriculum with fidelity. This year, we will work collaboratively to develop SEL lessons and plans to support implementation with fidelity.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL- JUNE)							
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р			
Revisit and revise CREW committee goals And draft goals for 26-27	May 1, 2025	Thompson	People Time				
Analyze SEL walkthrough data and Revise SEL walkthrough tool for 26-27 school year	May 1, 2025	Thompson	People Time				

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data					
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:			
6/1/26	Walkthrough data analysis	85% of CREWS meeting walkthrough metrics				
6/1/26	Crew committee develops SEL walkthrough tool based on 25-26 data	Draft of SEL walkthrough tool for 26- 27				

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning					

### **END OF YEAR SURVEY**

The following questions and responses will be used as feedback on the school's progress toward each commitment.

	Staff Survey Questions	2024-25	2025-26	Actual
	(Likert Scale: Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree)	Results	Desired Results	Results
1	The school's SCEP was communicated to all staff members and staff members understood it.	88% favorable	90% favorable	
2	This year, the school's SLT continually focused on and monitored the school's SCEP.	71% favorable	80% Favorable	
3	It was evident that our school focused on ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, develop critical thinking and reasoning skills.	81% favorable	85% Favorable	
4	The school's strategies related to critical thinking and reasoning had a positive impact on student learning.	74% favorable	80% Favorable	
5	It was evident that our school focused on numeracy and literacy.	88% favorable	90% favorable	
6	The school's strategies related to numeracy and literacy had a positive impact on student learning.	74% favorable	80% Favorable	
7	It was evident that our school focused on students feeling a sense of belonging and daily school attendance.	90% favorable	95% Favorable	
8	The school's strategies related to sense of belonging and student attendance had a positive impact.	79% favorable	85% Favorable	
9	It was evident that our school attempted to align and maximize resources to serve each student's needs.	68% favorable	75% Favorable	
10	The school's strategies related to aligning and maximizing resources for each student's needs had a positive impact.	64% favorable	75% Favorable	

	Student Survey Questions (From Spring District Climate Survey)	2024-25 Results	2025-26 Desired Results	Actual Results	
1	How often do your teachers seem excited to be teaching your class? (SC4)	35% favorable	45%		
2	How often are people disrespectful to others at your school? (SC1)	15% favorable	25%		
3	How often do students get into physical fights at your school? (SC2)	at your school? (SC2) 25% favorable 35%			
4	How likely is it that someone from your school will bully you online? (SC3)	65% favorable	75%		
5	How often do you worry about violence at your school? (SC4)	40% favorable	50%		
6	If a student is bullied in school, how difficult is it for him/her to get help from an adult? (SC5)	49% favorable	60%		
7	How much support do the adults at your school give you? (SB2)	49% favorable	60%		
8	Overall, how much do you feel like you belong at your school? (SB4)	48% favorable	60%		
9	How excited would you be to have your teacher again? (TSR1)	43% favorable	55%		

10	How respectful is your teacher towards you? (TSR4)	75%	

	Family Survey Questions	2024-25	2025-26	Actual
	(From Spring Climate Survey)	Results	Desired Results	Results
1	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved			
	with your child's current school: The school provides little	47% favorable	55%	
	information about involvement opportunities? (BE7)			
2	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved			
	with your child's current school: The School is not welcoming to	50% favorable	60%	
	students? (BE8)			
3	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved			
	with your child's current school: The school does not communicate	40% favorable	50%	
	well with people from your culture? (BE9)			
4	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involve			
	with your child's current school: You do not feel a sense of	50 % favorable	60%	
	belonging with your child's school community? (BE10)			
5	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved			
	with your child's current school: You worry that adults at the school	40% favorable	50%	
	will treat your child differently if you raise a concern? (BE13)			
6	To what extent do you think that children enjoy going to your			
	child's school? (SC1)	50% favorable	60%	
7	How motivating are the classroom lessons at your child's school?			
	(SC2)	20% favorable	30%	
8	How well do administrators at your child's school create a school			
	environment that helps children learn? (SC5)	53% favorable	65%	
9	Overall, how much respect do you think the children at your child's			
	school have for the staff? (SC6)	55% favorable	65%	
10	Overall, how much respect do you think the teachers at your child's			
	school have for the children? (SC7)	55% favorable	65%	

#### **EVIDENCE-BASED INTERVENTION**

All key strategies pursued by schools should be rooted in evidence. All schools must implement at least one evidence-based intervention that meets the criteria of a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 evidence-based intervention under ESSA. More information can be found at: <a href="http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/evidence-based-interventions">http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/evidence-based-interventions</a>.

School teams should indicate **all** of the evidence-based interventions that meet the federal definition that will be pursued next year by placing an "X" in the corresponding box below. Schools that adopt the State-Supported Evidence-Based Intervention **under the parameters outlined** at: <a href="https://www.nysed.gov/accountability/state-supported-evidence-based-strategies">https://www.nysed.gov/accountability/state-supported-evidence-based-strategies</a> will fulfil the evidence-based intervention requirement.

State-Supported Evidence-Based Interventions	x
Align High School and College Courses to Increase Post-Secondary	
<u>Transition Outcomes</u>	
<u>Community Schools</u>	
Elementary School Looping	
Establish an Early Warning Intervention and Monitoring System	
Evidence-Based Instructional Methods	
Expanding access to high-quality Out-of-School-Time programs	
High-Quality Instructional Materials	
High-Quality Tutoring	
Incoming Student Induction Programs and Summer Bridge Programs	
Instructional Coaching	
Middle School Flexible Scheduling	
Multi-Tiered System of Supports – Integrated (MTSS-I)	
Ongoing Job-Embedded Professional Development	
Principal Leadership Development	
Professional Learning Communities	Х
Restorative Practices	

### **SCEP DEVELOPMENT TEAM PARTICIPATION**

In the first two columns, identify the members of the SCEP team and their role (e.g., teacher, assistant principal, parent). In the rest of columns, indicate that team member's participation in each of the activities by identifying the date that person participated in that activity OR leaving the space blank if the person did not participate in that activity.

		_						
Name	Role	Orientation to School Teams (required for new TSI)	Envision: Exploring the Vision, Values and Aspirations	Analyze: Internal and External Data	Analyze: Survey Data	Listen: Student Interviews	Envision: Reflect, Synthesize and Plan	Plan Writing and Revision
Example: Mary James	ELL Teacher	3/5	3/12	3/19		4/10, 4/11	4/17	5/2, 5/9, 5/16
Sharon Archer	Principal	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Lindsay Thompson	Vice Principal	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Jenniffer Benedetto	Vice Principal	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Kelly Wolfram	Instructional Coach	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Lacey Dowd	IB PYP Coordinator	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Christopher Constantino	IB MYP Coordinator	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Phillip Madvek	Science 8	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28
Annmarie Jones	AIS/STA	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28
Marissa Mims	CTE/DEB	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28
Alicia Whitmore	Social Studies 8/DEB	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28
Cindi Metallo	ELA AIS	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28

**SCEP Development Team** 

Kathy Doner	ELA	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28
Amy Phinney	Social Worker	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28
Leigh Sexton	Social Worker	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28
Erin Earnestine	Social Worker Assistant	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28
Zona Williams	Parent	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26
Candi Edwards	Parent	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26
Michelle Terpening	Grade 3	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28
Alea Amyot	Kindergarten CT	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28

#### **LEARNING AS A TEAM**

Directions: After completing the previous sections, the team should complete the reflective prompt below.

#### **Student Interviews**

Describe how the Student Interview process informed the team's plan.

The SCEP team created several heterogenous student focus groups and asked questions based on our spring 2025 climate data. Once responses were collected and coded, the SCEP committee found 3 dominant themes: relationships with staff, relationships with other students, and needing academic help.

We were able to weave these themes throughout our plan. *Relationships with staff and Relationships with Students* directly connects to our SEL key strategy and indirectly effects our Attendance Key Strategy. The theme *Needing Academic Help* directly ties to Our Tier I and Tier II key strategy and to our IB Key Strategy.

#### Schools in the ATSI and TSI model only

#### **Subgroup Spotlight**

Describe how the team has determined that the strategies in this plan are likely to result in improved subgroup performance for the subgroup(s) for which the school has been identified.