Table of Contents – Bookmark Links

Overview Page

- Year End Goals
- District Commitments
- Key Strategies

Academic Commitment #1

- Strategy 1
- Strategy 2

Academic Commitment #2

- Strategy 3
- Strategy 4

Attendance Commitment

Strategy 5

Student Supports Commitment

Strategy 6

End of Year Survey

Evidence-Based Intervention

SCEP Development Team Participation

Learning As A Team

SIG Expenditure Plan (CSI, ATSI,TSI Only)

OVERVIEW PAGE

	Year-End Goals					
	Accountability	Specific Year-End Goals				
	Area	Identify at least one goal for each accountability area.				
1	ELA					
2	Math	Goals will be				
3	Attendance	developed after all 2024-25 data are				
4	ELP / Other	available				
5	Graduation Rate / Other / Optional					

	Commitments			
1	Academic	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners,		
		have the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond.		
2	Academic	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners,		
		have the numeracy and literacy skills to prepare them for any path they choose.		
3	Attendance	This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend		
		school daily.		
4	Student	This school is committed to aligning and maximizing resources to serve and impact each		
	Supports	student's needs.		

School Identified Key Strategies (Maximum of 6)

Directions: Use the school's needs assessment results to identify strategies from each drop-down menu that the school will prioritize. Refer to the Strategy Companion Guide for additional guidance on each strategy. Note, this means **a maximum of six strategies** across the four commitments. Confirm whether the strategy is "new" (N) – "expanded" (E) – "refined (R)."

	Commitments		Key Strategies (Refer to Strategy Companion Guide)	N-E-
1	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have	1	School Customized Targeted Accountable Talk Coaching Cycles	R
	the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond.	2	School Customized Not Applicable	N/A
2	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have	3	2) PLC: Promoting a Collaborative Culture	R
	the numeracy and literacy skills to prepare them for any path they choose.	4	Formative Assessment Practices	N
3	This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend school daily.	5	Staff to Student Check-ins	N
4	This school is committed to aligning and maximizing resources to serve and impact each student's needs.	6	School Customized Student-Led Conferences	N

Key Strategy 1: Targeted Accountable Talk Coaching Cycles	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Sterpe
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, survey data, and student interviews, as well as data from the NYS ELA Regents showing that only 56% of economically disadvantaged students achieve higher than level 1. We identified some root causes for this problem, 1. A need for task demands that are structured to support complex thinking, and 2. The need for more opportunities to create meaning, and engage in sense-making discussions that include a range of perspectives. By focusing on targeting coaching of teachers in support of Accountable Talk strategies we can give students more opportunities to engage with higher level task demands and develop complex thinking skills.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. This strategy continues the implementation of Accountable Talk in our school. We are moving from setting the stage and establishing systems to deepening the capacity for teachers to plan for student-centered lessons that balance the features of community, knowledge, and rigorous thinking.

IMPLEMENTATION	PLAN (AUGUST	Г – OCTOBER)		
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Collaboratively plan as teaching teams to incorporate Accountable Talk strategies into instruction with support from Instructional Coach and content Liaisons	By 8/28/25	Peter Sterpe	Summer extension of service time for teachers, coaching office hours	
Determine the completion status for Institute for Learning (IFL) Accountable Talk Microsession 1 – 6 of each teacher and compile and maintain a record. Facilitate IFL Accountable Talk Microsession 1 for teachers who did not complete it last year.	By 9/4/25	Peter Sterpe	PD records	
Update School Walkthrough tool to align with current level of Accountable Talk Implementation	By 9/4/25	School Leadership Team (SLT)	SLT Meeting	
Identify at least two teachers per core content area who would benefit from Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) coaching cycles, pairing teachers with either the building coach or a district content coach as appropriate. Connect these teachers and coaches to begin PDSA coaching cycles.	By 10/31/25	School Leadership Team (SLT)	Initial walkthroughs, PLT time,	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER) Implementation/Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
8/27/25	Summer planning evidence	100% of teachers who plan over summer complete Accountable Talk planning evidence form			
9/30/25	IFL Accountable Talk Microsession 1 completion	100% of core four teachers have completed Microsession 1.			
10/31/25	Teacher PDSA cycles for Accountable Talk	At least 2 teachers in ELA, Math, Science, and Social Studies Departments paired with a coach.			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 1: Targeted Accountable Talk Coaching Cycles	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Sterpe
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, survey data, and student interviews, as well as data from the NYS ELA Regents showing that only 56% of economically disadvantaged students achieve higher than level 1. We identified some root causes for this problem, 1. A need for task demands that are structured to support complex thinking, and 2. The need for more opportunities to create meaning, and engage in sense-making discussions that include a range of perspectives. By focusing on targeting coaching of teachers in support of Accountable Talk strategies we can give students more opportunities to engage with higher level task demands and develop complex thinking skills.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. This strategy continues the implementation of Accountable Talk in our school. We are moving from setting the stage and establishing systems to deepening the capacity for teachers to plan for student-centered lessons that balance the features of community, knowledge, and rigorous thinking.

IMPLEMENTATION	PLAN (NOVEMBE	R – DECEMBER)		
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Facilitate IFL Accountable Talk Microsession 2-3 for all new teachers and teachers who did not complete it last year.	11/20/25, 12/18/25 (2 days)	Peter Sterpe	PD delivery time, facilitators for PD	
Conduct ongoing walkthroughs that include Accountable Talk indicators to determine current level of implementation and adjustments needed.	By 12/19/25	Andrew Nolan, Lyn De Tore, Hugh Hogle, Candace Johnson, Ashley Killenbec, Peter Sterpe	Time for walkthroughs, SchoolMint Grow	
Complete PDSA coaching cycles focused on Accountable Talk with at least two teachers per core content area.	By 11/30/25	Peter Sterpe	Time to meet with teachers, co-planning time	
Review data of PD completion, coaching cycle completion, and walkthrough indicators to determine next set of teachers to participate in PDSA cycles and additional PD needs.	By 12/19/25	School Leadership Team (SLT)	SLT meeting	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)					
		Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:			
12/19/25	Walkthroughs conducted	3-4 walkthroughs a week from each administrator				
12/19/25	Coaching cycles completed	8 coaching cycles successfully completed as demonstrated in				
12/19/25	Student Accountable Talk Outcome	75% of students in observed classrooms actively participate in Accountable Talk discussions				

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 1: Targeted Accountable Talk Coaching Cycles	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Sterpe
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, survey data, and student interviews, as well as data from the NYS ELA Regents showing that only 56% of economically disadvantaged students achieve higher than level 1. We identified some root causes for this problem, 1. A need for task demands that are structured to support complex thinking, and 2. The need for more opportunities to create meaning, and engage in sense-making discussions that include a range of perspectives. By focusing on targeting coaching of teachers in support of Accountable Talk strategies we can give students more opportunities to engage with higher level task demands and develop complex thinking skills.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. This strategy continues the implementation of Accountable Talk in our school. We are moving from setting the stage and establishing systems to deepening the capacity for teachers to plan for student-centered lessons that balance the features of community, knowledge, and rigorous thinking.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)					
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р		
Facilitate IFL Accountable Talk Microsession 4-5 for all teachers	1/15/26, 2/12/26 (2 days)	Peter Sterpe	PD delivery time, facilitators for PD			
Continue ongoing walkthroughs with Accountable Talk indicators	By 3/31/25	Andrew Nolan, Lyn De Tore, Hugh Hogle, Candace Johnson, Ashley Killenbec, Peter Sterpe	Time for walkthroughs, SchoolMint Grow			
Implement new round of PDSA coaching cycles focused on Accountable Talk	By 3/31/26	Peter Sterpe	Time to meet with teachers, co-planning time			
Provide differentiated support to teachers based on walkthrough data and coaching cycle outcomes	By 3/31/26	Peter Sterpe	PLT time, individual teacher support time			

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH) Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
3/31/26	Walkthroughs conducted	5-6 walkthroughs a week from each administrator, showing increased implementation of AT strategies			
3/31/26	Coaching cycles completed	8 coaching cycles successfully completed as demonstrated in SchoolMint Grow			
3/31/26	Student Accountable Talk Outcome	80% of students in observed classrooms actively participate in Accountable Talk discussions			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 1: Targeted Accountable Talk Coaching Cycles	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Sterpe
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, survey data, and student interviews, as well as data from the NYS ELA Regents showing that only 56% of economically disadvantaged students achieve higher than level 1. We identified some root causes for this problem, 1. A need for task demands that are structured to support complex thinking, and 2. The need for more opportunities to create meaning, and engage in sense-making discussions that include a range of perspectives. By focusing on targeting coaching of teachers in support of Accountable Talk strategies we can give students more opportunities to engage with higher level task demands and develop complex thinking skills.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. This strategy continues the implementation of Accountable Talk in our school. We are moving from setting the stage and establishing systems to deepening the capacity for teachers to plan for student-centered lessons that balance the features of community, knowledge, and rigorous thinking.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Facilitate IFL Accountable Talk Microsession 6 for all teachers	4/16/26, 5/14/26 (2 days)	Peter Sterpe	PD delivery time, facilitators for PD	
Conduct final round of PDSA coaching cycles focused on Accountable Talk	By 5/29/26	Peter Sterpe	Time to meet with teachers, co-planning time	
Analyze year-long data from walkthroughs, coaching cycles, and teacher reflection to identify trends and areas for improvement	By 5/15/26	Andrew Nolan, Lyn De Tore, Hugh Hogle, Candace Johnson, Ashley Killenbec, Peter Sterpe	SLT meeting time, data analysis time	
Develop recommendations for refining Accountable Talk practices for the next school year	By 6/12/26	School Leadership Team (SLT)	Additional extension of service SLT meeting time	

PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
6/12/26	Recommendations for next year's Accountable Talk practices	SLT has developed a clear set of recommendations for refining Accountable Talk practices in the upcoming school year		
5/27/26	Year-long Accountable Talk implementation data analysis	Data analysis report identifying trends and areas for improvement in Accountable Talk implementation		
5/31/26	Student Accountable Talk Outcome	90% of students in observed classrooms actively participate in Accountable Talk discussions		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning

Academic Commitment #1: This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond. **Key Strategy 2: Not Applicable** PD Plan Link: **School Lead:** What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses. If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. **IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER) Essential Action Steps** Timeline Person(s) **Resource Alignment** Ρ (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.) (Begin with a verb) Responsible PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST - OCTOBER) Implementation/Outcome Data **Date Progress Indicators** What do we hope to see? What we actually saw: Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Academic Commitment #1: This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond.

Key Strategy 2: Not Applicable

PD Plan Link:

School Lead:

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? <i>Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.</i>		
f this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.		

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р

PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER) Outcome Data				
Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
		Outcome Data		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 2: Not Applicable			PD Plan I	Link:	School Lead:	
What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.						
If this is n	If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.					
	IMPLEMENTAT	TION PLAN	(JANUAF	RY – MARCH)		
	Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)		eline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
	PROGRESS MO		-	RY – MARCH)		
		Outcome				
Date	Progress Indicators	Wha	at do we	hope to see?	What we actually sav	v:
	Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjus	stments to	Inform A	nril – lune Imn	lementation Plan	
	The second of th					

Academic Commitment #1: This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond.

Key Strategy 2: Not Applicable

PD Plan Link:

School Lead:

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.

IMPLEMEN	TATION PLAN (APR	IL – JUNE)		
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning		

Key Strategy 3: PLC: Promoting a Collaborative Culture	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Killenbec
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and data from the NYS Algebra Regents showing an increase in students performing at level 1 and 2 and a decrease in students performing at level 3 and above. Some root causes of this include 1. A lack of structured PLTs in content, and 2. A lack of content-based aligned learning objectives across classrooms. By focusing on collaboration and data-driven instruction this strategy aims to create more consistent and effective educational experiences for all students.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. We attempted to support learning through the implementation of PLCs across our school in 2024-2025 but the data from the NYS Algebra Regents showing an increase of students performing at a level 1 and 2 and a decrease of students performing at a level 3 or higher shows that we need to refine this strategy. Therefore in 2025-2026 we will refine the PLC process at Nottingham by adding explicitly planned content PLTs weekly after school and providing training and support.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Develop and update PLC tools and create an agenda for the year as a guiding coalition in support of leading PLTs and in consultation with Solution Tree	By 8/15/25	Rebecca Farrell, Faruk Latifovic, Justin Trombetta, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Summer extension of service time	
Ensure that every teacher is assigned to a content-based PLT which will meet on Tuesdays and appropriate Academy staff are assigned to Academy PLTs which will meet during duty periods. Provide specific room assignments for each PLT.	By 9/1/25	Andrew Nolan, Lyn De Tore, Hugh Hogle, Candace Johnson, Ashley Killenbec	Admin team meeting	
Coach each PLT through the process of creating norms, creating agendas, taking minutes, assigning roles, and the four questions of a PLC cycle. Create a OneDrive folder for each PLT.	By 9/12/25	Ashley Killenbec, Peter Sterpe	Academy PLT meetings	
Identify specific learning objectives and prioritize them into essential, supporting, and enrichment categories and create a SMART goal to assess these standards within each content PLT.	By 10/31/25	Rebecca Farrell, Faruk Latifovic, Justin Trombetta, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Tuesday content PLT meetings	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)			
	Imp	lementation/Outcome Data		
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually	
9/5/25	Teachers are assigned to PLTs	100% of teachers are appropriately assigned to		
		academy and content PLTs.		
10/31/25	Teams will create agendas and take	70% of PLT meetings will have minutes recorded		
	minutes.	within their OneDrive folder.		
10/31/25	Each team will write and complete	90% of teachers in a content PLT will have		
	a SMART goal based around	implemented and assessed at least two essential		
	prioritized standards. learning objectives, as evidenced by their plans			
		and student assessment data.		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 3: PLC: Promoting a Collaborative Culture	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Killenbec
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and data from the NYS Algebra Regents showing an increase in students performing at level 1 and 2 and a decrease in students performing at level 3 and above. Some root causes of this include 1. A lack of structured PLTs in content, and 2. A lack of content-based aligned learning objectives across classrooms. By focusing on collaboration and data-driven instruction this strategy aims to create more consistent and effective educational experiences for all students.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. We attempted to support learning through the implementation of PLCs across our school in 2024-2025 but the data from the NYS Algebra Regents showing an increase of students performing at a level 1 and 2 and a decrease of students performing at a level 3 or higher shows that we need to refine this strategy. Therefore in 2025-2026 we will refine the PLC process at Nottingham by adding explicitly planned content PLTs weekly after school and providing training and support.

IMPLEMENTATION	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P	
Embed training for continued and improved implementation of CER writing strategies, support for student understanding of tier 1 and 2 academic vocabulary, and schoolwide annotation strategies during Academy PLT time.	By 12/1/25	Ashley Killenbec, Peter Sterpe, Instruction and Professional Development Committee (IPD)	Academy PLT meetings, Embedded PD for academic strategies		
Provide feedback for each PLT specific to their use of norms, creating agendas, taking minutes, assigning roles, and the four questions of a PLC cycle to guide their collaboration.	By 11/25/25	Rebecca Farrell, Faruk Latifovic, Justin Trombetta, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Extension of service meeting time for guiding coalition after school		
Begin another cycle of identifying specific learning objectives and prioritizing them into essential, supporting, and enrichment categories and create or update a SMART goal to assess these standards within each content PLT.	By 12/19/25	Ashley Killenbec, Peter Sterpe	Online resources		
Support teams in collecting balanced evidence of essential learning to help determine the level of mastery of each identified essential standard.	By 12/19/25	Ashley Killenbec, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Time with Instructional Coach		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
		Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
11/4/25	Teams will create agendas and take	80% of PLT meetings will have minutes			
	minutes.	recorded within their OneDrive folder.			
11/18/25	/25 Evidence of Essential Learning 10% increase in students meeting or				
		exceeding proficiency in essential			
		standard compared to previous PLC cycle.			
11/25/25	Each team will reflect on their SMART	90 % of teachers in a content PLT will			
	goal to date based on their	complete a reflection activity about their			
	collaboration and the evidence they	SMART goals and revise their next SMART			
	collected specific to their goal	goal			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 3: PLC: Promoting a Collaborative Culture	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Killenbec
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and data from the NYS Algebra Regents showing an increase in students performing at level 1 and 2 and a decrease in students performing at level 3 and above. Some root causes of this include 1. A lack of structured PLTs in content, and 2. A lack of content-based aligned learning objectives across classrooms. By focusing on collaboration and data-driven instruction this strategy aims to create more consistent and effective educational experiences for all students.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. We attempted to support learning through the implementation of PLCs across our school in 2024-2025 but the data from the NYS Algebra Regents showing an increase of students performing at a level 1 and 2 and a decrease of students performing at a level 3 or higher shows that we need to refine this strategy. Therefore in 2025-2026 we will refine the PLC process at Nottingham by adding explicitly planned content PLTs weekly after school and providing training and support.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р	
Assess the use of norms, creating agendas, taking minutes, assigning roles, and the four questions of a PLC cycle within each PLT and adjust as needed.	By 1/9/26	Rebecca Farrell, Faruk Latifovic, Justin Trombetta, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Extension of service meeting time for guiding coalition after school, admin team meeting time		
Determine which essential standards PLTs have been able to collect balanced evidence of learning to help determine the level of mastery. Plan to collect evidence on any gaps through the end of the year.	By 1/16/26	Rebecca Farrell, Faruk Latifovic, Justin Trombetta, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Extension of service meeting time for guiding coalition after school, time to meet with coach		
Begin new PLC cycles within each content PLT incorporating reflection into updated SMART goals, reflecting on the implementation and success of the previous SMART goal. Identify changes needed to drive achievement towards that goal, including CFAs, and Regents and Benchmark data.	By 1/16/26	Ashley Killenbec, Peter Sterpe	Guiding coalition meeting time, time to meet with coach		
Deliver professional development and support within Academy PLTs and after school in preparation for the move to block scheduling in 2026-2027.	By 3/31/26	Ashley Killenbec, Peter Sterpe, Instruction and Professional Development Committee (IPD)	Academy PLT time, 1-hour Afterschool PD		

PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH) Outcome Data

Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually
2/27/26	Each PLT will reflect on Norms and	90% of PLTs will show evidence of the use	
	show evidence of their ongoing use	of norms during PLT walkthroughs	
2/27/26	Evidence of Essential Learning	10% increase in students meeting or	
		exceeding proficiency in essential standard	
		compared to previous PLC cycle.	
2/27/26	Each PLT will report about their SMART	90% of PLTs will report their SMART goal	
	goal progress	and progress in their minutes	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 3: PLC: Promoting a Collaborative Culture	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Killenbec
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and data from the NYS Algebra Regents showing an increase in students performing at level 1 and 2 and a decrease in students performing at level 3 and above. Some root causes of this include 1. A lack of structured PLTs in content, and 2. A lack of content-based aligned learning objectives across classrooms. By focusing on collaboration and data-driven instruction this strategy aims to create more consistent and effective educational experiences for all students.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. We attempted to support learning through the implementation of PLCs across our school in 2024-2025 but the data from the NYS Algebra Regents showing an increase of students performing at a level 1 and 2 and a decrease of students performing at a level 3 or higher shows that we need to refine this strategy. Therefore in 2025-2026 we will refine the PLC process at Nottingham by adding explicitly planned content PLTs weekly after school and providing training and support.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Implement another PLC cycle within each content PLT incorporating updated SMART goals. Deliver professional development and support within Academy PLTs.	By 5/20/26	Ashley Killenbec, Peter Sterpe	Content PLT meeting time, Academy PLT time	
Evaluate the success of each PLT's structural components including use of norms, using agendas, taking minutes, assigning roles, and the four questions of a PLC cycle and determine possible areas of focus for 2026-2027.	By 5/30/26	Rebecca Farrell, Faruk Latifovic, Justin Trombetta, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Extension of service meeting time for guiding coalition after school	
Determine the level of student success in each selected essential standard for each content PLT based on evidence collected from CFAs and summative assessment. Identify essential standards for each content PLT for 2026-2027.	By 6/5/26	Rebecca Farrell, Faruk Latifovic, Justin Trombetta, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Content PLT time, Guiding coalition meeting time, meeting time with Liaisons and coach,	
Engage in collaborative planning as a part of NYS Redesign in preparation for the move to block scheduling in 2026-2027.	By 6/15/26	School Leadership Team (SLT)	Travel to NYSED Redesign collaborative planning	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)			
		Outcome Data		
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually	
6/5/26	Level of student success with each	100% of PLTs have evaluated the level of		
	essential standard selected	success of each selected standard		
6/5/26	Evidence of Essential Learning	10% increase in students meeting or		
		exceeding proficiency in essential standard		
		compared to previous PLC cycle.		
6/5/26	Essential standards in need of MTSS	100% of PLTs have highlighted at least one		
	identified by each content PLT	standard in need of MTSS		

Notes/Reflections/Pot	ential Adjustifichts to	7 IIII 01111 2020-27 T Iailii	····b

Key Strategy 4: Formative Assessment Practices	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Johnson
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and data from the NYS ELA Regents showing that only 56% of economically disadvantaged students achieve higher than level 1. Some root causes indicated by our needs assessment included 1.) The need for consistent formative assessment strategies across classrooms, 2.) missed opportunities for timely instructional adjustments. By focusing on developing better formative assessment practices we can create more consistent opportunities for student learning across all classrooms.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р	
Develop professional development to support formative assessment strategies to be completed during Academy PLTs. Determine at least three initial supported formative assessment strategies.	By 8/8/25	Peter Sterpe, Instruction and Professional Development Committee (IPD)	Summer extension of service time for IPD		
Meet as content PLTs during the summer to identify initial essential standards for the school year.	By 8/27/25	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Summer extension of service time for teachers		
Deliver additional professional development to new and inexperienced teachers to support lesson planning and assessment	By 10/31/25	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Instruction and Professional Development Committee (IPD)	Extension of service meeting time new teachers and trainers after school		
Create common formative assessments (CFAs) within each content PLT for each identified essential standard.	By 10/31/25	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Tuesday content PLT meetings, Instructional supplies in support of formative assessment		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER) Implementation/Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What we actually saw:		
10/31/25	Number of CFAs created per content PLT for identified essential standards	Each content PLT creates and delivers at least one CFA for their identified essential standards		
10/31/25	Frequency and quality of formative assessment strategy implementation	80% of observed classes incorporate at least one of the schoolwide formative assessment strategies		

10/31/25	Formative Assessment Baseline Set	100% of PLTs set an initial baseline	
		criterion for success on Common	
		Formative Assessment (CFA)	

Notes/Reflections/I	Potential Adjustments	to Inform November	– December Implementation Pla	n
	-			

Key Strategy 4: Formative Assessment Practices	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Johnson
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and data from the NYS ELA Regents showing that only 56% of economically disadvantaged students achieve higher than level 1. Some root causes indicated by our needs assessment included 1.) The need for consistent formative assessment strategies across classrooms, 2.) missed opportunities for timely instructional adjustments. By focusing on developing better formative assessment practices we can create more consistent opportunities for student learning across all classrooms.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Analyze effectiveness of initial supported schoolwide formative assessment strategies and refine as needed.	By 11/15/25	School Leadership Team (SLT)	SLT meeting time, data analysis	
Continue to deliver additional professional development to new and inexperienced teachers to support lesson planning, assessment, and additional topics as identified by SLT	By 12/19/25	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Instruction and Professional Development Committee (IPD)	Extension of service meeting time new teachers and trainers after school	
Analyze results of previous CFAs within each content PLT and adjust instructional planning to address needs.	By 12/19/25	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Content PLT meeting time	
Create and implement additional CFAs for upcoming essential standards.	By 12/5/25	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Content PLT meeting time, Instructional supplies in support of formative assessment	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER) Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
12/19/25	Number of CFAs created per content	Each content PLT creates and delivers		
	PLT for identified essential standards	at least one additional CFA for their		
		identified essential standards		
12/19/25	Frequency and quality of formative	90% of observed classes incorporate		
	assessment strategy implementation	at least one of the schoolwide		
		formative assessment strategies		
10/31/25	Formative Assessment Improvement	10% increase in students meeting or exceeding proficiency on formative		
		assessment compared to previous PLC		
		cycle.		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan		

Key Strategy 4: Formative Assessment Practices	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Johnson
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and data from the NYS ELA Regents showing that only 56% of economically disadvantaged students achieve higher than level 1. Some root causes indicated by our needs assessment included 1.) The need for consistent formative assessment strategies across classrooms, 2.) missed opportunities for timely instructional adjustments. By focusing on developing better formative assessment practices we can create more consistent opportunities for student learning across all classrooms.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P	
Analyze effectiveness of adjusted supported schoolwide formative assessment strategies and refine as needed.	By 2/28/26	School Leadership Team (SLT)	SLT meeting time, data analysis		
Continue to deliver additional professional development to new and inexperienced teachers to support lesson planning, assessment, and additional topics as identified by SLT	By 3/31/26	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Instruction and Professional Development Committee (IPD)	Extension of service meeting time new teachers and trainers after school		
Analyze results of previous CFAs within each content PLT and adjust instructional planning to address needs.	By 3/15/26	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Content PLT meeting time		
Create and implement additional CFAs for upcoming essential standards.	By 3/31/26	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Content PLT meeting time		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)				
		Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
3/15/26	Number of CFAs created per content PLT for identified essential standards	Each content PLT creates and delivers at least one additional CFA for their identified essential standards			
3/31/26	Frequency and quality of formative assessment strategy implementation	100% of observed classes incorporate at least one of the schoolwide formative assessment strategies			
3/31/26	Formative Assessment Improvement	10% increase in students meeting or exceeding proficiency on formative assessment compared to previous PLC cycle.			

Notes/Reflections/Po	otential Adjustment	s to Inform April – Ju	une Implementation	Plan

Key Strategy 4: Formative Assessment Practices	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Johnson
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and data from the NYS ELA Regents showing that only 56% of economically disadvantaged students achieve higher than level 1. Some root causes indicated by our needs assessment included 1.) The need for consistent formative assessment strategies across classrooms, 2.) missed opportunities for timely instructional adjustments. By focusing on developing better formative assessment practices we can create more consistent opportunities for student learning across all classrooms.

IMPLEMENTA	TION PLAN (APR	IL – JUNE)		
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Conduct vertical planning sessions to align formative assessment practices across grade levels	By 5/15/26	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	PLT meeting time	
Continue to deliver additional professional development to new and inexperienced teachers to support lesson planning, assessment, and additional topics as identified by SLT	By 6/15/26	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Instruction and Professional Development Committee (IPD)	Extension of service meeting time new teachers and trainers after school	
Reflect on year-long data from CFAs and formative assessment strategies to identify trends and areas for improvement	By 6/15/26	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Content Liaisons	Meeting time for liaisons with Admin team	
Create a summer professional development plan to address identified areas of need in formative assessment practices	By 6/27/26	Candace Johnson, Peter Sterpe, Instruction and Professional Development Committee (IPD)	Extension of service meeting time for IPD and SLT for planning, Travel to align to NYSED Redesign	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)				
		Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
5/31/26	Vertical alignment of formative assessment practices	100% of departments have conducted vertical alignment meetings			
6/15/26	Year-long CFA and formative assessment reflection	Liaisons and Admin Team have reviewed and reflected on CFA progress			

6/27/26	Formative Assessment Improvement	10% increase in students meeting or	
		exceeding proficiency on formative	
		assessment compared to previous PLC	
		cycle.	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Plan	nning

Key Strategy 5: Staff to Student Check-ins	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: De Tore
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and graduation data that shows that students who are economically disadvantaged have a 3% lower graduation rate than other students. Some root causes indicated by our needs assessment included 1.) A lack of regular and meaningful check-ins between staff and students, 2.) A lack of understanding of graduation requirements by all students. This suggests that structured staff-to-student check-ins can strengthen relationships, increase student engagement, and positively impact attendance, increasing our graduation rate for economically disadvantaged students.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р	
Develop a unified graduation requirement anchor chart and checklist. Have copies of the anchor chart printed as posters for every class.	By 8/27/26	School Leadership Team (SLT)	Summer extension of service time		
Provide individualized credit recovery opportunities during the summer to students identified by Student Intervention Team (SIT) in previous year.	By 8/27/26	Lyn De Tore	Summer extension of service time to provide additional support		
Create a document in which every student is assigned a check-in staff member based on their check-in day period. CBOs should be assigned to these rosters. Create and organize check-in folders of transcripts for teacher access based on scheduled check-in period.	By 9/12/26	Lyn De Tore, Student Intervention Team (SIT)	Meeting time		
Deliver after school support from 3pm to 5pm to students identified by SIT team in previous year. Begin process of identifying new students in need of support.	By 10/31/26	Lyn De Tore, Greg Jones	Extension of service time for after school additional support		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER) Implementation/Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
9/15/25	Unified graduation requirement anchor charts and checklists created and shared	100% of classrooms have graduation requirement anchor charts posted			
9/15/25	Alternative schedule check-in days	School calendar includes 3 alternative check-in days and communicated to staff and students			
10/15/25	Student check-in assignments and folders	Every student assigned a check-in staff member; check-in folders with transcripts created for all teachers			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 5: Staff to Student Check-ins	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: De Tore
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and graduation data that shows that students who are economically disadvantaged have a 3% lower graduation rate than other students. Some root causes indicated by our needs assessment included 1.) A lack of regular and meaningful check-ins between staff and students, 2.) A lack of understanding of graduation requirements by all students. This suggests that structured staff-to-student check-ins can strengthen relationships, increase student engagement, and positively impact attendance, increasing our graduation rate for economically disadvantaged students.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

IMPLEMENTATION	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р	
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Buaget, People, Time, etc.)		
Offer professional development to staff focused on	By 11/7/26	Andrew Nolan,	Staff meeting time, 1-hour		
understanding graduation requirements.		Lyn De Tore,	afterschool PD		
		Hugh Hogle,			
		Candace			
		Johnson,			
		Ashley			
		Killenbec,			
		Peter Sterpe			
Share transcript files for each check-in period by	By 11/7/26	Lyn De Tore,	Office365 folders, access		
uploading them to the check-in folders. Print and		Student	to transcripts		
distribute physical copies of transcripts.		Intervention			
		Team (SIT)			
Hold first alternative schedule check-in day and go	By 11/25/26	Lyn De Tore,	Adjusted bell schedule, SIT		
over graduation requirements, transcripts, and report		Student	meeting time		
card grades with each student. Collect notes and		Intervention			
share with SIT to identify new students in need of		Team (SIT)			
additional support.					
Deliver after school extended learning support from	By 12/31/26	Lyn De Tore,	Extension of service time		
3pm to 5pm to identified students, Regents review,		Greg Jones	for after school additional		
senior support, additional after-school courses, as			support and courses,		
well as additional targeted support on Saturdays and			winter break,		
over winter break.			Refreshments for winter		
			break targeted support		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)			
		Outcome Data		
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
11/15/25	Staff professional development on	95% of staff have completed PD on		
	graduation requirements	graduation requirements		
11/30/25	First alternative schedule check-in day	75% of students have participated in		
	completion	check-in day		
12/31/25	Students on track for graduation	5% increase in economically		
		disadvantaged students on track for		
		graduation (as measured by credit		
		accumulation) compared to last MP.		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 5: Staff to Student Check-ins	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: De Tore
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and graduation data that shows that students who are economically disadvantaged have a 3% lower graduation rate than other students. Some root causes indicated by our needs assessment included 1.) A lack of regular and meaningful check-ins between staff and students, 2.) A lack of understanding of graduation requirements by all students. This suggests that structured staff-to-student check-ins can strengthen relationships, increase student engagement, and positively impact attendance, increasing our graduation rate for economically disadvantaged students.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

IMPLEMENTATIO	N PLAN (JANUAI	RY – MARCH)		
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Review and update student check-in day assignments and transcript data	By 2/15/26	Lyn De Tore, Student Intervention Team (SIT)	Time to review and update data, SchoolTool access	
Hold second alternative schedule check-in day and go over graduation requirements, transcripts, and report card grades with each student. Collect notes from check-in day and share with SIT to identify new students in need of additional support.	By 3/15/26	Lyn De Tore, Student Intervention Team (SIT)	Adjusted bell schedule,	
Provide support to Special Education teachers for addressing the identified needs of their students by delivering additional after-school support and professional development	By 3/30/26	Brittney Button, Ashley Killenbec	Extension of service time for Special Education teachers	
Deliver after school extended learning support from 3pm to 5pm to identified students, Regents review, additional after-school courses, senior support, as well as additional targeted support on Saturdays and over February break.	By 3/30/26	Lyn De Tore, Greg Jones	Extension of service time for after school additional support and courses, February break, Refreshments for February break support	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)			
Date	Progress Indicators	Outcome Data What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
3/31/26	Students on track for graduation	5% increase in economically disadvantaged students on track for graduation (as measured by credit accumulation and Regents exam pass rates) compared to last MP.	what we actually saw.	
3/15/26	Second alternative schedule check-in day completion	85% of students have participated in the second check-in day		
3/31/26	After-school and February break support program attendance	At least 60% of identified students participate in February break and after-school support		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 5: Staff to Student Check-ins	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: De Tore
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and graduation data that shows that students who are economically disadvantaged have a 3% lower graduation rate than other students. Some root causes indicated by our needs assessment included 1.) A lack of regular and meaningful check-ins between staff and students, 2.) A lack of understanding of graduation requirements by all students. This suggests that structured staff-to-student check-ins can strengthen relationships, increase student engagement, and positively impact attendance, increasing our graduation rate for economically disadvantaged students.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

IMPLEMENTA	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)			
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Review and update student check-in day assignments and transcript data	By 4/15/26	Lyn De Tore, Student Intervention Team (SIT)	Time to review and update data, SchoolTool access	
Hold third alternative schedule check-in day and go over graduation requirements, transcripts, and report card grades with each student. Collect notes from check-in day and share with SIT to identify new students in need of additional support.	By 4/30/26	Lyn De Tore, Student Intervention Team (SIT)	Adjusted bell schedule, time to meet	
Provide support to Special Education teachers for addressing the identified needs of their students by delivering additional after-school support and professional development	By 6/15/26	Brittney Button, Ashley Killenbec	Extension of service time for Special Education teachers	
Deliver after school extended learning support from 3pm to 5pm to identified students, Regents review, additional after-school courses, senior support, as well as additional targeted support on Saturdays and over April break.	By 6/27/26	Lyn De Tore, Greg Jones	Extension of service time for after school additional support and courses, April break, Refreshments for April break support	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)				
		Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
6/27/26	Students on track for graduation	5% increase in economically disadvantaged students on track for graduation (as measured by credit accumulation and Regents exam pass rates) compared to last MP.			
4/30/26	Third alternative schedule check-in day completion	90% of students have participated in the third check-in day			
6/27/26	After-school and April break support program attendance	At least 75% of identified students participate in April break and afterschool support			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning

Key Strategy 6: Student-Led Conferences	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Hogle
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and Regents exam data that shows in Algebra I, an increase in Level 1 students from 46% in 2021-22 to 51% in 2023-24, while Level 3 students decreased from 31% to 16% over the same period. Student interviews revealed that many felt uncertain about their academic standing. Root causes indicated by our needs assessment included: 1.) A lack of consistent and meaningful communication between teachers, students, and parents, 2.) Limited opportunities for students to understand and articulate their progress towards graduation requirements. This suggests that implementing Student-Led Conferences can address these issues by providing structured opportunities for students to reflect on their academic progress, enhancing communication between all stakeholders, and increasing student engagement in their own learning process by giving them an increased sense of ownership.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р	
Introduce Student-Led Conference (SLC) plan to 9 th grade teaching teams and conduct initial training for teachers during summer break. Create student portfolio guidelines and rubrics. Identify incoming student leaders to participate in conference planning.	By 8/27/26	Hugh Hogle, Peter Sterpe	Summer professional development hours for 9 th grade team teachers		
Introduce SLC concept to students and begin an initial system for collecting portfolio items.	By 9/30/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Class time, 9 th Grade planning time		
Plan SLC dates and communicate with parents	By 9/30/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Time during parent meetings, ParentSquare communication		
Begin scheduling individual conferences with students and parents	By 10/31/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Time for outreach		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER) Implementation/Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
8/27/25	Teacher planning completed	At least 2 teachers on each 9 th grade team have participated in summer planning			
10/15/25	Student portfolio work sample collection	75% of 9 th grade students have collected at least 3 work samples for their portfolios			
10/31/25	Conferences scheduled	50% of 9 th grade students have a conference scheduled with an adult			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 6: Student-Led Conferences	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Hogle
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and Regents exam data that shows in Algebra I, an increase in Level 1 students from 46% in 2021-22 to 51% in 2023-24, while Level 3 students decreased from 31% to 16% over the same period. Student interviews revealed that many felt uncertain about their academic standing. Root causes indicated by our needs assessment included: 1.) A lack of consistent and meaningful communication between teachers, students, and parents, 2.) Limited opportunities for students to understand and articulate their progress towards graduation requirements. This suggests that implementing Student-Led Conferences can address these issues by providing structured opportunities for students to reflect on their academic progress, enhancing communication between all stakeholders, and increasing student engagement in their own learning process by giving them an increased sense of ownership.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

IMPLEMENTATION	PLAN (NOVEMBE	R – DECEMBER)		
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Identify trusted adults who can take part in Student- Led Conferences for students who don't have family who can attend	By 11/15/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Extension of service time	
Conduct the first set of Student-Led Conferences during Conference Day and after school with 9 th grade students.	By 11/15/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Extension of service hours for after-school conferences. Budget for refreshments, time to purchase	
Gather feedback on SLCs from 9th grade students, parents, and teachers	By 11/25/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Survey form	
Meet to evaluate the success of Student-Led Conferences and to plan for next steps	By 12/15/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Time for meetings	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)							
		Outcome Data						
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:					
11/15/25	SLCs completed	50% of 9 th grade students have a SLC with an adult						
11/25/25	Feedback collected	75% of participants in SLCs respond to a survey form						
12/15/25	Student Self-Assessment	75% of 9th grade students will have created portfolios that include self-reflection about their progress in core subjects and sets SMART goals for next marking period.						

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 6: Student-Led Conferences	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Hogle
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and Regents exam data that shows in Algebra I, an increase in Level 1 students from 46% in 2021-22 to 51% in 2023-24, while Level 3 students decreased from 31% to 16% over the same period. Student interviews revealed that many felt uncertain about their academic standing. Root causes indicated by our needs assessment included: 1.) A lack of consistent and meaningful communication between teachers, students, and parents, 2.) Limited opportunities for students to understand and articulate their progress towards graduation requirements. This suggests that implementing Student-Led Conferences can address these issues by providing structured opportunities for students to reflect on their academic progress, enhancing communication between all stakeholders, and increasing student engagement in their own learning process by giving them an increased sense of ownership.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)								
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р				
Plan and communicate spring Student-Led Conference dates for 9th grade	By 2/28/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Time during parent meetings, ParentSquare communication					
Start scheduling spring Student-Led Conferences for 9th grade	By 3/15/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Time to conduct outreach					
Identify trusted adults who can take part in Student- Led Conferences for students who don't have family who can attend	By 3/30/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Planning and meeting time					
Create a folder and begin collecting portfolio items for 10th grade students in preparation for next year.	By 3/30/26	Hugh Hogle, 10 th Grade Humanities Team	Planning and meeting time					

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)						
		Outcome Data					
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:				
2/28/26	Spring SLC dates scheduled and communicated	SLC date(s) have been scheduled and communicated with families					
3/15/25	Student Self-Assessment	80% of 9th grade students will have updated portfolios that include self-reflection about their progress in core subjects and revised SMART goals for next marking period.					
3/20/26	10th grade portfolio items collected	80% of 10 th graders have collected at least 3 items for their next year's portfolio					

No	tes/I	Ref	lect	ions	/Po	tent	ial	Ad	jus	tmer	its	to	Inf	form /	4pri	il – ,	June	lmp	leme	ent	ati	ion	PI	ar
----	-------	-----	------	------	-----	------	-----	----	-----	------	-----	----	-----	--------	------	--------	------	-----	------	-----	-----	-----	----	----

Key Strategy 6: Student-Led Conferences	PD Plan Link:	School Lead: Hogle
	SY2526 PD Plan	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.*

Our needs assessment included walkthroughs, student interviews, and Regents exam data that shows in Algebra I, an increase in Level 1 students from 46% in 2021-22 to 51% in 2023-24, while Level 3 students decreased from 31% to 16% over the same period. Student interviews revealed that many felt uncertain about their academic standing. Root causes indicated by our needs assessment included: 1.) A lack of consistent and meaningful communication between teachers, students, and parents, 2.) Limited opportunities for students to understand and articulate their progress towards graduation requirements. This suggests that implementing Student-Led Conferences can address these issues by providing structured opportunities for students to reflect on their academic progress, enhancing communication between all stakeholders, and increasing student engagement in their own learning process by giving them an increased sense of ownership.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL— JUNE)								
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р				
Conduct spring Student-Led Conferences for 9th grade	By 4/15/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Extension of service hours for after-school conferences. Budget for refreshments, time to purchase					
Gather feedback on SLCs from 9th grade students, parents, and teachers	By 4/30/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team	Survey form					
Vertical meetings between 9 th and 10 th grade teachers sharing out guidelines, rubrics, feedback, and other items of success	By 5/30/26	Hugh Hogle, 9 th Grade SOAR Team, 10 th Grade Humanities Team	Time for meetings					
Plan summer PD for expanding SLCs to 10th grade next year	By 6/12/26	Instruction and Professional Development Committee (IPD)	Extension of service hours for collaboration, Travel to align to NYSED Redesign					

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)							
		Outcome Data						
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:					
4/25/26	Spring SLC completion rate	95% of scheduled 9th grade SLCs completed						
4/30/26	Feedback gathered	75% of participants in SLCs respond to a survey form						
6/15/25	Student Self-Assessment	85% of 9th grade students will have updated portfolios that include self-reflection about their progress in core subjects and self-assessed SMART goal progress for the year.						

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning	

END OF YEAR SURVEY

The following questions and responses will be used as feedback on the school's progress toward each commitment.

	Staff Survey Questions	2024-25	2025-26	Actual
	(Likert Scale: Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree)	Results	Desired Results	Results
1	The school's SCEP was communicated to all staff members and staff members understood it.	40% Strongly Agree 51% Agree 7% Disagree 2% Strongly Disagree	Improve Strongly Agree by 10%	
2	This year, the school's SLT continually focused on and monitored the school's SCEP.	47% Strongly Agree 45% Agree 5% Disagree 2% Strongly Disagree	Improve Strongly Agree by 10%	
3	It was evident that our school focused on ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, develop critical thinking and reasoning skills.	40% Strongly Agree 49% Agree 7% Disagree 4% Strongly Disagree	Improve Strongly Agree by 10%	
4	The school's strategies related to critical thinking and reasoning had a positive impact on student learning.	29% Strongly Agree 64% Agree 5% Disagree 2% Strongly Disagree	Improve Strongly Agree by 21%	
5	It was evident that our school focused on numeracy and literacy.	27% Strongly Agree 64% Agree 5% Disagree 4% Strongly Disagree	Improve Strongly Agree by 23%	
6	The school's strategies related to numeracy and literacy had a positive impact on student learning.	33% Strongly Agree 58% Agree 7% Disagree 2% Strongly Disagree	Improve Strongly Agree by 17%	
7	It was evident that our school focused on students feeling a sense of belonging and daily school attendance.	44% Strongly Agree 51% Agree 7% Disagree 2% Strongly Disagree	Improve Strongly Agree by 10%	
8	The school's strategies related to sense of belonging and student attendance had a positive impact.	42% Strongly Agree 47% Agree 7% Disagree 4% Strongly Disagree	Improve Strongly Agree by 10%	
9	It was evident that our school attempted to align and maximize resources to serve each student's needs.	40% Strongly Agree 47% Agree 7% Disagree 5% Strongly Disagree	Improve Strongly Agree by 10%	
10	The school's strategies related to aligning and maximizing resources for each student's needs had a positive impact.	35% Strongly Agree 49% Agree 13% Disagree 4% Strongly Disagree	Improve Strongly Agree by 15%	

	Student Survey Questions (From Spring District Climate Survey)	2024-25 Results	2025-26 Desired Results	Actual Results
1	How often do your teachers seem excited to be teaching your class? (SC4)	Almost always 14% A lot of the time 32% Sometimes 35% Once in a while 14% Almost never 5%	Increase Almost Always by 15%	
2	How often are people disrespectful to others at your school? (SC1)	Almost never 7% Once in a while 11% Sometimes 26% Frequently 29% Almost always 26%	Increase Almost Never by 15%	
3	How often do students get into physical fights at your school? (SC2)	Almost never 5% Once in a while 12% Sometimes 31% Frequently 32% Almost always 20%	Increase Almost Never by 15%	
4	How likely is it that someone from your school will bully you online? (SC3)	Not at all likely 49% Slightly likely 16% Somewhat likely 19% Quite likely 10% Extremely likely 7%	Increase Not at all Likely by 15%	

5	How often do you worry about violence at your school? (SC4)	Almost never 25% Once in a while 24% Sometimes 31% Frequently 9% Almost always 10%	Increase Almost Never by 15%	
6	If a student is bullied in school, how difficult is it for him/her to get help from an adult? (SC5)	Not at all diff. 27% Slightly difficult 29% Somewhat diff. 27% Quite diff. 11% Extremely diff. 6%	Increase Not at All Difficult by 15%	
7	How much support do the adults at your school give you? (SB2)	A huge amount 15% A lot of support 44% Some support 29% A little bit 10% No support at all 2%	Increase a Huge Amount by 15%	
8	Overall, how much do you feel like you belong at your school? (SB4)	Completely 10% Mostly belong 32% somewhat 30% a little bit 19% Do not belong 9%	Increase Completely Belong by 15%	
9	How excited would you be to have your teacher again? (TSR1)	Extremely 17% Very excited 25% Somewhat 33% A little excited 14% Not at all 11%	Increase Extremely Excited by 15%	
10	How respectful is your teacher towards you? (TSR4)	Extremely 31% Very respectful 48% Somewhat 15% A little respectful 3% Not at all 2%	Increase Extremely by 15%	

	Family Survey Questions	2024-25	2025-26	Actual
	(From Spring Climate Survey)	Results	Desired Results	Results
1	To what extent do you think that children enjoy going to your child's school? (School Climate 1)	Tremendously 23% Enjoy quite a bit 24% Enjoy somewhat 27% Enjoy a little bit 10% Do not enjoy 16%	Increase Tremendously by 15%	
2	How motivating are the classroom lessons at your child's school? (SC2)	Extremely 9% Quite 32% Somewhat 22% Slightly 22% Not at all 16%	Increase Extremely by 15%	
3	How well do administrators at your child's school create a school environment that helps children learn? (SC5)	Extremely well 21% Quite well 31% Somewhat well 18% Slightly well 13% Not well at all 18%	Increase Extremely by 15%	
4	Overall, how much respect do you think the children at your child's school have for the staff? (SC6)	A tremendous 15% Quite a bit 26% Some respect 28% A little bit 15% Almost no 15%	Increase Tremendously by 15%	
5	Overall, how much respect do you think the teachers at your child's school have for the children? (SC7)	A tremendous 23% Quite a bit 29% Some respect 27% A little bit 13% Almost no 9%	Increase Tremendously by 15%	
6	How much of a sense of belonging does your child have at his/her school? (School Fit 2)	Tremendous 19% Quite a bit 38% Some belonging 20% A little bit 11% No belonging 12%	Increase Tremendously by 15%	
7	How well do you feel your child's school is preparing him/her for his/her next academic year? (School Fit 1)	Extremely well 20% Quite well 34% Somewhat well 24% Slightly well 6% Not well at all 16%	Increase Extremely by 15%	

8	How well do the activities offered at your child's school match his/her interests? (School Fit 5)	Extremely well 24% Quite well 31% Somewhat well 20% Slightly well 10% Not well at all 15%	Increase Extremely by 15%	
9	At your child's school. How well does the overall approach to discipline work for your child (School Fit 3)	Extremely well 22% Quite well 32% Somewhat well 15% Slightly well 10% Not well at all 22%	Increase Extremely by 15%	
10	How comfortable is your child in asking for help from school adults? (School Fit 6)	Extremely 16% Quite 38% Somewhat 18% Slightly 15% Not comfortable 14%	Increase Extremely by 15%	
11	Given you child's cultural background, how good of a fit is his/her school. (School Fit 4)	Extremely good 18% Quite good 44% Somewhat good 16% Slightly good 10% Not good at all 12%	Increase Extremely by 15%	
12	How well do the teaching styles of your child's teachers match your child's learning style? (School Fit 7)	Extremely well 18% Quite well 29% Somewhat well 28% Slightly well 9% Not well at all 15%	Increase Extremely by 15%	

EVIDENCE-BASED INTERVENTION

All key strategies pursued by schools should be rooted in evidence. All schools must implement at least one evidence-based intervention that meets the criteria of a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 evidence-based intervention under ESSA. More information can be found at: http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/evidence-based-interventions.

School teams should indicate **all** of the evidence-based interventions that meet the federal definition that will be pursued next year by placing an "X" in the corresponding box below. Schools that adopt the State-Supported Evidence-Based Intervention **under the parameters outlined** at: https://www.nysed.gov/accountability/state-supported-evidence-based-strategies will fulfil the evidence-based intervention requirement.

State-Supported Evidence-Based Interventions	Mark "X" if the school will implement this in 25-26
Align High School and College Courses to Increase Post-Secondary	X
Transition Outcomes Community Schools	
Community Schools	
Elementary School Looping	
Establish an Early Warning Intervention and Monitoring System	
Evidence-Based Instructional Methods	Х
Expanding access to high-quality Out-of-School-Time programs	
High-Quality Instructional Materials	
High-Quality Tutoring	
Incoming Student Induction Programs and Summer Bridge Programs	Х
Instructional Coaching	Х
Middle School Flexible Scheduling	
Multi-Tiered System of Supports – Integrated (MTSS-I)	
Ongoing Job-Embedded Professional Development	X
Principal Leadership Development	X
Professional Learning Communities	X
Restorative Practices	Х

SCEP DEVELOPMENT TEAM PARTICIPATION

In the first two columns, identify the members of the SCEP team and their role (e.g., teacher, assistant principal, parent). In the rest of columns, indicate that team member's participation in each of the activities by identifying the date that person participated in that activity OR leaving the space blank if the person did not participate in that activity.

Name	Role	Envision: Exploring the Vision, Values and Aspirations	Analyze: Internal and External Data	Analyze: Survey Data	Listen: Student Interviews	Envision: Reflect, Synthesize and Plan	Plan Writing and Revision
Example: Mary James	ELL Teacher	3/12	3/19		4/10, 4/11	4/17	5/2, 5/9, 5/16
Andrew Nolan	Principal	10/9, 11/20, 2/25	3/11, 4/8	5/5	5/13	5/14	5/20, 6/3
Ashley Killenbec	Vice-Principal	11/20, 2/25	3/11, 4/8	5/5	5/13	5/14	6/3
Hugh Hogle	Vice-Principal	11/20, 2/25	3/11, 4/8	5/5		5/14	5/27, 6/3
Lyn De Tore	Vice-Principal	11/20, 2/25	3/11, 4/8	5/5		5/14	5/27
Candace Johnson	Vice-Principal	11/20, 2/25	3/11, 4/8	5/5		5/14	6/3
Peter Sterpe	Instructional Coach (SLT)	10/9, 11/20, 2/25	3/11, 4/8	5/5	5/13	5/14	5/20, 5/27, 6/3
Heather Ince	Teacher (SLT)	10/9	3/11, 4/8		5/13	5/14	5/20, 5/27
Anne Daviau	Teacher (SLT)	10/9	3/11, 4/8	5/5	5/13	5/14	5/20
Bryan English	Teacher (SLT)		3/11, 4/8	5/5	5/13	5/14	5/27, 6/3
Joseph Bennett	Teacher (SLT)		3/11, 4/8		5/13		
Kari Egerbrecht	Teacher (SLT)		3/11				
Brittany Button	Teacher (SLT)		3/11		5/13	5/14	5/27
Ricky Maeweather	School Sentry (SLT)		2/11, 3/11, 4/8				
Rebecca Wilk	Teacher	10/9				5/14	5/20
Theresa Lewis	Teacher	10/9					5/20
Midheta Mujak	Teacher			5/5		5/14	
Rebekah Farrell	Teacher					5/14	5/27, 6/3
Jasmine Davis	Dean of Students				5/13		
Calvin Odom	Dean of Students				5/13		
Kenyon Black	Parent (SLT)		2/11, 3/11, 4/8	5/5	5/13		6/3
Tanya Eastman	Parent						
Various Students	Students (Interviews)				5/13		

LEARNING AS A TEAM

Directions: After completing the previous sections, the team should complete the reflective prompt below.

Student Interviews

Describe how the Student Interview process informed the team's plan.

The Student Interview process, including individual Redesign interviews and focus groups using questions to dig deeper into Panorama survey data, significantly shaped our SCEP. Their input led to specific actions in our implementation plan, such as regular creation and analysis of common formative assessments, embedding CER writing and vocabulary support in Academy PLT time, and focusing on differentiated instruction. This student-centered approach informed our progress monitoring indicators. It also guided our long-term planning, which includes a comprehensive review of their impact on student achievement. By incorporating student voices, we ensured our SCEP addresses real needs, aligning with our commitment to improve engagement and academic performance across all content areas at Nottingham High.

Schools in the ATSI and TSI model only

Subgroup Spotlight

Describe how the team has determined that the strategies in this plan are likely to result in improved subgroup performance for the subgroup(s) for which the school has been identified.

Our strategies are designed to improve outcomes for economically disadvantaged students through a comprehensive approach. By implementing formative assessment practices, strengthening PLCs, and focusing on essential standards and SMART goals, we ensure consistent, high-quality instruction for all students. Through strong PLC work in content teams we can ensure that every classroom offers the same high-quality instruction and that students with learning gaps have their needs specifically addressed.