

# 2025-26 School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP)

District	School Name	Principal Name	Grades Served	Accountability Model
Syracuse City	Webster Elementary	Dawn Kivlehan	PreK- 5	CSI

- ✔ Principal Commitment: This plan provides focus and urgency to significantly improve student outcomes, especially for our diverse learners. Per agreement with the Syracuse Teachers Association, the School Leadership Team is responsible for developing, implementing, and monitoring this plan. As approved by the SLT, this plan was collaboratively developed by the SCEP Development Team members identified below. As the school's leader, I commit to 1) pursuing the identified goals, commitments, and strategies, 2) monitoring progress, and 3) adjusting the plan based on ongoing monitoring.
- **✓** Assistant Superintendent has reviewed this plan and will partner with the school to ensure its execution.
- ✓ District has approved this plan and will partner with the school to ensure its execution.
- ✓ Civic Empowerment Project identified: Schoolwide Voting

	SCEP DEVELOPMENT TEAM				
	Name	Title / Role			
1	Dawn Kivlehan	Principal			
2	Elizabeth Bielass	Vice Principal			
3	Caitlin Welch	Vice Principal			
4	Deborah Gilbert	Math Coach			
5	Katherine Carroll	ELA Coach			
6	Jennifer Horn	Teacher, STA Representative			
7	Emily Bajish	Teacher			
8	Julia Adler	Teacher			
9	Giovanna Welch	Teacher			
10	Angela McCray	Teacher			
11	Hope Goettel	Teacher			
12	Katherine Rivito	Teacher			
13	Kemoy Jones	Teacher			
14	Kristin Magnarelli	Teacher			
15	Amanda Andrews	Teacher			
16	Katelyn Cleveland	Teacher			
17	Valerie Gray	Teaching Assistant			
18	Ashley Luttrell	Parent			
19	Gerthy Davis	Parent			

#### 2025-26 SCEP Guidance

Link To Your School's 2025-26 Professional	Mobster DD Blor
Development Plan	Webster PD Plan

## **Table of Contents – Bookmark Links**

## **Overview Page**

- Year End Goals
- District Commitments
- Key Strategies

### **Academic Commitment #1**

- Strategy 1
- Strategy 2

## **Academic Commitment #2**

- Strategy 3
- Strategy 4

## **Attendance Commitment**

Strategy 5 (p. 20)

## **Student Supports Commitment**

Strategy 6

**End of Year Survey** 

**Evidence-Based Intervention** 

**SCEP Development Team Participation** 

**Learning As A Team** 

SIG Expenditure Plan (CSI, ATSI, TSI Only)

#### **OVERVIEW PAGE**

	Year-End Goals					
	Accountability	Specific Year-End Goals				
	Area	Identify at least one goal for each accountability area.				
1	ELA					
2	Math	Goals will be				
3	Attendance	developed after all 2024-25 data are				
4	ELP / Other	available				
5	Graduation Rate / Other / Optional					

	Commitments			
1	Academic	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners,		
		have the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond.		
2	Academic	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners,		
		have the numeracy and literacy skills to prepare them for any path they choose.		
3	Attendance	This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend		
		school daily.		
4	Student	This school is committed to aligning and maximizing resources to serve and impact each		
	Supports	student's needs.		

### School Identified Key Strategies (Maximum of 6)

**Directions:** Use the school's needs assessment results to identify strategies from each drop-down menu that the school will prioritize. Refer to the Strategy Companion Guide for additional guidance on each strategy. Note, this means **a maximum of six strategies** across the four commitments. Confirm whether the strategy is "new" (N) – "expanded" (E) – "refined (R)."

	Commitments		Key Strategies (Refer to Strategy Companion Guide)	N-E- R
1	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have	1	4) Accountable Talk: Balancing Community, Knowledge, and Rigorous Thinking	E
	the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond.	2	Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	E
2	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have	3	2) PLC: Promoting a Collaborative Culture	E
	the numeracy and literacy skills to prepare them for any path they choose.	4	Explicit Instruction for Diverse Learners	N
3	This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend school daily.	5	Promoting Student Voice & Student Leadership	N
4	This school is committed to aligning and maximizing resources to serve and impact each student's needs.	6	Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)	R

Key Strategy 1:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
4) Accountable Talk: Balancing Community, Knowledge,	Webster PD Plan	Gilbert

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Based on IFL Accountable Talk rubrics, Webster is performing between Stage 2 and 3 of implementation. Our focus will be stage 2 (Deeping Learning including teacher voice and reflection to facilitate effective lessons that include accountable talk) to ensure consistent time dedicated to collaboratively plan for the integration of accountable talk practices into lessons and instruction.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

Webster walk through data and the needs assessment data indicates the need for balance in all three *Accountable Talk* features: community, knowledge, and rigorous thinking, to ensure every student in the classroom engages in talk that contributes to their learning. Webster will leverage district AT resources, such as the AT Action Tool for the purposes of lesson writing and reflection, guiding the analysis of student work and setting pedagogical goals. Webster will utilize the District Walkthrough indicators to collect data a few times over the course of the year. This is not a new key strategy.

IMPLEMENTATIO	N PLAN (AUGUST	Г – OCTOBER)		
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	( <i>PD, Budget</i> , People, Time, etc.)	
Engage in all Accountable Talk Modules and all Bridge	9/2/25	K. Carroll-4-5	All teachers, coaches,	
to Practice activities, as identified by SCSD, that	Module 3 &	ELA teachers	administration	
support transfer and application of micro session	Bridge to			
learning	Practice	D. Gilbert-K-5	Accountable Talk	
<ul> <li>Set personal goals for Bridge to Practice</li> </ul>	10/10/25	Math	Implementation Guide	
<ul> <li>Participate in the supported planning to</li> </ul>	Module 4			
embed accountable talk into lessons	Bridge to	Teachers		
<ul> <li>Reflect on implementation of the</li> </ul>	Practice			
Accountable Talk Implementation Guide				
rubric				
Collect & Review student work showing				
evidence of the AVID strategy collaboration				
Collect baseline walkthrough and implementation	9/30/25	K. Carroll	District Team	
data and respond to data collected		D. Gilbert	All teachers	
<ul> <li>Schedule calibration walkthroughs with</li> </ul>		D. Kivlehan		
coaches, administration, lead teachers, and		E. Bielass		
district team		C. Welch		
<ul> <li>Collect walkthrough implementation</li> </ul>				
baseline data				
<ul> <li>Provide feedback to teachers based on walk</li> </ul>				
through data				
Adopt/Modify Accountable Talk Walk through tool	8/31/2025	Content	SLT committee	
and rubric (Section of Webster Walkthrough tool)		Liaisons		

PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)					
	Imple	mentation/Outcome Data			
Date	Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see? What we actually saw:				
08/2025- 10/2025	Teachers have participated in Bridge to Practice-attendance documentation	Goals and next steps are archived in Office 365			

9/2025-	Webster Walkthrough Tool and	Walkthrough data reflects at least 60%	
10/2025	rubric- data. Identifies assets and	proficiency of teachers' in the	
	areas of focus aligned to	Accountable Talk portion of the	
	Accountable Talk	walkthrough tool.	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 1:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Balancing Community, Knowledge,	Webster PD Plan	Gilbert

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Based on IFL Accountable Talk rubrics, Webster is performing between Stage 2 and 3 of implementation. Our focus will be stage 2 (Deeping Learning including teacher voice and reflection to facilitate effective lessons that include accountable talk) to ensure consistent time dedicated to collaboratively plan for the integration of accountable talk practices into lessons and instruction.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

Webster walk through data and the needs assessment data indicates the need for balance in all three *Accountable Talk* features: community, knowledge, and rigorous thinking, to ensure every student in the classroom engages in talk that contributes to their learning. Webster will leverage district AT resources, such as the AT Action Tool for the purposes of lesson writing and reflection, guiding the analysis of student work and setting pedagogical goals. Webster will utilize the District Walkthrough indicators to collect data a few times over the course of the year. This is not a new key strategy.

IMPLEMENTATION	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)			
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Engage in all Accountable Talk Modules and all Bridge to Practice activities, as identified by SCSD, that support transfer and application of micro session learning  • Reflect on personal goals for Bridge to Practice	12/12/25 Module X TTL Bridge to Practice	K. Carroll-4-5 ELA teachers D. Gilbert-K-5 Math	All teachers, coaches, administration	
<ul> <li>Reflect on implementation of the         Accountable Talk Implementation Guide         rubric</li> <li>Collect &amp; Review student work showing         evidence of the AVID strategy collaboration</li> </ul>		Teachers		
Use walkthrough and implementation data and respond to data collected,	12/5/25 Module X TTLP	K. Carroll D. Gilbert D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch	All teachers District Team Teachers Lead Teachers Video Cycles – See Budget	

	PROGRESS MONI	TORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER) Outcome Data	
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:
12/2025	Teachers have participated in Bridge to Practice goals-archived in Office 365	Goals and next steps are archived in Office 365	
11/2025- 12/2025	Accountable Talk Tool and rubric- data. Identifies assets and areas of focus aligned to Accountable Talk	Walkthrough data reflects at least 75% proficiency of teachers' in the Accountable Talk portion of the walkthrough tool.	
12/2025	DIBELS benchmark K-5 Counting and Cardinality K Counting data K-5 NWEA benchmark K-5 Math survey	Progress monitoring indicates a 7% decrease in students in the intensive range from the Fall 2024 data	

12/2025	Learning Walks	Any teacher working towards proficiency will visit those with mastery. Reflections submitted
	Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustn	nents to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 1:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Balancing Community, Knowledge,	Webster PD Plan	Gilbert

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Based on IFL Accountable Talk rubrics, Webster is performing between Stage 2 and 3 of implementation. Our focus will be stage 2 (Deeping Learning including teacher voice and reflection to facilitate effective lessons that include accountable talk) to ensure consistent time dedicated to collaboratively plan for the integration of accountable talk practices into lessons and instruction.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

Webster walk through data and the needs assessment data indicates the need for balance in all three *Accountable Talk* features: community, knowledge, and rigorous thinking, to ensure every student in the classroom engages in talk that contributes to their learning. Webster will leverage district AT resources, such as the AT Action Tool for the purposes of lesson writing and reflection, guiding the analysis of student work and setting pedagogical goals. Webster will utilize the District Walkthrough indicators to collect data a few times over the course of the year. This is not a new key strategy.

IMPLI	EMENTATION P	LAN (January 1	to March)	
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsibl e	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Engage in all Accountable Talk Modules and all Bridge to Practice activities, as identified by SCSD, that support transfer and application of micro session learning  • Set personal goals for Bridge to Practice  • Participate in the supported planning to embed accountable talk into lessons  • Reflect on implementation of the Accountable Talk Implementation Guide rubric  • Collect & Review student work showing evidence of the AVID strategy collaboration	2/13/26 Module 5 Bridge to Practice	K. Carroll 4-5 ELA teachers  D. Gilbert-K- 5 Math  Teachers	All teachers, coaches, administration	
Use walkthrough and implementation data and respond to data collected,  • Conduct walkthrough with lead teachers  • Identify staff who are proficient in specific Accountable Talk Moves  • Provide differentiated feedback/support to teachers based on walk through data	3/13/26	K. Carroll D. Gilbert D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch	All teachers District Team Video Cycles – See Budget	

#### PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY-MARCH)

Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw
2/2026	Teachers have participated in	Goals and next steps are	
	Bridge to Practice goals- archived in Office 365	archived in Office 365	
1/2025-	Accountable Talk Tool and	Walkthrough data reflects at leas	t 85% proficiency of teachers' in the
3/2026	rubric- data. Identifies assets and areas of focus aligned to	Accountable Talk portion of the v	valkthrough tool.
	Accountable Talk		

1/2025-	DIBELS benchmark K-5	Progress monitoring indicates a 10% decrease in students in the	
3/2026	Counting and Cardinality K	intensive range from the Fall 2024 data	
	Counting data K-5		
	NWEA benchmark K-5		
	Math survey		
1/2025-	Learning Walks	Any teacher working towards proficiency will visit those with mastery.	
3/2026		Reflections submitted	

N	Notes/Reflections/Poter	ntial Adjustments to	Inform April – June	Implementation Plant	an

Key Strategy 1:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Balancing Community, Knowledge,		Gilbert

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Based on IFL Accountable Talk rubrics, Webster is performing between Stage 2 and 3 of implementation. Our focus will be stage 2 (Deeping Learning including teacher voice and reflection to facilitate effective lessons that include accountable talk) to ensure consistent time dedicated to collaboratively plan for the integration of accountable talk practices into lessons and instruction.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Webster walk through data and the needs assessment data indicates the need for balance in all three *Accountable Talk* features: community, knowledge, and rigorous thinking, to ensure every student in the classroom engages in talk that contributes to their learning. Webster will leverage district AT resources, such as the AT Action Tool for the purposes of lesson writing and reflection, guiding the analysis of student work and setting pedagogical goals. Webster will utilize the District Walkthrough indicators to collect data a few times over the course of the year. This is not a new key strategy.

IMPLEMENTA	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P	
Reflect on Accountable Talk Modules and all Bridge to Practice activities, as identified by SCSD, that support transfer and application of micro session learning  Continued planning of embedded accountable talk strategies into lessons  Reflect on implementation of the Accountable Talk Implementation Guide rubric	6/13/26	D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch K. Carroll 4-5 ELA teachers D. Gilbert K-5 Math Teachers	All teachers, coaches, administration  Accountable Talk Implementation Guide		
Use walkthrough and implementation data and respond to data collected,	5/29/26	D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch K. Carroll D. Gilbert District Team SLT	All teachers SLT committee Video Cycles – See Budget		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
4/2026- 6/2026	Accountable Talk Tool and rubric- data. Identifies assets and areas of focus aligned to Accountable Talk	Walkthrough data reflects at least 85% proficiency of teachers' in the Accountable Talk portion of the walkthrough tool.		
4/2026- 6/2026	DIBELS benchmark K-5 Counting and Cardinality K Counting data K-5 NWEA benchmark K-5 Math survey	Progress monitoring indicates a 15% decrease in students in the intensive range from the Fall 2024 data		
4/2026- 6/2026	Learning Walks	Any teacher working towards proficiency will visit those with mastery. Reflections submitted		
6/2026	SCEP Template 26-27	Teachers participate in envision activity to assess and identify needs for 26- 27 school year		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Key Strategy 2: Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
	Webster PD Plan	Kivlehan

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Data analysis from the needs assessment suggests that less than a quarter of our students in 3rd-5<sup>th</sup> grade are proficient in both ELA and math. While math proficiency outperformed the state in terms of growth, we only have 22.8% proficiency. Likewise, in ELA, proficiency is on track with state growth, but only 22.9% proficient. Student survey results also indicated a lack of engagement in ELA humanities instruction.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Webster will expand on tier I and II strategies as student survey results highlight a need to elevate humanities instruction and engagement. We will expand instruction through planning which focuses on essential standards and common formative assessment, explicit comprehension, fluency focus, and utilization of digital resources.

IMPLEMENTATIO	N PLAN (AUGUS	Г – OCTOBER)		
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	
Adopt the 15 Day lesson plan protocol	10/31/25	D. Gilbert	PLC Process Team Rubric	
<ul> <li>Modifying district unit plans to focus on</li> </ul>		K.Carroll	Essential Standard – team	
essential standards that connect to Next		Classroom	meetings – See Budget	
Generation question types.		teachers		
<ul> <li>Utilize module/unit lesson internalization</li> </ul>				
protocol and TTLP (Thinking Through Lesson				
Plan Protocol-embed AVID strategies of				
engagement including Accountable Talk)				
<ul> <li>Develop common formative assessments</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Based on the assessment data, students</li> </ul>				
who need extra support receive Tier 2				
interventions, while those who have				
mastered the standard are provided with				
enrichment activities.				
<ul> <li>Embed writing across all units, which include</li> </ul>				
AVID writing strategies				
<ul> <li>Increase attendance in Math Module</li> </ul>				
Internalization (Gen Ed, Sped Ed)				
Utilize Flexible Grouping	10/31/25	D. Gilbert	Reading League	
<ul> <li>Implement small group instruction including</li> </ul>		K. Carroll	Conference- see budget	
UFLI and Word Connections		Teachers	Instructional Materials-	
<ul> <li>Use Equip to pre-assess each math module</li> </ul>		Interventionist	See Budget	
<ul> <li>Walk to read and math models</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Deliver QR/ER/AIS interventions</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Early screening for kindergarten</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Monitor digital content</li> </ul>				
Elevate engagement of Humanities/Social	10/31/25	D. Gilbert	AVID organization	
Studies/Science		K. Carroll	strategies	
Align fieldtrips		Content	Class notebook	
<ul> <li>Implement use of E-binders in 4<sup>th</sup>-5th (AVID)</li> </ul>		Liaisons	Field Trips and Humanities	
<ul> <li>Implement assistive technology</li> </ul>		Teachers	Enrichment- See Budget	
<ul> <li>Grade level end-of-unit task</li> </ul>				
Co-plan library media studies, art, and music				
curriculum into instruction (hook and				
culminating activity/event)				

Build comprehension and fluency across curriculum	10/31/25	D. Gilbert	<u>UFLI Foundations</u>
<ul> <li>Small group plans explicitly teach strategies</li> </ul>		K. Carroll	<u>Decodable Text Guide -</u>
that address answering questions verbally		Teachers	Google Sheets
and in written form.			Word Problem Types (Add
<ul> <li>Utilize companion text for all small group</li> </ul>			<u>&amp; Subtract)</u>
instruction			
<ul> <li>Explicitly teach word problem types and</li> </ul>			
fluency strategies			

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
9/2025	Triangulation of June '25, Fall '25 and formative assessment to ensure proper placement of students for small group instruction.	Data driven student groupings to address instructional level skills.			
9/2025- 10/2025	Digital content and set goals for usage/levels.	Students have personalized goals set and monitored on individual tracker (AVID strategy)			
8/2025- 10/2025	Grade level folders are established on O365. Folders capture evidence of 15-day lesson planning.	Unit plans are archived. Supplementary resources are linked.			
9/2025- 10/2025	DIBELS benchmark K-5 Counting and Cardinality K Counting data K-5 NWEA benchmark K-5 Math survey	Progress monitoring indicates a 10% decrease in students in the intensive range from the Fall 2024 data			
9/2025- 10/2025	Common formative assessments created within the 15 day protocol	80 % of students will show mastery on essential standards taught once Tier 2 interventions are completed following the initial formative assessment			

Key Strategy 2:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	Webster PD Plan	Kivlehan

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses*.

Data analysis from the needs assessment suggests that less than a quarter of our students in 3rd-5<sup>th</sup> grade are proficient in both ELA and math. While math proficiency outperformed the state in terms of growth, we only have 22.8% proficiency. Likewise, in ELA, proficiency is on track with state growth, but only 22.9% proficient. Student survey results also indicated a lack of engagement in ELA humanities instruction.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

Webster will expand on tier I and II strategies as student survey results highlight a need to elevate humanities instruction and engagement. We will expand instruction through planning which focuses on essential standards and common formative assessment, explicit comprehension, fluency focus, and utilization of digital resources.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	
Utilize the 15 Day protocol  Modifying district Unit plan to focus on essential standards that connect to Next Generation question types.  Utilize lesson internalization protocol and TTLP (Thinking Through Lesson Plan)  Develop common formative assessments  Based on the assessment data, students who need extra support receive Tier 2 interventions, while those who have mastered the standard are provided with enrichment activities.  Embed writing across all units  Increase attendance in Module Internalization (Gen Ed, Sped Ed)	12/19/25	D. Gilbert K.Carroll Classroom teachers	Team meetings, co- planning time, PLC  Thinking through a lesson  Protocol  Essential Standard – team meetings – See Budget	
Revise Flexible Grouping  Small group instruction including UFLI and Word Connections  Use Equip to pre-assess each math module  Walk to read and math models  QR/ER/AIS  Monitoring digital content	12/19/25	D. Gilbert K. Carroll Teachers Interventionist	ELA Initiatives Instructional Materials- See Budget	
Increase engagement of Humanities/Social Studies/Science  • Align fieldtrips • Continued use of E-binders in 4 <sup>th</sup> -5th (AVID) • Implement assistive technology • Grade level end-of-unit task • Integration of library media studies, art, and music curriculum into instruction (hook and culminating activity/event)	12/19/25	D. Gilbert K. Carroll Content Liaisons Teachers	AVID conference – see budget Field Trips and Humanities Enrichment- See Budget	
<ul> <li>Expand comprehension and fluency across curriculum</li> <li>Small group plans explicitly teach strategies that address answering questions verbally and in written form.</li> <li>Companion text for all small group instruction</li> <li>Grade levels will explicitly teach word problem types and fluency strategies</li> </ul>	12/19/25	D. Gilbert K. Carroll Teachers	UFLI Foundations Decodable Text Guide - Google Sheets  Word Problem Types (Add & Subtract)	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
		Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
11/2025- 12/2025	District Assessments for ELA and Math	75% of students meet individual growth goals			
11/2025- 12/2025	End-of-unit assessments	50% of student work demonstrates understanding of content and essential standards.			

11/2025-	Grade level folders are updated weekly	Unit plans are archived.	
12/2025	in O365. Folders capture evidence of	Supplementary resources are linked.	
	15-day lesson planning.		
11/2025-	Common formative assessments	80 % of students will show mastery on	
12/2025	created within the 15 day protocol	essential standards taught once Tier 2	
		interventions are completed following	
		the initial formative assessment	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan			

Key Strategy 2:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	Webster PD Plan	Kivlehan

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Data analysis from the needs assessment suggests that less than a quarter of our students in 3rd-5<sup>th</sup> grade are proficient in both ELA and math. While math proficiency outperformed the state in terms of growth, we only have 22.8% proficiency. Likewise, in ELA, proficiency is on track with state growth, but only 22.9% proficient. Student survey results also indicated a lack of engagement in ELA humanities instruction.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Webster will expand on tier I and II strategies as student survey results highlight a need to elevate humanities instruction and engagement. We will expand instruction through planning which focuses on essential standards and common formative assessment, explicit comprehension, fluency focus, and utilization of digital resources.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)			
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
<ul> <li>Adhere to the 15 Day protocol</li> <li>Modifying district Unit plan to focus on essential standards that connect to Next Generation question types.</li> <li>Utilize lesson internalization protocol and TTLP (Thinking Through Lesson Plan)</li> <li>Develop common formative assessments</li> <li>Based on the assessment data, students who need extra support receive Tier 2 interventions, while those who have mastered the standard are provided with enrichment activities.</li> <li>Embed writing across all units</li> <li>Increase attendance in Module Internalization (Gen Ed, Sped Ed)</li> </ul>	03/31/26	D. Gilbert K.Carroll Classroom teachers	Essential Standard – team meetings – See Budget	
<ul> <li>Adjust and Monitor Flexible Grouping</li> <li>Small group instruction including UFLI and Word Connections</li> <li>Use Equip to pre-assess each math module</li> <li>Walk to read and math models</li> <li>QR/ER/AIS</li> <li>Monitoring digital content</li> </ul>	1/31/26 3/31/26	D. Gilbert K. Carroll Teachers Interventionist	Instructional Materials- See Budget	
Intensify engagement of Humanities/Social Studies/Science	3/31/26	D. Gilbert K. Carroll Content Liaisons Teachers	Field Trips and Humanities Enrichment- See Budget	
Increase comprehension and fluency across curriculum	3/31/26	D. Gilbert K. Carroll Teachers	UFLI Foundations Decodable Text Guide - Google Sheets	

•	Small group plans explicitly teach strategies		Word Problem Types (Add	
	that address answering questions verbally		<u>&amp; Subtract)</u>	
	and in written form.			
•	Companion text for all small group			
	instruction			
•	Grade levels will explicitly teach word			
	problem types and fluency strategies			

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH) Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
1/2026- 3/2026	District Assessments for ELA and Math	75% of students meet individual growth goals			
1/2026- 3/2026	End-of-unit assessments	60% of student work demonstrates understanding of content and essential standards.			
1/2026- 3/2026	Grade level folders are updated weekly in O365. Folders capture evidence of 15-day lesson planning.	Unit plans are archived. Supplementary resources are linked.			
1/2026- 3/2026	Common formative assessments created within the 15 day protocol	80 % of students will show mastery on essential standards taught once Tier 2 interventions are completed following the initial formative assessment			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan					

Key Strategy 2:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	Webster PD Plan	Kivlehan

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Data analysis from the needs assessment suggests that less than a quarter of our students in 3rd-5<sup>th</sup> grade are proficient in both ELA and math. While math proficiency outperformed the state in terms of growth, we only have 22.8% proficiency. Likewise, in ELA, proficiency is on track with state growth, but only 22.9% proficient. Student survey results also indicated a lack of engagement in ELA humanities instruction.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Webster will expand on tier I and II strategies as student survey results highlight a need to elevate humanities instruction and engagement. We will expand instruction through planning which focuses on essential standards and common formative assessment, explicit comprehension, fluency focus, and utilization of digital resources.

IMPLEMENTA	TION PLAN (APR	IL – JUNE)		
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	
Follow the 15 Day protocol	6/19/26	D. Gilbert	Essential Standard – team	
<ul> <li>Modifying district Unit plan to focus on</li> </ul>		K. Carroll	meetings – See Budget	
essential standards that connect to Next		Classroom		
Generation question types.		teachers		
<ul> <li>Utilize lesson internalization protocol and</li> </ul>				
TTLP (Thinking Through Lesson Plan)				
<ul> <li>Develop common formative assessments</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Based on the assessment data, students</li> </ul>				
who need extra support receive Tier 2				
interventions, while those who have				
mastered the standard are provided with				
enrichment activities.				
Embed writing across all units				
Increase attendance in Module     Internalization (Con Ed. Smod Ed.)				
Internalization (Gen Ed, Sped Ed)	5/30/26	D. Gilbert	Instructional Materials-	
Refine and Apply Flexible Grouping  • Small group instruction including UFLI and	5/30/26	K. Carroll	See Budget	
<ul> <li>Small group instruction including UFLI and Word Connections</li> </ul>		Teachers	See Budget	
Use Equip to pre-assess each math module		Interventionist		
Walk to read and math models		interventionist		
QR/ER/AIS				
Early screening for K				
Monitoring digital content				
Enhance engagement of Humanities/Social	6/19/26	D. Gilbert	Field Trips and Humanities	
Studies/Science	0,13,20	K. Carroll	Enrichment- See Budget	
Align fieldtrips		Content		
<ul> <li>Implement use of E-binders in 4<sup>th</sup>-5th (AVID)</li> </ul>		Liaisons		
Implement assistive technology		Teachers		
Grade level end-of-unit task				
<ul> <li>Integration of library media studies, art, and</li> </ul>				
music curriculum into instruction (hook and				
culminating activity/event)				
Broaden comprehension and fluency across	6/19/26	D. Gilbert	UFLI Foundations	
curriculum		K. Carroll	Decodable Text Guide -	
		Teachers	Google Sheets	

•	Small group plans explicitly teach strategies		Word Problem Types (Add	
	that address answering questions verbally		<u>&amp; Subtract)</u>	
	and in written form.			
•	Companion text for all small group			
	instruction			
•	Grade levels will explicitly teach word			
	problem types and fluency strategies			

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)				
		Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
4/2026-	District Assessments for ELA and Math	80% of students meet individual			
6/2026		growth goals			
4/2026-	End-of-unit assessments	70% of student work demonstrates			
6/2026		understanding of content and			
		essential standards.			
4/2026-	Grade level folders are updated weekly	Unit plans are archived.			
6/2026	in O365. Folders capture evidence of	Supplementary resources are linked.			
	15-day lesson planning.				
4/2026-	Common formative assessments	80 % of students will show mastery on			
6/2026	created within the 15 day protocol	essential standards taught once Tier 2			
		interventions are completed following			
		the initial formative assessment			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning					

Key Strategy 3:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
PLC: Promoting a Collaborative Culture	Webster PD Plan	Carroll

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout the needs assessment process and school data (NYS, DIBELS, NWEA, math surveys, etc.), we concluded our students were not effectively mastering essential standards. We determined strengthening PLC will improve student outcomes through collective responsibility for student learning ensuring all students have access to high quality instruction. Students will experience a cohesive approach, focused on essential standards, leading to improved learning outcomes.

IMPLEMENTATIO	N PLAN (AUGUS	– OCTOBER)		
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	( <i>PD, Budget</i> , People, Time, etc.)	
Design Teacher Led Collaborative Learning	08/29/25	D. Kivlehan	August PD for Lead	
Experiences		D. Gilbert	Teachers	
Create Lead Teacher Job Description		K. Carroll	Extension of service PD	
Create Content Liaison Webster specific			Solution Tree Consultant	
duties and expectations			support- J. Deinhart	
Build capacity for teacher led facilitation of				
PLC sessions and collaborative PD				
Share vertical alignment and content				
strategies between grade levels				
Create PLC 's of work	10/31/25	D. Kivlehan	Scheduling Committee	
Master Schedule includes dedicated,		D. Gilbert	Solution Tree Consultant	
protected collaboration time for all		K. Carroll	Solution Tree Conference,	
instructional teams		C. Welch	Syracuse – See Budget	
Cycles of inquiry using the 4 PLC questions				
Capture evidence of calendar, pacing guides,				
unit planning, and assessment				
Utilize Thinking Through Lesson Protocol				
Costa's Levels of Questioning				
Establish annual SMART Goals (AVID) aligned to	10/31/25	D. Gilbert	Progress monitoring	
student outcomes		K. Carroll	schedules	
<ul> <li>Schedule progress monitoring dates</li> </ul>			PLC Cycles	
Analyze student work and student data				
Align walkthroughs and peer observations to work	10/31/25	D.Kivlehan	Walkthrough schedules	
done in PLCs		E. Bielass	PLC Cycles	
Schedule peer observations and reflection		C. Welch		
dates		D. Gilbert		
Develop Webster specific walkthrough tools		K. Carroll		
<ul> <li>Schedule weekly walkthroughs to monitor PLC work in the classroom</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Identify trends using walkthrough data to inform PLC and PD opportunities</li> </ul>				
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and	Monthly	ELA Coach	PLC	
PLC data analysis.		K. Carroll	DIBELS probes	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data			
Date	Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see? What we actually saw:			

8/1/25-	Lead teachers and content liaisons	Staff in positions, trained by PLC
9/1/25	identified with defined role	consultant, and leading PLC including
		team meetings
8/15/25	Master Schedule completion	Schedule conducive to collaboration
		and integration of ENL and all Special
		Education supports
8/1/25 –	Webster Walkthrough Tool developed	Walkthrough tool is representative of
8/21/25	and aligned to the SCEP	SCEP key strategies and AVID Look
		Fors
10/15/25	Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF)	80% of kindergarteners will achieve
		benchmark goals

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 3:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
PLC: Promoting a Collaborative Culture	Webster PD Plan	Carroll

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout the needs assessment process and school data (NYS, DIBELS, NWEA, math surveys, etc.), we concluded our students were not effectively mastering essential standards. We determined strengthening PLC will improve student outcomes through collective responsibility for student learning ensuring all students have access to high quality instruction. Students will experience a cohesive approach, focused on essential standards, leading to improved learning outcomes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	
Design Teacher Led Collaborative Learning	12/19/25	D. Kivlehan	August PD for Lead	
Experiences		D. Gilbert	Teachers	
<ul> <li>Create Lead Teacher Job Description</li> </ul>		K. Carroll	Extension of service PD	
Create Content Liaison Webster specific			Solution Tree Consultant	
duties and expectations			support- J. Deinhart	
Build capacity for teacher led facilitation of				
PLC sessions and collaborative PD				
Share vertical alignment and content				
strategies between grade levels				
Create PLCs of work	12/19/25	D. Kivlehan	Scheduling Committee	
Cycles of inquiry using the 4 PLC questions		D. Gilbert	Solution Tree Consultant	
Capture evidence of calendar, pacing guides,		K. Carroll C. Welch	Solution Tree Conference,	
unit planning, and assessment		C. weich	Syracuse – See Budget	
Utilize Thinking Through Lesson Protocol     Casta's Levels of Overtioning				
Costa's Levels of Questioning				
Establish annual SMART Goals (AVID) aligned to	12/19/25	D. Gilbert	Progress monitoring	
student outcomes.		K. Carroll	schedules	
<ul> <li>Schedule progress monitoring dates</li> </ul>			PLC Cycles	
<ul> <li>Analyze student work and student data.</li> </ul>				
Align walkthroughs and peer observations to work	12/19/25	D.Kivlehan	Walkthrough schedules	
done in PLCs.		E. Bielass		
Schedule peer observations and reflection		C. Welch	PLC Cycles	
dates		D. Gilbert		
Develop Webster specific walkthrough tools.		K. Carroll		
Schedule weekly walkthroughs to monitor				
PLC work in the classroom.				
Identify trends using walkthrough data to  inform DIC and DD amount within				
inform PLC and PD opportunities	N. A. a. a. t. la J. v.	ELA Canala	DI C	
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and	Monthly	ELA Coach	PLC DIREIS probes	
PLC data analysis.		K. Carroll	DIBELS probes	

	PROGRESS MONI	TORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)	
Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:

12/1/25- 12/15/25	NWEA results for Math and ELA	Every grade level would be above 50% growth in NWEA in both ELA and Math	
11/1/25- 12/31/25	Bi-monthly DIBELs data	50% students in K-5 will score benchmark on predominate measures.	
11/1/25- 12/15/25	PSF (Kindergarten progress monitoring)	80% achievement benchmark goal	
11/1/25- 12/31/25	Progress monitor Core Set Fluency every 10 days	50% students in K-5 will level up at least one quartile	
11/1/25- 12/31/25	Walkthrough result alignment	50% of lessons are aligned to PLC sessions and the 15 Day Protocol work	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 3:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
PLC: Promoting a Collaborative Culture	Webster PD Plan	Carroll

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout the needs assessment process and school data (NYS, DIBELS, NWEA, math surveys, etc.), we concluded our students were not effectively mastering essential standards. We determined strengthening PLC will improve student outcomes through collective responsibility for student learning ensuring all students have access to high quality instruction. Students will experience a cohesive approach, focused on essential standards, leading to improved learning outcomes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY - MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	( <i>PD, Budget</i> , People, Time, etc.)	
Design Teacher Led Collaborative Learning	03/31/26	D. Kivlehan	August PD for Lead	
Experiences		D. Gilbert	Teachers	
Create Lead Teacher Job Description		K. Carroll	Extension of service PD	
Create Content Liaison Webster specific			Solution Tree Consultant	
duties and expectations.			support- J. Deinhart	
<ul> <li>Build capacity for teacher led facilitation of</li> </ul>				
PLC sessions and collaborative PD.				
<ul> <li>Share vertical alignment and content</li> </ul>				
strategies between grade levels				
Create PLCs of work	03/31/26	D. Kivlehan	Scheduling Committee	
<ul> <li>Maintain cycles of inquiry using the 4 PLC</li> </ul>		D. Gilbert	Solution Tree Consultant- J.	
questions		K. Carroll	Deinhart	
<ul> <li>Capture evidence of calendar, pacing guides,</li> </ul>		C. Welch	Solution Tree Conference,	
unit planning, and assessment			Syracuse – See Budget	
<ul> <li>Utilize Thinking Through Lesson Protocol</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Costa's Levels of Questioning</li> </ul>				
Establish annual SMART Goals (AVID) aligned to	03/31/26	D. Gilbert	Progress monitoring	
student outcomes.		K. Carroll	schedules	
<ul> <li>Schedule progress monitoring dates</li> </ul>			PLC Cycles	
<ul> <li>Analyze student work and student data.</li> </ul>				
Align walkthroughs and peer observations to work	03/31/26	D.Kivlehan	Walkthrough schedules	
done in PLCs.		E. Bielass	PLC Cycles	
<ul> <li>Schedule peer observations and reflection</li> </ul>		C. Welch		
dates		D. Gilbert		
<ul> <li>Develop Webster specific walkthrough tools.</li> </ul>		K. Carroll		
<ul> <li>Schedule weekly walkthroughs to monitor</li> </ul>				
PLC work in the classroom.				
<ul> <li>Identify trends using walkthrough data to</li> </ul>				
inform PLC and PD opportunities				
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and	Monthly	ELA Coach	PLC	
PLC data analysis.		K.Carroll	DIBELS probes	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)		
	Outcome Data		
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:

1/11/26-	NWEA results for Math and ELA	Every grade level would be above 50%	
3/31/26		growth in NWEA in both ELA and	
		Math	
1/11/26-	Bi-monthly DIBELs data	60% students in K-5 will score	
3/31/26		benchmark on predominate	
		measures.	
1/11/26-	Nonsense word fluency: NWF (CLS &	80% achieve benchmark goal	
3/31/26	WRC- Kindergarten progress		
	monitoring)		
1/11/26-	Progress monitor Core Set Fluency	50% students in K-5 will level up at	
3/31/26	every 10 days	least one quartile	
1/11/26-	Walkthrough result alignment	65% of lessons are aligned to PLC	
3/31/26		sessions and the 15 Day Protocol work	

Key Strategy 3:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
PLC: Promoting a Collaborative Culture	Webster PD Plan	Carroll

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout the needs assessment process and school data (NYS, DIBELS, NWEA, math surveys, etc.), we concluded our students were not effectively mastering essential standards. We determined strengthening PLC will improve student outcomes through collective responsibility for student learning ensuring all students have access to high quality instruction. Students will experience a cohesive approach, focused on essential standards, leading to improved learning outcomes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Design Teacher Led Collaborative Learning Experiences	06/25/26	D. Kivlehan D. Gilbert K. Carroll	August PD for Lead Teachers Extension of service PD Solution Tree Consultant support- J. Deinhart	
Master Schedule includes dedicated, protected collaboration time for all instructional teams.     Cycles of inquiry using the 4 PLC questions     Capture evidence of calendar, pacing guides, unit planning, and assessment     Utilize Thinking Through Lesson Protocol     Costa's Levels of Questioning	06/25/26	D. Kivlehan D. Gilbert K. Carroll C. Welch	Scheduling Committee Solution Tree Consultant - J. Deinhart Solution Tree Conference, Syracuse – See Budget	
Establish annual SMART Goals (AVID) aligned to student outcomes.  • Schedule progress monitoring dates  • Analyze student work and student data.	06/25/26	D. Gilbert K. Carroll	Progress monitoring schedules PLC Cycles	
Align walkthroughs and peer observations to work done in PLCs.  Schedule peer observations and reflection dates  Develop Webster specific walkthrough tools. Schedule weekly walkthroughs to monitor PLC work in the classroom. Identify trends using walkthrough data to inform PLC and PD opportunities	06/25/26	D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch D. Gilbert K. Carroll	Walkthrough schedules PLC Cycles	
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and PLC data analysis.	Monthly	ELA Coach K. Carroll	PLC DIBELS probes	

PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)					
	Outcome Data				
Date	Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see? What we actually saw:				

6/2026	NWEA Results	10 % increase in proficiency 10% decrease in intensive Based on June 25 and June 26 data	
4/1/26- 6/20/26	Bi-monthly DIBELs data	60% students in K-5 will score benchmark on predominate measures.	
4/1/26- 6/25/26	Nonsense Word Fluency: NWF (CLS & WRC- Kindergarten Progress monitoring)	80% achieve benchmark goal	
4/1/26- 6/20/26	Progress monitor Core Set Fluency	30% students will demonstrate proficiency on all Core Set fluencies	
6/2026	SCEP Template 26-27	Teachers participate in envision activity to assess and identify needs for 26- 27 school year	
4/1/26- 6/25/26	Walkthrough result alignment	75% of lessons are aligned to PLC sessions and the 15 Day Protocol work	,

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning			

Key Strategy 4:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Explicit Instruction for Diverse Learners	Webster PD	Welch

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

In the 2024-2025 school year the following are true of Webster students:

- 22.8% Students with IEPs
- 2.6% of students with 504s (14/16 with ADHD)
- 22% of students with ENL
- 4.1% of students, without IEPs or 504s, with additional mental health or neurodivergent needs that impact learning.

#### 51.5% of students fall within one of these categories

Given this information, Webster has identified a need to monitor Tier 3: Intensive, individualized interventions for students with significant needs are in place and done with fidelity. This key strategy was chosen based on data variation work and school leadership team envision, reflect, and synthesize activities. This focus on explicit instruction and best practices will benefit all learners.

IMPLEMENTATIO	N PLAN (AUGUST	– OCTOBER)		
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	
Identify KLASS (all students with IEP's/ENL/504's) structures and protocols.  Create individual visual supports and master schedules.  Provide ongoing training specific to visual schedules.  Calendarize events to engage families in collaboration.  Develop inclusive opportunities for students through collaborative conversations among self-contained teachers, CT/gen. ed teachers, related studies, and teaching assistants.	Master Schedules – 9/3/25 Visual Supports – 10/31/25 Training – 9/30/25 & 10/31/25 Calendarize Events – 10/3/25 Collaborative Conversations – 9/3/25	Master Schedules – C. Welch Visual Schedules – C. Welch Training – K. Buck, P. Zumbo, C. Ponto Calendarize Events – C. Welch Collaborative Conversations – Grade Level Administrators	SPE Department Professional Development – visual schedules Family Engagement Plan Related Service Lead Position KLASS training, NC- See budget	
Plan collaborative co-teaching in ENL and CT classrooms  • Professional development of models of coteaching and time to develop co-teaching responsibilities including planning  • Plan, trial, and reflect on collaborative, integrated delivery of instruction, management, and routines.  • Development and use of visuals or shared systems and procedures for transitions and routines  • Ensure planning for vertical teaming of IEP and IEP goals across grade levels that are academically rigorous and attainable. (AVID)	Co-Teaching PD - 8/27/25 Collaboration - 10/31/25 (Team Meetings) Transitions - 9/15/25 Vertical Teaming - 10/31/25 (Monthly)	Co-Teaching PD – C. Welch Collaboration – Grade Level Administrators Transitions – C. Welch Vertical Teaming – C. Welch	PD – Models of co- teaching Padlet, Team Meeting agendas Rubric	

Create a Co-teaching section of the Webster Walkthrough Tool			
Support students with 504s, ADHD, mental health, and behavioral needs.  • Professional development specific to engagement strategies for students with impulsivity/hyperactivity/trauma response  • Provide an overview and refresher of TCIS practices in place.  • Develop, modify, and implement with collaboration from previous teacher positive reinforcement charts, incentives.  • Collaborate with related studies teachers to implement reinforcement charts across settings.  • Review restorative practices to preserve the learning environment and provide equitable access for all.  • Present PBIS restructured plan with individualized students goals to all staff	Professional Development – 10/31/25 (Unit 1 PD) TCIS Overview – 8/27/25 Transition Meetings – 8/28/25 Related Studies – 9/22/25 Restorative Practices – 10/3/25 PBIS – 9/3/25	Professional Development - SCSD Impact Coaches TCIS Overview - SCSD TCIS Trainers Transition Meetings – C. Welch Restorative Practices/PBIS - Equity and School Climate Committee	PD - engagement strategies specific to ADHD, trauma, etc. Inchy's Book Vending Machine™ - PBIS Ongoing Incentive

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What we actually saw:			
09/02/25 - 10/31/25	IEP Goals and BIP data	Progress towards achievement and increase in replacement behaviors – gather baseline data			
09/02/25 - 10/31/25	National Geographic Pretest	ENL progress monitoring baseline data			
09/02/25 - 10/31/25	SSC Data	Gather baseline data and create/modify individual student behavior plans			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan			

Key Strategy 4:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Explicit Instruction for Diverse Learners	Webster PD Plan	Welch

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

In the 2024-2025 school year the following are true of Webster students:

- 22.8% Students with IEPs
- 2.6% of students with 504s (14/16 with ADHD)
- 22% of students with ENL
- 4.1% of students, without IEPs or 504s, with additional mental health or neurodivergent needs that impact learning.

#### 51.5% of students fall within one of these categories

Given this information, Webster has identified a need to monitor Tier 3: Intensive, individualized interventions for students with significant needs are in place and done with fidelity. This key strategy was chosen based on data variation work and school leadership team envision, reflect, and synthesize activities. This focus on explicit instruction and best practices will benefit all learners.

Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
<ul> <li>Implement KLASS (all students with IEP's/ENL/504's) structures and protocols.</li> <li>Monitor and revise individual visual supports and master schedules, as needed.</li> <li>Professional development and collaborative opportunity</li> <li>Engage families in collaboration and events as per the calendar developed in first benchmark.</li> <li>Progress monitoring and adjustment based on tier III instruction.</li> <li>Monitor and revise inclusive opportunities for students through collaborative conversations among self-contained teachers, CT/gen. ed teachers, related studies, and teaching assistants</li> </ul>	Visual supports - 12/19/25 Professional Development - 12/19/25 Family Engagement - Include based on calendarized dates from first benchmark Collaborative Conversations - 12/19/25	Master Schedules – C. Welch Visual Schedules – C. Welch Training – K. Buck, P. Zumbo, C. Ponto Calendarize Events – C. Welch Collaborative Conversations – Grade Level Administrators	SPE Department Professional Development Open House Kindergarten/ KLASS Orientation Family Engagement Plan Team Agendas during triage KLASS training, NC- See budget	
<ul> <li>Implement collaborative co-teaching in ENL and CT classrooms</li> <li>Professional development of models of coteaching and time to develop co-teaching responsibilities including planning</li> <li>Plan, trial, and reflect on collaborative, integrated delivery of instruction, management, and routines.</li> <li>Development and use of visuals or shared systems and procedures for transitions and routines</li> </ul>	Co-Teaching PD - 12/19/25 Collaboration - 12/19/25 (Team Meetings) Transitions - 12/19/25	Co-Teaching PD – C. Welch Collaboration – Grade Level Administrators Transitions – C. Welch Vertical Teaming – C. Welch	PD Mtg Agenda	
Support students with 504s, ADHD, mental health, and behavioral needs.	Professional Development –	Professional Development	PD Agenda	

•	Professional development specific to	12/19/25 (Unit	<ul><li>SCSD Impact</li></ul>	
	engagement strategies for students with	1 PD)	Coaches	
	impulsivity/hyperactivity/trauma response	TCIS Overview –	TCIS Overview	
	(Unit 1)	12/19/25	<ul><li>SCSD TCIS</li></ul>	
•	Provide an overview and refresher of TCIS	Restorative	Trainers	
	practices in place.	Practices –	Restorative	
•	Collaborate with related studies teachers to	12/19/25	Practices/PBIS	
	implement reinforcement charts across	PBIS – 12/19/25	<ul><li>Equity and</li></ul>	
	settings.		School Climate	
•	Restorative practices		Committee	
•	PBIS restructuring with individualized			
	students goals			

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER) Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
11/1/25- 12/19/25	IEP Goals and BIP data	95% of students working towards IEP goals 95% of students will increase in replacement behavior and decrease in targeted behavior as per BIP		
11/1/25- 12/19/25	SSC Data	No more than a 5% reoccurrence in SSC visits for those students without individual plans		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan		
Explicit Instruction for Diverse Learners		

Key Strategy 4:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Explicit Instruction for Diverse Learners	Webster PD Plan	Welch

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

In the 2024-2025 school year the following are true of Webster students:

- 22.8% Students with IEPs
- 2.6% of students with 504s (14/16 with ADHD)
- 22% of students with ENL
- 4.1% of students, without IEPs or 504s, with additional mental health or neurodivergent needs that impact learning.

#### 51.5% of students fall within one of these categories

Given this information, Webster has identified a need to monitor Tier 3: Intensive, individualized interventions for students with significant needs are in place and done with fidelity. This key strategy was chosen based on data variation work and school leadership team envision, reflect, and synthesize activities. This focus on explicit instruction and best practices will benefit all learners.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	( <i>PD, Budget</i> , People, Time, etc.)	
Monitor KLASS (all students with IEP's/ENL/504's)	Visual supports	Master	PD Budget	
structures and protocols.	- 3/27/26	Schedules – C.	KLASS training, NC- See	
<ul> <li>Adjust individual visual supports, as needed.</li> </ul>	Professional	Welch	budget	
<ul> <li>Professional development and collaborative</li> </ul>	Development –	Visual		
opportunity	3/27/26	Schedules – C.		
<ul> <li>Engage families in collaboration and</li> </ul>	Family	Welch		
events as per the calendar developed in first	Engagement -	Training –		
benchmark.	Include based	K. Buck, P.		
<ul> <li>Progress monitoring and adjustment based</li> </ul>	on calendarized	Zumbo, C.		
on tier III instruction.	dates from first	Ponto		
<ul> <li>Monitor and revise inclusive opportunities</li> </ul>	benchmark	Calendarize		
for students through collaborative	Collaborative	Events – C.		
conversations among self-contained	Conversations –	Welch		
teachers, CT/gen. ed teachers, related	3/27/26	Collaborative		
studies, and teaching assistants		Conversations		
		– Grade Level		
		Administrators		
Monitor collaborative co-teaching in ENL and CT	Co-Teaching PD	Co-Teaching	PD – co-teaching	
classrooms	- 3/27/26	PD – C. Welch	PLC Time	
<ul> <li>Professional development of models of co-</li> </ul>	Collaboration –	Collaboration		
teaching and time to develop co-teaching	3/27/26 (Team	– Grade Level		
responsibilities including planning	Meetings)	Administrators		
Plan, trial, and reflect on collaborative,	Transitions –	Transitions – C.		
integrated delivery of instruction,	3/27/26	Welch		
management, and routines.		Vertical		
Development and use of visuals or shared		Teaming – C.		
systems and procedures for transitions and routines		Welch		
Support students with 504s, ADHD, mental health,	Professional	Professional	PLC Time	
and behavioral needs.	Development –	Development	PD – Engagement	
			Strategies	

•	Professional development specific to	3/27/26 (Unit 1	<ul><li>SCSD Impact</li></ul>	TCIS training
	engagement strategies for students with	PD)	Coaches	
	impulsivity/hyperactivity/trauma response	TCIS Overview –	TCIS Overview	
	(Unit 1)	3/27/26	<ul><li>SCSD TCIS</li></ul>	
•	Provide an overview and refresher of TCIS	Restorative	Trainers	
	practices in place.	Practices –	Restorative	
•	Collaborate with related studies teachers to	3/27/26	Practices/PBIS	
	implement reinforcement charts across	PBIS – 3/27/26	<ul><li>Equity and</li></ul>	
	settings.		School Climate	
•	Restorative practices		Committee	
•	PBIS restructuring with individualized			
	students goals			

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH) Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
1/11/26- 3/31/26	IEP Goals and BIP data	95% of students working towards IEP goals 95% of students will increase in replacement behavior and decrease in targeted behavior as per BIP			
1/11/26- 3/31/26	National Geographic Assessment	75% of students meet their target growth goal			
1/11/26- 3/31/26	SSC Data	No more than a 5% reoccurrence in SSC visits for those students without individual plans			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan			

Key Strategy 4:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Explicit Instruction for Diverse Learners	Webster PD	Welch

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

In the 2024-2025 school year the following are true of Webster students:

- 22.8% Students with IEPs
- 2.6% of students with 504s (14/16 with ADHD)
- 22% of students with ENL
- 4.1% of students, without IEPs or 504s, with additional mental health or neurodivergent needs that impact learning.

#### 51.5% of students fall within one of these categories

Given this information, Webster has identified a need to monitor Tier 3: Intensive, individualized interventions for students with significant needs are in place and done with fidelity. This key strategy was chosen based on data variation work and school leadership team envision, reflect, and synthesize activities. This focus on explicit instruction and best practices will benefit all learners.

IMPLEMENTA	ΓΙΟΝ PLAN (APR	IL – JUNE)		
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	( <i>PD, Budget</i> , People, Time, etc.)	
Discuss and beginning planning processes about co-	6/26/26	D. Kivlehan		
planning with incoming co-teacher.		E. Bielass		
		C. Welch		
		K. Carroll		
		D. Gilbert		
Reflect on KLASS (all students with IEP's/ENL/504's)	Visual	Master	Ongoing PD	
structures and protocols.	supports –	Schedules – C.	KLASS training, NC- See	
<ul> <li>Monitor and revise individual visual supports</li> </ul>	6/26/26	Welch	budget	
and master schedules, as needed.	Professional	Visual		
<ul> <li>Professional development and collaborative</li> </ul>	Development	Schedules – C.		
opportunity	- 6/26/26	Welch		
<ul> <li>Engage families in collaboration and events as</li> </ul>	Family	Training –		
per the calendar developed in first	Engagement -	K. Buck, P.		
benchmark.	Include based	Zumbo, C.		
<ul> <li>Progress monitoring and adjustment based</li> </ul>	on	Ponto		
on tier III instruction.	calendarized	Calendarize		
<ul> <li>Monitor and revise inclusive opportunities for</li> </ul>	dates from	Events – C.		
students through collaborative conversations	first	Welch		
among self-contained teachers, CT/gen. ed	benchmark	Collaborative		
teachers, related studies, and teaching	Collaborative	Conversations		
assistants	Conversations	– Grade Level		
	-6/26/26	Administrators		
Reflect on collaborative co-teaching in ENL and CT	Co-Teaching	Co-Teaching	PD- models of co-teaching	
classrooms	PD – 6/26/26	PD – C. Welch		
Professional development of models of co-	Collaboration	Collaboration		
teaching and time to develop co-teaching	- 6/26/26 /T	– Grade Level		
responsibilities including planning	(Team	Administrators		
Plan, trial, and reflect on collaborative,	Meetings)	Transitions – C.		
integrated delivery of instruction,	Transitions –	Welch		
management, and routines.	6/26/26	Vertical		
		Teaming – C.		
		Welch		

Development and use of visuals or shared systems and procedures for transitions and routines			
<ul> <li>Support students with 504s, ADHD, mental health, and behavioral needs.</li> <li>Professional development specific to engagement strategies for students with impulsivity/hyperactivity/trauma response (Unit 1)</li> <li>Provide an overview and refresher of TCIS practices in place.</li> <li>Develop, modify, and implement with collaboration from previous teacher positive reinforcement charts, incentives.</li> <li>Collaborate with related studies teachers to implement reinforcement charts across settings.</li> <li>Restorative practices</li> <li>PBIS restructuring with individualized students goals</li> </ul>	Professional Development - 6/26/26 Unit 1 PD) TCIS Overview - 6/26/26 Restorative Practices - 6/26/26 PBIS - 6/26/26	Professional Development - SCSD Impact Coaches TCIS Overview - SCSD TCIS Trainers Restorative Practices/PBIS - Equity and School Climate Committee	PD – specific to engagement strategies

PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
4/1/26- 6/25/26	IEP Goals and BIP data	95% of students working towards IEP goals 95% of students will increase in replacement behavior and decrease in targeted behavior as per BIP		
4/1/26- 6/25/26	SSC Data	No more than a 5% reoccurrence in SSC visits for those students without individual plans behavior plans		
6/2026	SCEP Template 26-27	Teachers participate in envision activity to assess and identify needs		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning			

**Attendance Commitment:** This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend school daily.

Key Strategy 5:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Promoting Student Voice & Student Leadership	Webster PD Plan	E. Bielass

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

- Based on the Panorama student survey, 55% of Webster students report favorable results in the decision-making processes. This key strategy will support students' sense of belonging and connection to their school community.
- Based on the Panorama staff survey, 19% of Webster staff report favorable results to the question of "how well do
  the students follow directions in your school". Student ownership of PBIS matrix will support student buy-in and
  compliance of rules, norms, and expectations at Webster school.
- This key strategy was chosen based on data variation work and school leadership team envision, reflect, and synthesize activities.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

• Non-applicable – New strategy for the 2025-2026 school year.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)					
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р	
<ul> <li>Build Capacity of Student Council</li> <li>Develop systems and structures for student council, to include meetings and responsibilities</li> <li>Creation of PBIS matrix by student council</li> <li>Plan and implement student led behavioral expectation assemblies for staff and students.</li> <li>Develop systems and structures of "Matrix Monday" for morning announcements</li> <li>Develop systems and structures for the student suggestion box (system for suggestions – Including possible talking stems)</li> <li>Calendarize spirit weeks</li> <li>Introduce student council at Wolfpack and create bulletin board to introduce members and explain purpose</li> </ul>	Systems and structures – 8/26/25 Creation of PBIS matrix – 8/26/25 Student led behavior expectations – 9/19/25 Spirit weeks – 9/30/25	E. Bielass L. Trainham A. Andrews K. Monica	Time allocated in the school day for student council to meet – 1.5 hours/month Subs to cover classroom teachers for meetings PD – PBIS Through an Equity Lens (DEB Department) PBIS Matrix 25-26 Student Council – See budget		
Develop Student Equity Committee     Determine members of student equity committee     Develop systems and structures to include meetings, responsibilities, and participants.     Train students about their responsibilities and expectations as ambassadors     Plan and create celebrations of diversity within the student body (Eid, Black History month, Autism Awareness month, etc.)	Members – 10/3/25 Systems and structures – 10/3/25 Train students – 10/3/25	K. Cleveland M. Blatner M. Clark V. Byrnes M. Van Riper K. Monica J. Wright K. Vargas	DEB Department ENL Department Nationality Workers to support with translation		
<ul> <li>Increase Classroom Decision Making</li> <li>Support students to make decisions within their classrooms regarding norms and expectations (formerly PAX visions)</li> <li>Develop systems and structures for students to self-determine reasonable responses to behavior.</li> </ul>	Classroom norms – 9/15/25 Reasonable responses – 9/15/25	Classroom teachers M. Jackson M. Bourgeois D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch	Time to develop Webster specific expectations to include PAX ideas without PAX partnership PLC using 15-day challenge for teachers to develop Humanities culminating		

<ul> <li>Develop systems for students to choose</li> </ul>	Humanities –	Humanities	projects or learning - Will
projects or culminating Humanities/Social	10/31/25	teachers	link grade level folders as
Studies/Science learning targets and	Staff		they are developed
standards	Framework –		
<ul> <li>Provide and explain a framework to</li> </ul>	10/3/25		
empower staff to have candid conversations			
about equity when students perceive			
something to be unfair with expectations.			

PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:
9/1/25 – 10/31/25	Panorama Survey (Recreated on Microsoft Forms)	Gain baseline data	
9/1/25 – 10/31/25	Attendance Data	At or above 90% overall	

,,			
N	lotes/Reflections/Potential Adjustment	ts to Inform November – December	Implementation Plan
Impact Tea	am will continue to monitor attendance, see	e budget	
•	,	S .	

**Attendance Commitment:** This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend school daily.

Key Strategy 5:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Promoting Student Voice & Student Leadership	Webster PD Plan	E. Bielass

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

- Based on the Panorama student survey, 55% of Webster students report favorable results in the decision-making processes. This key strategy will support students' sense of belonging and connection to their school community.
- Based on the Panorama staff survey, 19% of Webster staff report favorable results to the question of "how well do the students follow directions in your school". Student ownership of PBIS matrix will support student buy-in and compliance of rules, norms, and expectations at Webster school.
- This key strategy was chosen based on data variation work and school leadership team envision, reflect, and synthesize activities.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

• Non-applicable – New strategy for the 2025-2026 school year.

IMPLEMENTATION	PLAN (NOVEMBE	R – DECEMBER)		
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	
<ul> <li>Build Capacity of Student Council</li> <li>Monitor and adjust systems and structures for student council.</li> <li>Monitor student suggestion box for student council concerns and adjust, as needed.</li> <li>Deliver monthly update of student council meetings on morning announcements.</li> <li>Plan student led behavioral expectation assemblies for staff and students.</li> <li>Implement spirit week</li> </ul>	Systems and structures – 12/19/25 Morning announcements – 12/19/25 (Monthly) Student led behavior expectations – 12/19/25 (To be delivered after the holiday recess) Spirit week – Determined by student council	E. Bielass L. Trainham A. Andrews K. Monica	Time allocated in the school day for student council to meet – 1.5 hours/month Subs to cover classroom teachers for meetings Budget/Time – Ongoing changes to playlists for student choice in incentives for PBIS upon accomplishment of goal Student Council – See budget PBIS Matrix 25-26	
<ul> <li>Implement Student Equity Committee</li> <li>Monitor and adjust systems and structures.</li> <li>Adjust expectations for students about their responsibilities and expectations as ambassadors.</li> <li>Implement ambassador program.</li> <li>Plan and create celebrations of diversity within the student body (Eid, Black History month, Autism Awareness month, etc.)</li> </ul>	Systems and structures – 12/19/25 Implement – 11/7/25 Celebrations – 12/19/25	K. Cleveland M. Blatner M. Clark V. Byrnes M. Van Riper K. Monica J. Wright K. Vargas	DEB Department ENL Department Nationality Workers to support with translation	
Discuss as a class the systems for students to self-determine reasonable responses to behavior and adjust as needed.     Expand on systems for students choosing projects or culminating Humanities/Social Studies/Science learning targets and standards.	Reasonable Responses – 10/31/25 Humanities – 10/31/25 Framework – 10/31/25 (Monthly as part of Equity	Classroom teachers M. Jackson M. Bourgeois D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch Humanities teachers	PLC using 15-day challenge for teachers to develop Humanities culminating projects or learning - Will link grade level folders as they are developed	

Review the framework regarding candid	and School		
conversations and expectations to staff	Climate)		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER) Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
11/1/25- 12/31/25	Panorama Survey (Recreated on Microsoft Forms)	5% increase in favorable results from baseline data			
11/1/25- 12/31/25	Attendance Data	At or above 92% overall			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform Jar	uary – March Implementation Plan				
Impact Team will continue to monitor attendance, see budget					

**Attendance Commitment:** This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend school daily.

Key Strategy 5:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Promoting Student Voice & Student Leadership	Webster PD Plan	Bielass

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

- Based on the Panorama student survey, 55% of Webster students report favorable results in the decision-making processes. This key strategy will support students' sense of belonging and connection to their school community.
- Based on the Panorama staff survey, 19% of Webster staff report favorable results to the question of "how well do
  the students follow directions in your school". Student ownership of PBIS matrix will support student buy-in and
  compliance of rules, norms, and expectations at Webster school.
- This key strategy was chosen based on data variation work and school leadership team envision, reflect, and synthesize activities.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

• Non-applicable – New strategy for the 2025-2026 school year.

IMPLEMENTATIO	ON PLAN (JANUA	RY – MARCH)		
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
<ul> <li>Build Capacity of Student Council</li> <li>Monitor and adjust systems and structures for student council, as needed.</li> <li>Deliver monthly update of student council meetings on morning announcements.</li> <li>Facilitate student led behavioral expectation assemblies for staff and students.</li> <li>Reflect and collect feedback on PBIS matrix</li> </ul>	Systems and structures – 3/27/26 Morning announcements – 3/27/26 (Monthly) Student led behavior expectations – 1/9/26 PBIS matrix – 3/27/26	E. Bielass L. Trainham A. Andrews K. Monica	Time allocated in the school day for student council to meet – 1.5 hours/month Subs to cover classroom teachers for meetings Budget/Time – Ongoing changes to playlists for student choice in incentives for PBIS upon accomplishment of goal Budget – Purchase smaller items building wide for spirit week PBIS Matrix 25-26	
<ul> <li>Refine Student Equity Committee</li> <li>Monitor and adjust systems and structures.</li> <li>Adjust expectations for students about their responsibilities and expectations as ambassadors.</li> <li>Monitor ambassador program.</li> <li>Plan and create celebrations of diversity within the student body (Eid, Black History month, Autism Awareness month, etc.)</li> </ul>	Systems and structures – 3/27/26 Implement – 3/27/26 Celebrations – 3/27/26	K. Cleveland M. Blatner M. Clark V. Byrnes M. Van Riper K. Monica J. Wright K. Vargas	DEB Department ENL Department Nationality Workers to support with translation	
<ul> <li>Increase Classroom Decision Making</li> <li>Review and revise norms and expectations, using behavioral data and classroom trends.</li> <li>Monitor the systems for students to self-determine reasonable responses to behavior and adjust as needed.</li> <li>Expand on systems for students choosing projects or culminating Humanities/Social Studies/Science learning targets and standards</li> </ul>	Norms – 1/9/26 Reasonable Responses – 3/27/26 Humanities – 3/27/26 Framework - 3/27/26 (Monthly as part of Equity	Classroom teachers M. Jackson M. Bourgeois D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch Humanities teachers	Discipline data – Both informal (SSC) and formal (referral) PLC using 15-day challenge for teachers to develop Humanities culminating projects or learning - Will link grade level folders as they are developed	

Review the framework regarding candid	and School		
conversations and expectations to staff	Climate)		

PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)					
	Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
1/1/26-	Panorama Survey (Recreated on	5% increase in favorable results from			
3/1/26	Microsoft Forms)	November administration			
1/1/26-	Attendance Data	At or above 94% overall			
3/1/26					

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan			
Impact team will continue to monitor attendance, see budget			

**Attendance Commitment:** This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend school daily.

Key Strategy 5:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Promoting Student Voice & Student Leadership	Webster PD Plan	Bielass

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

- Based on the Panorama student survey, 55% of Webster students report favorable results in the decision-making processes. This key strategy will support students' sense of belonging and connection to their school community.
- Based on the Panorama staff survey, 19% of Webster staff report favorable results to the question of "how well do
  the students follow directions in your school". Student ownership of PBIS matrix will support student buy-in and
  compliance of rules, norms, and expectations at Webster school.
- This key strategy was chosen based on data variation work and school leadership team envision, reflect, and synthesize activities.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy.

• Non-applicable – New strategy for the 2025-2026 school year.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	
<ul> <li>Build Capacity of Student Council</li> <li>Reflect on and adjust systems and structures</li> </ul>	Systems and structures –	E. Bielass L. Trainham	Staff and student survey/interview to	
for student council to capture for 26-27 school year.	6/26/26 Morning	A. Andrews K. Monica	determine effectiveness of student council	
Deliver monthly update of student council meetings on morning announcements.	announcements - 6/26/26	K. World	Time, budget, and people to revise PBIS matrix	
Revise PBIS matrix for 26-27 school year.	(Monthly) Matrix – 6/1/26		Voting system for student council	
<ul> <li>Vote on 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> graders for the 26-27 student council members</li> </ul>	Members – 6/18/26		Student Council – See Budget	
Refine and Reflect on Student Equity Committee  • Implement ambassador program.	Implement – 6/26/26	K. Cleveland M. Blatner	DEB Department ENL Department	
<ul> <li>Plan and create celebrations of diversity within the student body (Eid, Black History</li> </ul>	Celebrations – 6/26/26	M. Clark V. Byrnes	Nationality Workers to support with translation	
<ul> <li>month, Autism Awareness month, etc.)</li> <li>Reflect on Student Equity Committee from the year to plan for the 26-27 school year.</li> </ul>	Reflection – 6/18/26	M. Van Riper K. Monica J. Wright	Staff and student survey/interview to determine effectiveness of	
<ul> <li>Increase Classroom Decision Making</li> <li>Review the framework regarding candid conversations and expectations to staff.</li> <li>Reflect on systems for students choosing projects or culminating Humanities/Social Studies/Science learning targets and standards and capture process for the 26-27 school year.</li> <li>Create an opportunity for students to highlight at least one culminating Humanities project to incoming students.</li> </ul>	Framework - 6/26/26 (Monthly as part of Equity and School Climate) Reflection – 6/26/26 (Following final Humanities unit of the year) Highlight – 6/15/26	K. Vargas  Classroom teachers M. Jackson M. Bourgeois D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch Humanities teachers	Student equity committee  Staff and student survey/interview to determine effectiveness of Humanities culminating projects/learning targets Time and people to showcase student work	

PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)				
Outcome Data				
Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see? What we actually saw:				

4/1/26-	Panorama Survey (Recreated on	Increase of 15% in favorable	
6/1/26	Microsoft Forms)	responses from baseline data	
4/1/26-	Attendance Data	Level 3 (95%) overall attendance data	
6/25/26		status	
6/2026	SCEP Template 26-27	Teachers participate in envision	
		activity to assess and identify needs	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning			
Impact Team will continue to monitor attendance, see budget			

Key Strategy 6:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)	Webster PD Plan	Kivlehan

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

With continued focus on the streamlined and systematic components of MTSS, safeguards the following are taking place:

- **Tier 1:** Ensure high-quality teaching practices are in place.
- Tier 2: Targeted interventions for students needing extra support is in place and data driven.
- **Tier 3:** Intensive, individualized interventions for students with significant needs. These interventions should be closely monitored for effectiveness.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р	
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)		
<ul> <li>Maintain consistent systems and structures for SIT.</li> <li>Provide ongoing professional development to explain referral process, triage, and its connection to behavioral based SIT referrals.</li> <li>Develop a system to ensure that meeting schedules are communicated in a timely manner.</li> <li>Establish norms to ensure efficiency and focus.</li> <li>Create an agenda which includes staff responsibilities and deadlines.</li> <li>Provide professional development on SIT process to include referrals, participants, and parent involvement.</li> <li>Create a streamlined documentation system, including teachers' responsibilities to progress monitoring.</li> <li>Develop system to flag when additional support is needed</li> </ul>	Process- 9/3/25 Implementation Systems – 9/30/25 Professional Development – 10/10/25	D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch K. Vargas J. Wright	Professional Development-Opening Days Documentation Protocols published and reviewed Additional supports may include: Impact coaches, pd, building coaches. District behavioral support staff District Student Support Services CBOs Impact Team – see budget		
Schedule and document Academic Team meetings to	10/31/25	D. Kivlehan	Coaching Cycles, impact		
progress monitor:	= 5, 5 =, = 5	D. Gilbert	coaches		
<ul> <li>Student interventions</li> <li>Analyze trends in data (DIBELS, NWEA, benchmark testing)</li> <li>Identify students in need of support.</li> <li>Informing coaching cycles, PLC, and additional support</li> </ul>		K. Carroll	PD to support interventions Impact Team – see budget After School Tutoring- See budget		
<ul> <li>Maintain and expand our Attendance Team</li> <li>Revise the ongoing agenda to be used at weekly meetings.</li> <li>Identify meeting participants, roles, responsibilities, and norms.</li> </ul>	Outreach to families – 8/31/25 Systems – 9/2/25 Impact Team – 9/12/25	C. Bixler (outreach) D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch K. Vargas J. Wright	Microsoft Office folders Agenda and norms		

•	Systematize teacher outreach for attendance and provide professional development based on expectations.	Incentives – 9/12/25	K. Royce	
•	Develop systems for monitoring interventions for chronically absent students.			
•	Develop system to link attendance concerns to current SIT academic referrals.			
•	Develop collaboration between impact team and attendance team.			
•	Develop and schedule tier I incentives			

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
9/15/25- 10/31/25	Academic Data (NWEA, DIBELs, Math Surveys, Counting Data, Core Set Fluency)	Progress monitoring indicates a 10% decrease in students in the intensive range from the Fall 2024 data			
8/1/25- 10/31/25	Attendance Data	Identify students who were chronically absent in the 2024-2025 school year and ensure they have an attendance plan/support prior to the start of the 25-26 school year Reduce chronically absent students by 0.5% as compared to 2024 data			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 6:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)	Webster PD Plan	Kivlehan

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

With continued focus on the streamlined and systematic components of MTSS, safeguards the following are taking place:

- Tier 1: Ensure high-quality teaching practices are in place.
- Tier 2: Targeted interventions for students needing extra support is in place and data driven.
- **Tier 3:** Intensive, individualized interventions for students with significant needs. These interventions should be closely monitored for effectiveness.

IMPLEMENTATION	PLAN (NOVEMBE	R – DECEMBER)		
Essential Action Steps	Timeline	Person(s)	Resource Alignment	Р
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	(PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	
<ul> <li>Maintain consistent systems and structures for SIT.</li> <li>Monitor and adjust systems to ensure that meeting schedule is communicated in a timely manner.</li> <li>Review norms to ensure efficiency and focus.</li> <li>Monitor and adjust agenda which includes staff responsibilities and deadlines.</li> <li>Provide professional development on SIT process to include referrals, participants, and parent involvement.</li> <li>Monitor and adjust streamlined documentation system</li> </ul>	Communication - 12/19/25 Norms - 12/19/25 Agenda - 12/19/25 Professional Development - 12/19/25 Documentation - 12/19/25	D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch K. Vargas J. Wright		
Monitor and adjust frequency and efficiency of Academic Team meetings to progress monitor:	12/19/25	D. Kivlehan D. Gilbert K. Carroll	After School Tutoring- See budget	
<ul> <li>Maintain and expand on our Attendance Team</li> <li>Monitor and adjust ongoing agenda to be used at weekly meetings.</li> <li>Review system for teacher outreach for attendance.</li> <li>Monitor and adjust systems for monitoring interventions for chronically absent students.</li> <li>Monitor system to link attendance concerns to current SIT academic referrals.</li> <li>Monitor collaboration between impact team and attendance team.</li> </ul>	Agenda – 12/19/25 Outreach – 12/19/25 Monitoring System – 12/19/25 Impact Team – 12/19/25 Incentives - Include based on calendarized	C. Bixler (outreach) D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch K. Vargas J. Wright K. Royce		

Implement additional tier I incentives at	dates from first		
times of historically poor attendance (i.e.,	benchmark		1
Thanksgiving, Winter Break, etc.)			

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER) Outcome Data				
Date	Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see? What we actually saw:				
11/1/25- 12/19/25	SIT Referrals	Teachers submitting referrals for students in need of additional supports with attendance, behavioral, or academic needs			
11/1/25- 12/19/25	District Assessments for ELA and Math	70% of students meet individual growth goals			
11/1/25- 12/19/25	Attendance Data	Reduce chronically absent students by 1.0% as compared to the 24-25 data			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan		

Key Strategy 6:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)	Webster Pd Plan	Kivlehan

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

With continued focus on the streamlined and systematic components of MTSS, safeguards the following are taking place:

- **Tier 1:** Ensure high-quality teaching practices are in place.
- Tier 2: Targeted interventions for students needing extra support is in place and data driven.
- **Tier 3:** Intensive, individualized interventions for students with significant needs. These interventions should be closely monitored for effectiveness.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р	
<ul> <li>Maintain a consistent systems and structures for SIT.</li> <li>Monitor and adjust systems to ensure that meeting schedule is communicated in a timely manner.</li> <li>Review norms to ensure efficiency and focus.</li> <li>Monitor and adjust agenda which includes staff responsibilities and deadlines.</li> <li>Provide professional development on SIT process to include referrals, participants, and parent involvement.</li> <li>Monitor and adjust streamlined documentation system</li> </ul>	Communication - 3/27/26 Norms - 3/27/26 Agenda - 3/27/26 Professional Development - 3/27/26 Documentation - 3/27/26	D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch K. Vargas J. Wright			
<ul> <li>Monitor and adjust frequency and efficiency of Academic Team meetings to progress monitor:         <ul> <li>Track Student interventions</li> <li>Analyze trends in data (DIBELS, NWEA, benchmark testing)</li> <li>Identify students in need of support.</li> <li>Inform coaching cycles, PLC, and additional support</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3/27/26	D. Kivlehan D. Gilbert K. Carroll	After School Tutoring- See budget		
<ul> <li>Maintain and expand on our Attendance Team</li> <li>Monitor and adjust ongoing agenda to be used at weekly meetings.</li> <li>Review system for teacher outreach for attendance.</li> <li>Monitor and adjust systems for monitoring interventions for chronically absent students.</li> <li>Monitor system to link attendance concerns to current SIT academic referrals.</li> <li>Monitor collaboration between impact team and attendance team</li> </ul>	Agenda – 3/27/26 Outreach – 3/27/26 Monitoring System – 3/27/26 Impact Team – 3/27/26	C. Bixler (outreach) D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch K. Vargas J. Wright K. Royce			

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)				
	Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
1/5/26-	SIT Referrals	Interventions in place are reviewed,			
3/27/26		and progress monitoring is collected.			
		Data is analyzed for progress and			
		student success			
1/5/26-	District Assessments for ELA and Math	75% of students meet individual			
3/27/26		growth goals			
1/5/26-	Attendance Data	Reduce chronically absent students by			
3/27/26		1.5% as compared to the 24-25 data			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 6:	PD Plan Link:	School Lead:
Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)	Webster PD Plan	Kivlehan

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

With continued focus on the streamlined and systematic components of MTSS, safeguards the following are taking place:

- **Tier 1:** Ensure high-quality teaching practices are in place.
- Tier 2: Targeted interventions for students needing extra support is in place and data driven.
- **Tier 3:** Intensive, individualized interventions for students with significant needs. These interventions should be closely monitored for effectiveness.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL- JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
<ul> <li>Maintain a consistent systems and structures for SIT.</li> <li>Reflect and adjust systems to ensure that meeting schedule is communicated in a timely manner.</li> <li>Reflect and adjust agenda which includes staff responsibilities and deadlines.</li> <li>Review professional development on SIT process to include referrals, participants, and parent involvement to determine necessary PD for 26-27 school year.</li> <li>Reflect and adjust streamlined documentation system</li> </ul>	Communication - 6/8/26 Agenda - 6/8/26 Professional Development - 6/15/26 Documentation - 6/15/26	D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch K. Vargas J. Wright	Model Schools Conference- See budget	
Reflect on and formalize systems and structures that led to success in Academic Team meetings in the 25-26 school year to replicate for the 26-27 school year to include:  • Monitor Student interventions • Analyze trends in data (DIBELS, NWEA, benchmark testing) • Identify students in need of support. • Informing coaching cycles, PLC, and additional support	6/26/26	D. Kivlehan D. Gilbert K. Carroll	After School Tutoring- See budget	
<ul> <li>Maintain and expand on our Attendance Team</li> <li>Reflect on efficiency of the ongoing agenda used at weekly meetings.</li> <li>Reflect on system for teacher outreach for attendance.</li> <li>Reflect on systems for monitoring interventions for chronically absent students.</li> <li>Reflect on system to link attendance concerns to current SIT academic referrals.</li> <li>Reflect on collaboration between impact team and attendance team</li> </ul>	Agenda – 6/12/26 Outreach – 6/12/26 Monitoring System – 6/12/26 Impact Team – 6/12/26	C. Bixler (outreach) D. Kivlehan E. Bielass C. Welch K. Vargas J. Wright K. Royce		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data			
Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see? What we actually saw:				
4/1/26- 6/25/26	District Assessments for ELA and Math	80% of students meet individual growth goals		
4/1/26- 6/25/26	Attendance Data	Reduce chronically absent students by 2.0% as compared to 24-25 data		
6/2026	SCEP Template 26-27	Teachers participate in envision activity to assess and identify needs		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning		

# **END OF YEAR SURVEY**

The following questions and responses will be used as feedback on the school's progress toward each commitment.

	Staff Survey Questions	2024-25	2025-26	Actual
	(Likert Scale: Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree)	Results	<b>Desired Results</b>	Results
1	The school's SCEP was communicated to all staff members and staff members understood it.	91% Favorable	96%	
2	This year, the school's SLT continually focused on and monitored the school's SCEP.	94% Favorable	99%	
3	It was evident that our school focused on ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, develop critical thinking and reasoning skills.	91% Favorable	96%	
4	The school's strategies related to critical thinking and reasoning had a positive impact on student learning.	88% Favorable	93%	
5	It was evident that our school focused on numeracy and literacy.	94% Favorable	99%	
6	The school's strategies related to numeracy and literacy had a positive impact on student learning.	88% Favorable	93%	
7	It was evident that our school focused on students feeling a sense of belonging and daily school attendance.	82% Favorable	87%	
8	The school's strategies related to sense of belonging and student attendance had a positive impact.	82% Favorable	87%	
9	It was evident that our school attempted to align and maximize resources to serve each student's needs.	76% Favorable	81%	
10	The school's strategies related to aligning and maximizing resources for each student's needs had a positive impact.	77% Favorable	82%	

	Student Survey Questions (From Spring District Climate Survey)	2024-25 Results	2025-26 Desired Results	Actual Results
1	How often do your teachers seem excited to be teaching your class? (SC4)	51% Favorable	60%	
2	How often are people disrespectful to others at your school? (SC1)	22% Favorable	40%	
3	How often do students get into physical fights at your school? (SC2)	41% Favorable	20%	
4	How likely is it that someone from your school will bully you online? (SC3)	67% Favorable	80%	
5	How often do you worry about violence at your school? (SC4)	46% Favorable	60%	
6	If a student is bullied in school, how difficult is it for him/her to get help from an adult? (SC5)	55% Favorable	70%	
7	How much support do the adults at your school give you? (SB2)	70% Favorable	85%	
8	Overall, how much do you feel like you belong at your school? (SB4)	55% Favorable	80%	
9	How excited would you be to have your teacher again? (TSR1)	71% Favorable	80%	
10	How respectful is your teacher towards you? (TSR4)	80% Favorable	90%	

	Family Survey Questions	2024-25	2025-26	Actual
	(From Spring Climate Survey)	Results	Desired Results	Results
1	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved with your child's current school: The school provides little information about involvement opportunities? (BE7)	Results not available		
2	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved with your child's current school: The School is not welcoming to students? (BE8)	Results not available		
3	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved with your child's current school: The school does not communicate well with people from your culture? (BE9)	Results not available		
4	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involve with your child's current school: You do not feel a sense of belonging with your child's school community? (BE10)	Results not available		
5	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved with your child's current school: You worry that adults at the school will treat your child differently if you raise a concern? (BE13)	Results not available		
6	To what extent do you think that children enjoy going to your child's school? (SC1)	74% Favorable	85%	
7	How motivating are the classroom lessons at your child's school? (SC2)	72% Favorable	80%	
8	How well do administrators at your child's school create a school environment that helps children learn? (SC5)	85% Favorable	90%	
9	Overall, how much respect do you think the children at your child's school have for the staff? (SC6)	87% Favorable	90%	
10	Overall, how much respect do you think the teachers at your child's school have for the children? (SC7)	92% Favorable	95%	

#### **EVIDENCE-BASED INTERVENTION**

All key strategies pursued by schools should be rooted in evidence. All schools must implement at least one evidence-based intervention that meets the criteria of a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 evidence-based intervention under ESSA. More information can be found at: http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/evidence-based-interventions.

School teams should indicate **all** of the evidence-based interventions that meet the federal definition that will be pursued next year by placing an "X" in the corresponding box below. Schools that adopt the State-Supported Evidence-Based Intervention **under the parameters outlined** at: <a href="https://www.nysed.gov/accountability/state-supported-evidence-based-strategies">https://www.nysed.gov/accountability/state-supported-evidence-based-strategies</a> will fulfil the evidence-based intervention requirement.

State-Supported Evidence-Based Interventions	Mark "X" if the school will implement this in 25-26
Align High School and College Courses to Increase Post-Secondary	
Transition Outcomes	
Community Schools	
Elementary School Looping	
Establish an Early Warning Intervention and Monitoring System	Х
Evidence-Based Instructional Methods	Х
Expanding access to high-quality Out-of-School-Time programs	х
High-Quality Instructional Materials	х
High-Quality Tutoring	х
Incoming Student Induction Programs and Summer Bridge Programs	
Instructional Coaching	х
Middle School Flexible Scheduling	
Multi-Tiered System of Supports – Integrated (MTSS-I)	х
Ongoing Job-Embedded Professional Development	Х
Principal Leadership Development	х
Professional Learning Communities	Х
Restorative Practices	х

## **SCEP DEVELOPMENT TEAM PARTICIPATION**

In the first two columns, identify the members of the SCEP team and their role (e.g., teacher, assistant principal, parent). In the rest of columns, indicate that team member's participation in each of the activities by identifying the date that person participated in that activity OR leaving the space blank if the person did not participate in that activity.

Name	Role	Orientation to School Teams (required for new TSI)	Envision: Exploring the Vision, Values and Aspirations	Analyze: Internal and External Data	Analyze: Survey Data	Listen: Student Interviews	Envision: Reflect, Synthesize and Plan	Plan To Write and Revision
Example: Mary James	ELL Teacher	3/5	3/12	3/19		4/10, 4/11	4/17	5/2, 5/9, 5/16
Classroom, Related Studies, Related Services, ENL, Social Workers, and Special Education Teachers	All Unit 1 Staff	NA	4/28	4/24	4/29, 5/1		5/19	5/27- 5/29
Jennifer Horn Maria VanRiper Valeria Gray Hope Goettel Kym Jones Kristin Magnarelli Deb Gilbert	SLT Members		4/28	4/24	4/29,5/1		5/12	5/27- 5/29
Emily Bajish Katie Carroll Katie Rivito Katie Cleveland Amanda Andrews	ILT Members		4/28	4/24	4/29,5/1		5/12	5/27- 5/29
Dawn Kivlehan Elizabeth Bielass Caitlin Welch	Administrative Team		4/28	4/24	4/29,5/1		5/19, 5/12	5/27- 5/29
John George	Insight Consultant			4/24				5/29
Jennifer Deinhart	Solution Tree Consultant							5/16
Amanda Andrews Laurie Trainham	Student council Advisors		4/28	4/24	4/29,5/1	5/5-5/9	5/19	5/27- 5/29
Ryenn Moore	Teacher		4/28	4/24	4/29,5/1	5/5-5/9	5/19	5/27- 5/29
Kimberlee Vargas	Social Worker		4/28	4/24	4/29,5/1	5/5-5/9	5/19	5/27- 5/29
Gerthy Davis	Parent							6/4
Ashley Luttrell	Parent							6/4

#### **LEARNING AS A TEAM**

Directions: After completing the previous sections, the team should complete the reflective prompt below.

#### **Student Interviews**

Describe how the Student Interview process informed the team's plan.

Student Interview questions were captured by classroom teachers, social workers, and student council staff via a Microsoft form. Responses were sorted and shared with the School Leadership Team and once again during a faculty meeting. Student responses were sorted; the following topics were most prevalent and addressed in the SCEP:

- Humanities, not engaging- See key Strategies 2 and 3
- More engaging activities in art, music, and library- See Key Strategies 2 and 3
- Avoiding drama especially when you are not directly involved See Key Strategy 5

#### Schools in the ATSI and TSI model only

### **Subgroup Spotlight**

Describe how the team has determined that the strategies in this plan are likely to result in improved subgroup
performance for the subgroup(s) for which the school has been identified.