

## 2025-26 School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP)

District	School Name	Principal Name	Grades Served	Accountability Model
Syracuse City	Roberts PreK-8	Dr. Sharon Archer	Prek-8	CSI

- ✓ **Principal Commitment:** This plan provides focus and urgency to significantly improve student outcomes, especially for our diverse learners. Per agreement with the Syracuse Teachers Association, the School Leadership Team is responsible for developing, implementing, and monitoring this plan. As approved by the SLT, this plan was collaboratively developed by the SCEP Development Team members identified below. As the school's leader, I commit to 1) pursuing the identified goals, commitments, and strategies, 2) monitoring progress, and 3) adjusting the plan based on ongoing monitoring.
- ✓ Assistant Superintendent has reviewed this plan and will partner with the school to ensure its execution.
- ✓ District has approved this plan and will partner with the school to ensure its execution.
- ✓ Civic Empowerment Project identified: Monthly School Focus Groups

	SCEP DEVELOPMENT TEAM									
	Name	Title / Role								
1	Dr. Sharon Archer	Principal								
2	Lindsay Thompson	Vice principal								
3	Jenniffer Benedetto	Vice principal								
4	Kelly Wolfram	Instructional Coach								
5	Christopher Constantino	IB Coordinator								
6	Lacey Dowd	IB Coordinator								
7	Phillp Madvek	8 <sup>th</sup> grade Science								
8	Alicia Whitmore	DEB Lead/8 <sup>th</sup> grade Social Studies								
9	Annmarie Jones	AIS/ STA building rep								
10	Marissa Mims	CTE/ DEB Lead								
11	Cindi Metallo	AIS								
12	Kathy Doner	6 <sup>th</sup> grade ELA								
13	Amy Phinney	Social Worker								
14	Leigh Sexton	Social Worker								
15	Erin Earnestine	Social Work Assistant								
16	Zona Williams	Parent								
17	Candi Edwards	Parent								
18	Alea Amyot	Kindergarten CT								
19	Michelle Terpening	3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade								

#### 2025-26 SCEP Guidance

Link To Your School's 2025-26 Professional	SY2526 PD Plan [Roberts].xlsx
Development Plan	

## **Table of Contents – Bookmark Links**

## **Overview Page**

- Year End Goals
- District Commitments
- Key Strategies

### **Academic Commitment #1**

- Strategy 1
- Strategy 2

### **Academic Commitment #2**

- Strategy 3
- Strategy 4

### **Attendance Commitment**

Strategy 5

## **Student Supports Commitment**

Strategy 6

## **End of Year Survey**

**Evidence-Based Intervention** 

**SCEP Development Team Participation** 

**Learning As A Team** 

SIG Expenditure Plan (CSI, ATSI,TSI Only)

#### **OVERVIEW PAGE**

	Year-End Goals								
	Accountability Specific Year-End Goals								
	Area	Identify at least one goal for each accountability area.							
1	ELA								
2	Math								
3	Attendance								
4	ELP / Other								
5	Graduation Rate / Other / Optional								

	Commitments								
1	1 Academic This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners,								
		have the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond.							
2	Academic	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners,							
		have the numeracy and literacy skills to prepare them for any path they choose.							
3	Attendance	This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend							
		school daily.							
4	Student	This school is committed to aligning and maximizing resources to serve and impact each							
	Supports	student's needs.							

### School Identified Key Strategies (Maximum of 6)

**Directions:** Use the school's needs assessment results to identify strategies from each drop-down menu that the school will prioritize. Refer to the Strategy Companion Guide for additional guidance on each strategy. Note, this means **a maximum of six strategies** across the four commitments. Confirm whether the strategy is "new" (N) – "expanded" (E) – "refined (R)."

	Commitments		<b>Key Strategies</b> (Refer to Strategy Companion Guide)	N-E- R
1	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have	1	2) Accountable Talk: Using Tools to Plan	Е
	the critical thinking and reasoning skills they need to excel at school and beyond.	2	IB Primary Years Programme & Middle Years Programme (IB PYP MYP)	N
2	This school is committed to ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, have		1) PLC: Implementing Essential Structures	E
	the numeracy and literacy skills to prepare them for any path they choose.	4	Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	N
3	This school is committed to ensuring all students feel a sense of belonging and attend school daily.		Implementing an Effective Attendance Team	E
4	This school is committed to aligning and maximizing resources to serve and impact each student's needs.	6	Social Emotional Learning	N

Key Strategy 1:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Using Tools to plan	[Roberts].xlsx	Benedetto

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Based on a district-wide assessment, *Accountable Talk* was identified as a district priority. Therefore, all schools are expected to implement this strategy.

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that accountable talk was observed in walkthroughs 41% of the time. This year we are expanding this strategy to continue PD on accountable talk that directly develops rigorous thinking and discourse.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)										
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)							
Revisit and utilize the common district vocabulary, resources to tools around accountable talk	August, 28 2025	Benedetto	PD People Budget- EOS/SIG							
Prioritize areas of focus and set year-long implementation goals for improving Accountable Talk	August 28, 2025	Benedetto	People time							
Use district provided tools to collect and analyze schoolwide baseline data to identify assets and areas of focus aligned to Accountable Talk	September 3, 2025	Benedetto	People time							

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data									
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:							
10/31	100% of teachers engage in accountable talk (AT) launch professional development for 25-26	100% of staff engaged in BOY training								
10/31	100% of teachers complete AT bridge to practice	100% of teachers complete bridge to practice								
10/31	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits reflects growing trend of opportunities to engage in sense – making discussion	40% of students engaged in accountable talk								

Notes	/Re	flect	ions	/Po	ten	tial	Ac	ljus	tmen	ts t	to I	nf	form	Novem	ber –	Decem	ber	Imp	lemen	tat	ion	Pla	ar
-------	-----	-------	------	-----	-----	------	----	------	------	------	------	----	------	-------	-------	-------	-----	-----	-------	-----	-----	-----	----

Key Strategy 1:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Using Tools to plan	[Roberts].xlsx	Benedetto

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that accountable talk was observed in walkthroughs 41% of the time. This year we are expanding this strategy to continue PD on accountable talk that directly develops rigorous thinking and discourse.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Identify, plan for, and protect time for teachers to	November 1,	Benedetto	People	
collaborate and co-plan the integration of	2025		Time	
Accountable Talk (at least three times a month)				
Use District Provided Tools to collect and analyze	November 1,	Benedetto	People	
school wide data	2025		Time	
Utilize district walkthrough tool to measure student	November 1,	Benedetto	People	
engagement in accountable talk.	2025		Time	
			District tool	

PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER) Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:
12/ 2025	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits	Data reflects a growing trend of lesson objectives and texts and tasks that offer opportunities for rigorous sensemaking. (60%) (Process/Implementation)	
12/2025	Supported planning with a coach utilizing the AT Action Tool at least 1 time per week (Process/Implementation)	100% of teachers engaged in supportive planning based on PLC minutes and notes uploaded in shared drive	
12/2025	Walkthrough data indicates increase in participation and engagement in accountable talk	55% of students engaged in accountable talk	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 1:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Using Tools to plan	[Roberts].xlsx	Benedetto

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that accountable talk was observed in walkthroughs 41% of the time. This year we are expanding this strategy to continue PD on accountable talk that directly develops rigorous thinking and discourse.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Analyze walkthrough data and identify next steps to support teachers	March 1, 2025	Benedetto	PD micro sessions	
Turnkey district micro session PD	January 15, 2025	Benedetto	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)			
	Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
March 2025	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits reflects a growing trend of lesson objectives and texts and tasks that offer opportunities for rigorous sense-making.  (Process/Implementation	70% of students engaged in accountable talk		
March 2025	100% of teachers engaged in supportive planning with a coach utilizing the AT Action Tool at least 3 times per week (Process/Implementation)	Accountable Talk evident in collaborative plans. This will be measured by agenda, planning notes uploaded in PLC folders		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan			

Key Strategy 1:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Accountable Talk: Using Tools to plan	[Roberts].xlsx	Benedetto

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that accountable talk was observed in walkthroughs 41% of the time. This year we are expanding this strategy to continue PD on accountable talk that directly develops rigorous thinking and discourse.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Analyze walkthrough data and identify next steps to support teachers	May 1, 2026	Benedetto	People time	
Turnkey district micro session PD	May 1, 2026	Benedetto	People Time	
Complete Envision & needs Assessment to evaluate accountable implementation to select appropriate next steps	June 1, 2026	Benedetto	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)			
	Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
5/31/26	Walkthrough data collected across	Data reflects a growing trend of lesson		
	multiple classroom visits )	objectives and texts and tasks that		
		offer opportunities for rigorous sense-		
		making. (85%)		
		(Process/Implementation		
5/31/26	Supported planning with a coach	Accountable Talk evident in		
	utilizing the AT Action Tool at least 1	collaborative plans.		
	time per week			
	(Process/Implementation)			
5/31/26	Walkthrough data indicates increase in	85% of students engaged in		
	participation and engagement in	accountable talk		
	accountable talk			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning			

Key Strategy 2:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
IB Primary Years Programme & Middle Years	[Roberts].xlsx	Dowd

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know. IB supports both of these root causes.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р	
Revise and publish IB policies and classroom evidence checklist  Establish expectations for using Learning Goals and Success Criteria in ELA.	August 15, 2025	Dowd	People Time EOS budget		
Schedule PD for learner profile and classroom evidence and communicate minimum expectations for classroom agreements and norms.	September 1, 2025	Dowd	People Time EOS budget		
Approaches to Learning skills are posted, named, and visible	September 1, 2025	Dowd	People Time EOS budget		
Integrate monthly learner profile into CREW/ Second Step lessons	September 1, 2025	Dowd	People Time EOS budget		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
9/4/2025	BOY classroom set up checklist data	100% of classrooms have required elements		
9/1/25	Revised policies shared with staff and published on district website	Updated policies loaded onto website		
9/1/25	Opening days PD schedule	Opening days agenda includes IB indicators		

Notes/Reflections/Potentia	Adjustments to Inform November -	<ul> <li>December Implementation Plan</li> </ul>

Key Strategy 2:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
IB Primary Years Programme & Middle Years	[Roberts].xlsx	Dowd

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know. IB supports both of these root causes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Continuation of using Learning Goals and Success	11/1/25	Dowd	People	
Criteria in ELA and progression through IB checklist			Time	
Evidence of feedback that is explicitly tied to Learning	12/1/25	Dowd	People	
Goal(s) is displayed and/or documented within			Time	
classrooms				

PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)			
	Outcome Data		
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:
11/1/25	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits reflects a	During walkthroughs, we will randomly sample 3 students in the	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan		

Key Strategy 2:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
IB Primary Years Programme & Middle Years	[Roberts].xlsx	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know. IB supports both of these root causes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Monitor expectations for using Learning Goals and	January 1, 2026	Dowd	People	
Success Criteria.			Time	
Evidence of feedback that is explicitly tied to Learning	January 1, 2026	Dowd	People	
Goal(s) is displayed and/or documented.			Time	
Analyze student work as evidence of learning goal	January 1, 2026	Dowd	People	
attainment.			Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)			
	Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
3/15/26	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits reflects a growing trend of transparency of Learning Goals and Success Criteria	During walkthroughs, we will randomly sample 4 students in the class. We expect 3 out of 4 students to be able to articulate the learning		
3/1/26	Data analysis of benchmark assessments (DIBELS, NWEA) compared to 1/2025	80% of students will demonstrate improvement compared to previous benchmark		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan		

Key Strategy 2:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
IB Primary Years Programme & Middle Years	[Roberts].xlsx	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing at 8%. We identified two possible root causes, 1) students are not engaged in rigorous thinking and instruction, 2) students do not have the communication skills to effectively express what they know. IB supports both of these root causes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Monitor expectations for using Learning Goals and	April 1, 2026	Dowd	People	
Success Criteria.			Time	
Evidence of feedback that is explicitly tied to Learning	April 1, 2026	Dowd	People	
Goal(s) is displayed and/or documented.			Time	
Introduce use of Rubrics, checklists, or feedback tools	June1, 2026	Dowd	People	
for utilization in the 2026-27 school year.			Time	

PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:
6/1/26	Walkthrough data collected across multiple classroom visits reflects a growing trend of transparency of Learning Goals and Success Criteria	During walkthroughs, we will randomly sample 5 students in the class. We expect 4 out of 5 students to be able to articulate the learning goal and success criteria	
6/1/26	Assessments for student learning.	Rubrics, checklists, or feedback tools in place for the 2026-27 school year.	
6/1/26	Attain PYP accreditation	January 2026 accreditation	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning			

Key Strategy 3:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
PLC: Implementing Essential Structures	[Roberts].xlsx	Wolfram

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learnwhat was taught.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that only 2 PLTs successfully and regularly completed the 15-day learning cycle. This year we are refining this strategy to continue PLC work to support teachers in knowing what they want students to learn, how will they know they have learned it, what to do next if they did learn in and what to do if they did not. While we attempted to support PLC in 2024-25, our walkthrough data of 41% confirms our approach must be revised/adjusted. Therefore, for 2025-26, we will implement the actions below to revise/adjust/improve our practices

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Establish PLC expert team and re-establish Guiding Coalition	August 1, 2025	Wolfram	People Time EOS	
Facilitate Teams writing agendas & Common formative assessments	September 1, 2025	Wolfram	People Time EOS	
Establish Teacher-led facilitation of PLC sessions and collaborative PD	September 1, 2025	Wolfram	People Time EOS	
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and PLC data analysis.	Monthly	Wolfram	PLC Time, DIBELS probes	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
10/31	Master schedule has a minimum of 3 days dedicated to PLC	100% of staff engaged in PLC planning 3 days a week		
10/31	100% of teams have established norms, shared agenda, meeting minutes, and clearly defined roles.	Norms, agenda, minutes, and roles in PLC folders		
10/31	PLC teams submit evidence of using common assessments	Common Formative assessment data is analyzed using school tool tracker and is uploaded for grade level folder for ELA, Math, 5 <sup>th</sup> grade science, 8 <sup>th</sup> grade Science		
10/31	Data analysis of benchmark assessments (DIBELS, NWEA) compared to 1/2025	100% of classrooms will meet the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile overall for growth on NWEA for ELA and Math		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Investing time in PLC will increase effectiveness in	instructional practice

Key Strategy 3:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
PLC: Implementing Essential Structures	[Roberts].xlsx	Wolfram

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that only 2 PLTs successfully and regularly completed the 15-day learning cycle. This year we are refining this strategy to continue PLC work to support teachers in knowing what they want students to learn, how will they know they have learned it, what to do next if they did learn in and what to do if they did not. While we attempted to support PLC in 2024-25, our walkthrough data of 41% confirms our approach must be revised/adjusted. Therefore, for 2025-26, we will implement the actions below to revise/adjust/improve our practices

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)					
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P	
Analyze Common formative assessments	November 1,	Wolfram	People		
	2025		time		
Peer observation, co-planning and shared reflection	November 1,	Wolfram	People		
	2025		time		
Teacher-led facilitation of PLC sessions and	November 1,	Wolfram	People		
collaborative PD	2025		time		
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and	Monthly	Wolfram	PLC Time, DIBELS probes		
PLC data analysis.					

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)			
		Outcome Data		
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
12/15/25	Formative Assessment document	100% of teams have formative assessment analysis documentation in folders		
12/15/25	Peer observation schedule	100% of teachers completed peer observation		
12/15/25	Formative Assessment Rubric feedback	60% of teachers meet a score of "3" on the formative assessment rubric		
12/15/25	Data analysis of benchmark assessments (DIBELS, NWEA) compared to 1/2025	100% of classrooms will meet the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile overall for growth on NWEA for ELA and Math		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 3:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
PLC: Implementing Essential Structures	[Roberts].xlsx	Wolfram

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that only 2 PLTs successfully and regularly completed the 15-day learning cycle. This year we are refining this strategy to continue PLC work to support teachers in knowing what they want students to learn, how will they know they have learned it, what to do next if they did learn in and what to do if they did not. While we attempted to support PLC in 2024-25, our walkthrough data of 41% confirms our approach must be revised/adjusted. Therefore, for 2025-26, we will implement the actions below to revise/adjust/improve our practices

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P	
Analyze Common formative assessments	January 1, 2026	Wolfram	People time		
Peer observation and classrooms visitation	January 1, 2026	Wolfram	People time		
Teacher-led facilitation of PLC sessions	January1, 2026	Wolfram	People Time		
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and PLC data analysis.	Monthly	Wolfram	PLC Time, DIBELS probes		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)  Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
3/15/26	Formative Assessment document	100% of teams have formative assessment analysis documentation in folders			
3/15/26	Peer observation schedule	75% of teachers grew in peer observation rubric feedback			
3/15/26	Formative Assessment Rubric feedback	85% of teachers meet a score of "3" on the formative assessment rubric			
3/15/26	Data analysis of benchmark assessments (DIBELS, NWEA) compared to 1/2025	100% of classrooms will meet the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile overall for growth on NWEA for ELA and Math			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan	

Academic Commitment 2 – Strategy 3	19	January - March

Key Strategy 3:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
PLC: Implementing Essential Structures	[Roberts].xlsx	Wolfram

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that only 2 PLTs successfully and regularly completed the 15-day learning cycle. This year we are refining this strategy to continue PLC work to support teachers in knowing what they want students to learn, how will they know they have learned it, what to do next if they did learn in and what to do if they did not. While we attempted to support PLC in 2024-25, our walkthrough data of 41% confirms our approach must be revised/adjusted. Therefore, for 2025-26, we will implement the actions below to revise/adjust/improve our practices

IMPLEMENTA	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P	
Analyze Common Formative Assessments	April 1, 2026	Wolfram	People time		
Peer observation classroom visitation	April 1, 2026	Wolfram	People time		
Teacher-led facilitation of PLC sessions and collaborative PD	April 1, 2026	Wolfram	People time		
Execute Kindergarten DIBELS progress monitoring and PLC data analysis.	Monthly	Wolfram	PLC Time, DIBELS probes		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
6/1/26	Formative Assessment document	100% of teams have formative assessment analysis documentation in folders			
6/1/26	Peer observation schedule	85% of teachers grew in peer observation rubric feedback			
6/15/26	Formative Assessment Rubric feedback	95% of teachers meet a score of "3" on the formative assessment rubric			
6/15/26	Data analysis of benchmark assessments (DIBELS, NWEA) compared to 1/2025	100% of classrooms will meet the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile overall for growth on NWEA for ELA and Math			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning

.1		

Key Strategy 4:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	[Roberts].xlsx	Constantino

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. This is a new key strategy.

IMPLEMENTATIO	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р	
Schedule Formative Assessment PD	August 1, 2025	Constantino	People		
			Time		
			EOS		
Clearly communicate the vision, expectations, and	September 1,	Constantino	People		
guidelines for Targeted small group instruction	2025		Time		
			EOS		
Schedule PD for Differentiated instruction	August 1, 2025	Constantino	People		
			Time		
			EOS		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
10/31/25	Schedule of teacher collaboration time	100% of teachers participated in collaborative planning			
10/31/25	Schedule of Formative Assessment, Targeted small group, and differentiation PD	100% of teachers attend all scheduled PD			
10/31/25	Data analysis of benchmark assessments (DIBELS, NWEA) compared to 6/2025	80% of students will demonstrate improvement compared to previous benchmark  Number of Level 1's will decrease 5% in ELA and Math from 6/2025			

#### Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Teachers will be establishing systems, routines and procedures at this time to help support regular formative assessment and progress monitoring.

Key Strategy 4:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	[Roberts].xlsx	Constantino

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Develop walkthrough tool with Tier II indicators	11/1/25	Constantino	People Time EOS	
Establish coaching feedback cycles around targeted small group instruction.	11/1/25	Constantino	People Time EOS	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)				
	Outcome Data				
Date	Progress Indicators	What we actually saw:			
12/1/25	Schedule of walkthroughs and data	70% of all walkthroughs will indicate			
	from walkthroughs	effective Tier II intervention			
12/1/25	725 Targeted small group coaching cycles. 100% completion of targeted small				
		group coaching cycles			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 4:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	[Roberts].xlsx	Constantino

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY - MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Revise walkthrough tool with Tier II indicators based	1/1/26	Constantino	People	
on walkthrough data			Time	
Continue coaching feedback cycles around targeted	1/1/26	Constantino	People	
small group instruction.			Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)				
		Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
1/1/26	Schedule of walkthroughs and data	85% of all walkthroughs will indicate			
	from walkthroughs	effective Tier II intervention			
3/15/26	100% completion of targeted small	100% completion of targeted small			
	group coaching cycles.	group coaching cycles			
3/15/26	Data analysis of benchmark	80% of students will demonstrate			
	assessments (DIBELS, NWEA)	improvement compared to previous			
	compared to 1/2025	benchmark			
		Number of Level 1's will decrease 5%			
		in ELA and Math from 6/2025			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan			

Key Strategy 4:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Tier I or Tier II Intervention Practices	[Roberts].xlsx	Constantino

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our walkthrough data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) teachers are not clearly articulating what students need to learn 2) teachers do not have a clear idea how to intervene if they do not learn what was taught.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Revise walkthrough tool with Tier II indicators based on walkthrough data	4/1/26	Constantino	People time	
Continue coaching feedback cycles around targeted small group instruction.	4/1/26	Constantino	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)					
	Outcome Data					
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:			
6/1/26	Schedule of walkthroughs and data	95% of all walkthroughs will indicate				
	from walkthroughs	effective Tier II intervention				
6/1/26	100% completion of targeted small	100% completion of targeted small				
	group coaching cycles.	group coaching cycles				
6/1/26	Data analysis of benchmark	80% of students will demonstrate				
	assessments (DIBELS, NWEA)	improvement compared to previous				
	compared to 1/2025	benchmark				

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning				

Key Strategy 5:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Implementing an effective Attendance Team	[Roberts].xlsx	Phinney

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our attendance data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) 32% of students are chronically absent 2)

IMPLEMENTATIO	N PLAN (AUGUS	T – OCTOBER)		
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Contact all families whose students had poor attendance for the previous year in hopes of determining the reason for the absences.	8/1/25	Phinney	People Time EOS	
Provide teachers with a step-by-step protocol of addressing absences.	8/15/ 2025	Phinney	People Time EOS	
Create and provide a daily report to attendance team of students with names of students who have attendance concerns	September 15, 2025	Phinney	People Time EOS	
Define roles for each team member, including admin directly contacting staff who have not taken attendance	September 1, 2025	Phinney	People Time EOS	

PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data					
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
9/30/25	Regular communication about students with >3 absences	100% of SIT Meeting agendas will include names of students that were referred to the attendance team due to absences			
9/30/25	Daily monitoring of attendance-by- attendance team	Decreases in absences and increase of effectiveness of attendance team 10% decrease in absence as compared to same time last year			
9/30/25	Improved attendance compared to this marking period last year	Increase in student attendance and accurate attendance daily by 10% compared to 2024			

Notes/	Reflecti	ions/I	Potential <i>F</i>	۱dj	ustments to In	form l	lovemb	er – [	Decem	ber l	mp	lement	ati	on F	Plan
--------	----------	--------	--------------------	-----	----------------	--------	--------	--------	-------	-------	----	--------	-----	------	------

Key Strategy 5:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Implementing an effective Attendance Team	[Roberts].xlsx	Phinney

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our attendance data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) 32% of students are chronically absent 2)

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)								
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р				
Create and provide a daily report to attendance team of students with names of students who have attendance concerns for the quarter	11/1/25	Phinney	People Time					
Schedule daily attendance team meeting at 10:00 am for the quarter	11/1/25	Phinney	People Time					
Schedule quarterly Data meeting to compare CA 2024 with CA 2025	11/1/25	Phinney	People Time					

PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER) Outcome Data					
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:		
12/15/25	Regular communication about students with >5 absences	100% of SIT Meeting agendas will include names of students that were referred to the attendance team due to absences			
12/15/25	Daily monitoring of attendance by the attendance team	Decreases in absences and increase of effectiveness of attendance team 15% decrease in absence as compared to same time last year			
12/15/25	Improved attendance compared to this marking period last year	Increase in student attendance and accurate attendance daily by 15% compared to 2024			

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 5:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Implementing an effective Attendance Team	[Roberts].xlsx	

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our attendance data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) 32% of students are chronically absent 2)

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Create and provide a daily report to attendance team of students with names of students who have attendance concerns for the quarter	1/1/26	Phinney	People time	
Schedule daily attendance team meeting at 10:00 am for the quarter	1/1/26	Phinney	People Time	
Schedule quarterly Data meeting to compare CA 2023 with CA 2024	1/126	Phinney	People Time	

PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH) Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:
3/15/25	Regular communication about students with >7 absences	100% of SIT Meeting agendas will include names of students that were referred to the attendance team due to absences	
3/15/25	Daily monitoring of attendance by the attendance team	Decreases in absences and increase of effectiveness of attendance team 10% decrease in absence as compared to same time last year	
3/15/25	Improved attendance compared to this Marking period last year	Increase in student attendance and accurate attendance daily by 10% compared to 2024	

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan		

Key Strategy 5:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
Implementing an effective Attendance Team	[Roberts].xlsx	Phinney

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our attendance data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) 32% of students are chronically absent 2)

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL – JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Create and provide a daily report to attendance team of students with names of students who have attendance concerns for the quarter	4/1/26	Phinney	People Time	
Schedule daily attendance team meeting at 10:00 am for the quarter	4/1/26	Phinney	People Time	
Improved attendance compared to this Marking period last year	4/1/26	Phinney	People Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE) Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
6/1/26	Regular communication about students with >10 absences	100% of SIT Meeting agendas will include names of students that were referred to the attendance team due to absences		
6/1/26	Daily monitoring of attendance by the attendance team	Decreases in absences and increase of effectiveness of attendance team 25% decrease in absence as compared to same time last year		
6/1/26	Improved attendance compared to this Marking period last year	Increase in student attendance and accurate attendance daily by 25% compared to 2024		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning			

Key Strategy 6:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
SEL	[Roberts].xlsx	Thompson

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our SEL data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) Second step curriculum was only used 49% of the time grades k-5 2) CREW was being implemented with fidelity 16% of the time in grades 6-8.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that 49% of our K-5 teachers and 16% of our 6-8 teachers implemented SEL curriculum with fidelity. This year, we will work collaboratively to develop SEL lessons and plans to support implementation with fidelity.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (AUGUST – OCTOBER)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	P
Create Schedule that allows for daily SEL for students grades Prek-8	8/1/25	Thompson	People Time	
Communicate minimum expectations for teachers and SEL- CFREW and Second Step	9/1/25	Thompson	People Time EOS	
Create schedule that allows for weekly staff CREW grades 6-8	9/1/25	Thompson	People Time	
Create CREW/SEL committee structure	8/1/25	Thompson	People Time EOS	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (AUGUST – OCTOBER)  Implementation/Outcome Data			
Date	Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see?			
8/1/25	Master schedule that indicates SEL time	100% of staff and students engaged in SEL during the scheduled time		
9/1/26	BOY PD & agenda communicating SEL expectations	100% teacher attendance and participation in PD		
10/1/26	Middle school structure with staff crew scheduled weekly	100% of middle school staff attending staff crew		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform November – December Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 6:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
SEL	[Roberts].xlsx	Thompson

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our SEL data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) Second step curriculum was only used 49% of the time grades k-5 2)CREW was being implemented with fidelity 16% of the time in grades 6-8.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that 49% of our K-5 teachers and 16% of our 6-8 teachers implemented SEL curriculum with fidelity. This year, we will work collaboratively to develop SEL lessons and plans to support implementation with fidelity.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)					
Essential Action Steps Timeline Person(s) Resource Alignment					
(Begin with a verb)		Responsible	( <i>PD, Budget</i> , People, Time, etc.)		
Establish CREW committee that meets weekly	11/1/25	Thompson	People		
			Time		
Develop walkthrough tool and schedule for SEL prek-	11/1/25	Thompson	People		
8			Time		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (NOVEMBER – DECEMBER)			
	Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
11/1/25	Meeting agendas from CREW	100% of CREW committee members		
	committee meeting weekly			
11/1/26	Walkthrough data analysis	55% of CREWS meeting walkthrough		
		metrics		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform January – March Implementation Plan

Key Strategy 6:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
SEL	[Roberts].xlsx	Thompson

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our SEL data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) Second step curriculum was only used 49% of the time grades k-5 2)CREW was being implemented with fidelity 16% of the time in grades 6-8.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy Last year we measured that 49% of our K-5 teachers and 16% of our 6-8 teachers implemented SEL curriculum with fidelity. This year, we will work collaboratively to develop SEL lessons and plans to support implementation with fidelity.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (JANUARY – MARCH)					
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)		
Revisit and revise CREW committee goals	1/1/26	Thompson	People Time		
Analyze SEL walkthrough data and Revise SEL walkthrough tool	1/1/26	Thompson	People Time		

	PROGRESS MONITORING (JANUARY – MARCH)			
	Outcome Data			
Date	Progress Indicators	What do we hope to see?	What we actually saw:	
3/1/26	Meeting agendas from CREW	100% of CREW committee members		
	committee revisions meeting weekly			
3/1/26	Walkthrough data analysis	70% of CREWS meeting walkthrough		
		metrics		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform April – June Implementation Plan		

Key Strategy 6:	SY2526 PD Plan	School Lead:
SEL	[Roberts].xlsx	Thompson

What did we learn from our needs assessment that suggests this is the right Key Strategy and will have a positive impact on students? *Consider both data trends observed and student interview responses.* 

Throughout our Needs Assessment we found that our NWEA ELA results were stagnant at 12% and our math NWEA results were regressing to 8%. Using our SEL data, we identified two possible root causes, 1) Second step curriculum was only used 49% of the time grades k-5 2) CREW was being implemented with fidelity 16% of the time in grades 6-8.

If this is not a new key strategy, provide 1-2 sentences on how the school will expand or refine the key strategy. Last year we measured that 49% of our K-5 teachers and 16% of our 6-8 teachers implemented SEL curriculum with fidelity. This year, we will work collaboratively to develop SEL lessons and plans to support implementation with fidelity.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (APRIL-JUNE)				
Essential Action Steps (Begin with a verb)	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Resource Alignment (PD, Budget, People, Time, etc.)	Р
Revisit and revise CREW committee goals	May 1, 2025	Thompson	People	
And draft goals for 26-27  Analyze SEL walkthrough data and Revise SEL	May 1, 2025	Thompson	Time People	
walkthrough tool for 26-27 school year	14104 1, 2023	1110111193011	Time	

	PROGRESS MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE)			
	Outcome Data			
Date	Date Progress Indicators What do we hope to see? What we actually saw			
6/1/26	Walkthrough data analysis	85% of CREWS meeting walkthrough metrics		
6/1/26	Crew committee develops SEL walkthrough tool based on 25-26 data	Draft of SEL walkthrough tool for 26- 27		

Notes/Reflections/Potential Adjustments to Inform 2026-27 Planning		

## **END OF YEAR SURVEY**

The following questions and responses will be used as feedback on the school's progress toward each commitment.

	Staff Survey Questions	2024-25	2025-26	Actual
	(Likert Scale: Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree)	Results	Desired Results	Results
1	The school's SCEP was communicated to all staff members and staff members understood it.	88% favorable	90% favorable	
2	This year, the school's SLT continually focused on and monitored the school's SCEP.	71% favorable	80% Favorable	
3	It was evident that our school focused on ensuring that all students, especially our diverse learners, develop critical thinking and reasoning skills.	81% favorable	85% Favorable	
4	The school's strategies related to critical thinking and reasoning had a positive impact on student learning.	74% favorable	80% Favorable	
5	It was evident that our school focused on numeracy and literacy.	88% favorable	90% favorable	
6	The school's strategies related to numeracy and literacy had a positive impact on student learning.	74% favorable	80% Favorable	
7	It was evident that our school focused on students feeling a sense of belonging and daily school attendance.	90% favorable	95% Favorable	
8	The school's strategies related to sense of belonging and student attendance had a positive impact.	79% favorable	85% Favorable	
9	It was evident that our school attempted to align and maximize resources to serve each student's needs.	68% favorable	75% Favorable	
10	The school's strategies related to aligning and maximizing resources for each student's needs had a positive impact.	64% favorable	75% Favorable	

	Student Survey Questions (From Spring District Climate Survey)	2024-25 Results	2025-26 Desired Results	Actual Results
1	How often do your teachers seem excited to be teaching your class? (SC4)	35% favorable	45%	
2	How often are people disrespectful to others at your school? (SC1)	15% favorable	25%	
3	How often do students get into physical fights at your school? (SC2)	25% favorable	35%	
4	How likely is it that someone from your school will bully you online? (SC3)	65% favorable	75%	
5	How often do you worry about violence at your school? (SC4)	40% favorable	50%	
6	If a student is bullied in school, how difficult is it for him/her to get help from an adult? (SC5)	49% favorable	60%	
7	How much support do the adults at your school give you? (SB2)	49% favorable	60%	
8	Overall, how much do you feel like you belong at your school? (SB4)	48% favorable	60%	
9	How excited would you be to have your teacher again? (TSR1)	43% favorable	55%	

10	How respectful is your teacher towards you? (TSR4)	75%	

	Family Survey Questions	2024-25	2025-26	Actual
	(From Spring Climate Survey)	Results	Desired Results	Results
1	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved with your child's current school: The school provides little information about involvement opportunities? (BE7)	47% favorable	55%	
2	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved with your child's current school: The School is not welcoming to students? (BE8)	50% favorable	60%	
3	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved with your child's current school: The school does not communicate well with people from your culture? (BE9)	40% favorable	50%	
4	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involve with your child's current school: You do not feel a sense of belonging with your child's school community? (BE10)	50 % favorable	60%	
5	How big of a problem is the following issue for becoming involved with your child's current school: You worry that adults at the school will treat your child differently if you raise a concern? (BE13)	40% favorable	50%	
6	To what extent do you think that children enjoy going to your child's school? (SC1)	50% favorable	60%	
7	How motivating are the classroom lessons at your child's school? (SC2)	20% favorable	30%	
8	How well do administrators at your child's school create a school environment that helps children learn? (SC5)	53% favorable	65%	
9	Overall, how much respect do you think the children at your child's school have for the staff? (SC6)	55% favorable	65%	
10	Overall, how much respect do you think the teachers at your child's school have for the children? (SC7)	55% favorable	65%	

#### **EVIDENCE-BASED INTERVENTION**

All key strategies pursued by schools should be rooted in evidence. All schools must implement at least one evidence-based intervention that meets the criteria of a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 evidence-based intervention under ESSA. More information can be found at: http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/evidence-based-interventions.

School teams should indicate **all** of the evidence-based interventions that meet the federal definition that will be pursued next year by placing an "X" in the corresponding box below. Schools that adopt the State-Supported Evidence-Based Intervention **under the parameters outlined** at: <a href="https://www.nysed.gov/accountability/state-supported-evidence-based-strategies">https://www.nysed.gov/accountability/state-supported-evidence-based-strategies</a> will fulfil the evidence-based intervention requirement.

State-Supported Evidence-Based Interventions	x
Align High School and College Courses to Increase Post-Secondary	
<u>Transition Outcomes</u> Community Schools	
Elementary School Looping	
Establish an Early Warning Intervention and Monitoring System	
<u>Evidence-Based Instructional Methods</u>	
Expanding access to high-quality Out-of-School-Time programs	
High-Quality Instructional Materials	
High-Quality Tutoring	
Incoming Student Induction Programs and Summer Bridge Programs	
Instructional Coaching	
Middle School Flexible Scheduling	
Multi-Tiered System of Supports – Integrated (MTSS-I)	
Ongoing Job-Embedded Professional Development	
Principal Leadership Development	
Professional Learning Communities	Х
Restorative Practices	

#### **SCEP DEVELOPMENT TEAM PARTICIPATION**

In the first two columns, identify the members of the SCEP team and their role (e.g., teacher, assistant principal, parent). In the rest of columns, indicate that team member's participation in each of the activities by identifying the date that person participated in that activity OR leaving the space blank if the person did not participate in that activity.

Name	Role	Orientation to School Teams (required for new TSI)	Envision: Exploring the Vision, Values and Aspirations	Analyze: Internal and External Data	Analyze: Survey Data	Listen: Student Interviews	Envision: Reflect, Synthesize and Plan	Plan Writing and Revision
Example: Mary James	ELL Teacher	3/5	3/12	3/19		4/10, 4/11	4/17	5/2, 5/9, 5/16
Sharon Archer	Principal	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Lindsay Thompson	Vice Principal	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Jenniffer Benedetto	Vice Principal	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Kelly Wolfram	Instructional Coach	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Lacey Dowd	IB PYP Coordinator	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Christopher Constantino	IB MYP Coordinator	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28, 6/2 6/4, 6/9, 6/10, 6/11
Phillip Madvek	Science 8	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28
Annmarie Jones	AIS/STA	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28
Marissa Mims	CTE/DEB	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28
Alicia Whitmore	Social Studies 8/DEB	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28
Cindi Metallo	ELA AIS	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28

**SCEP Development Team** 

Kathy Doner	ELA	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26, 5/28
Amy Phinney	Social Worker	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28
Leigh Sexton	Social Worker	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28
Erin Earnestine	Social Worker Assistant	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28
Zona Williams	Parent	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26
Candi Edwards	Parent	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11		5/19	5/26
Michelle Terpening	Grade 3	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28
Alea Amyot	Kindergarten CT	2/28	3/17	3/28	4/11	5/12, 5/13	5/19	5/26, 5/28

#### **LEARNING AS A TEAM**

Directions: After completing the previous sections, the team should complete the reflective prompt below.

#### **Student Interviews**

Describe how the Student Interview process informed the team's plan.

The SCEP team created several heterogenous student focus groups and asked questions based on our spring 2025 climate data. Once responses were collected and coded, the SCEP committee found 3 dominant themes: relationships with staff, relationships with other students, and needing academic help.

We were able to weave these themes throughout our plan. *Relationships with staff and Relationships with Students* directly connects to our SEL key strategy and indirectly effects our Attendance Key Strategy. The theme *Needing Academic Help* directly ties to Our Tier I and Tier II key strategy and to our IB Key Strategy.

#### Schools in the ATSI and TSI model only

#### **Subgroup Spotlight**

Describe how the team has determined that the strategies in this plan are likely to result in improved subgroup performance for the subgroup(s) for which the school has been identified.

#### TO BE COMPLETED ONLY BY SCHOOLS IN THE CSI, ATSI, OR TSI SUPPORT MODEL

## 2025-26 School Improvement Grant Expenditure Plan

District	School Name	<b>Grades Served</b>
Syracuse City School District	Roberts PreK-8	PreK-8

## School-Level SIG Expenditure Plan

#### Instructions

After the School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP) has been finalized, representatives from the school should work with representatives of the district to determine how best to leverage Title I, 1003 School Improvement Grant (SIG) funding to implement the Key Strategies outlined.

This template will need to be attached to the SCEP and submitted as one document in the Business Portal as part of the SIG application process.

There are four different types of expenses that can be included:

- 1. Instructional Key Strategies identified through the SCEP
- 2. Non-Instructional Key Strategies identified through the SCEP
- 3. Plan Monitoring
- 4. Plan Development expenses for 2026-27

#### Plan Monitoring and Development

The team that drafted the plan should anticipate reconvening at least twice during the 2025-26 school year to discuss implementation and review Early Progress Milestone and Mid-Year Benchmark data.

The school should also anticipate having a team come together in Spring 2026 to conduct a five-part needs assessment in conjunction with the development of its 2026-27 school plan.

#### Budget Code

In the "Budget Code" category, enter the FS-10 budget code. The following are Budget Codes used for this grant. Any Code 80 (Employee Benefits) and Code 90 (Indirect Cost) expenses do not need to be referenced here but will need to be included on the FS-10.

Code 15: Professional Salaries

Code 16: Support Staff Salaries

Code 20: Equipment

Code 40: Purchased Services

Code 45: Supplies and Materials

Code 46: Travel

Code 49: BOCES Services

#### Expenses That Go Across Key Strategies

A school may have a single expense that covers multiple key strategies. For those situations, the expense can be referenced in multiple categories, but the amount of the expense should only be inserted into the "Full Cost" column the first time the expense appears.

## Key Strategy Implementation

# KEY STRATEGY 1- Accountable Talk

Expense	Evidence-Based Intervention Professional Learning Communities Category	Budget Code	Full Cost
Supplemental instructional supplies and materials to support teachers in implementing accountable talk practices	High-Quality Instructional Materials	Code 45	\$6,000
	TOTAL AM	OUNT FOR THIS KEY STRATEGY	\$6,000

## KEY STRATEGY 2 IB MYP/PYP

KET STRATEGI Z ID MITT/TTI			
Expense	Evidence-Based Intervention Category	Budget Code	Full Cost
Extension of service for teachers to extend IB unit planning with a focus on data analysis and action planning for targeted students (50 teachers x 20 hours/ teacher @ \$33/hour)	Professional Development through Active, Practice- Based Learning (Pedagogies of Enactment) OR Ongoing Job-Embedded Professional Development	Code 15	\$33,000
	TOTAL AM	OUNT FOR THIS KEY STRATEGY	\$33,000

## **KEY STRATEGY 3 PLC**

Expense	Evidence-Based Intervention Category	Budget Code	Full Cost
Extension of service for teachers to extend PLC with a focus on data analysis and action planning for targeted students (50 teachers x 20 hours/ teacher @ \$33/hour)	Professional Learning Communities	Code 15	33,000

TOTAL AM	OUNT FOR THIS KEY STRATEGY	¢33 000

## KEY STRATEGY 4 Tier II

KLI SIKAILGI 4 HEI II			
Expense	Evidence-Based Intervention Category	Budget Code	Full Cost
Extension of service for planning for Teir II instructional support for grades 3, 4, and 5 (1 teacher X 3 hours/week x 40 weeks @\$ 33/ hour)	Instructional Coaching	Code 15	\$3,960
Attend ICG TLC 2025 in Phoenix , AZ to learn effective coaching strategies and coaching cycles (5 staff members)	Instructional Coaching	Code 46	\$20,000
Attend RTI at work Solution Tree Conference in Syracuse NY to learn strategies to close gaps in learning(15 staff members) @ \$769/ staff member	Professional Development through Active, Practice- Based Learning (Pedagogies of Enactment) OR Ongoing Job-Embedded Professional Development	Code 40	\$11,535
Extension of service hours for teachers to provide academic tutoring throughout the academic year (10 teachers x 100 hours/ teacher@\$33/ hour)	Expanding access to high- quality Out-of-School-Time programs	Code 15	\$13,000
	TOTAL AM	OUNT FOR THIS KEY STRATEGY	\$48,495

KEV	CTD	ΛТ	EC	<b>/</b> [

KEI SINAILUI S			
Expense	Evidence-Based Intervention Category	Budget Code	Full Cost
Extension of service for teachers and staff to engage in creating an affirming, welcoming environment.  10 teachers x 100 hours/teacher @ \$33/ hour	Other	Code 15	\$33,000
Extension of service for teachers and staff to engage in student attendance data	Other	Code 15	\$3,300

hours/ teacher @ \$33/ hour	TOTAL AM	OUNT FOR THIS KEY STRATEGY	\$36,300
analysis and develop strategies to improve individual student attendance/ 5 teachers x 20			

KEY STRATEGY 6			
Expense	Evidence-Based Intervention Category		Full Cost
Extension of service for teachers and staff to enhance CREW & Second step lessons to include an IB lens 5 teachers x 20 hours/ teacher @ \$33/ hour	Other	Code 15	\$3,300
TOTAL AMOUNT FOR THIS KEY STRATEGY			\$3,300

Plan Monitoring Expenses			
Expense	Evidence-Based Intervention Category	Budget Code	Full Cost
Extension of service for SLT	Plan Monitoring	Code 15	
members to plan , monitor , and			\$10,000
update the school's SCEP			
	Plan Monitoring		
TOTAL AMOUNT FOR PLAN MONITORING \$10,000			

# 2026-27 Plan Development Expenses

Expense	Evidence-Based Intervention Category	Budget Code	Full Cost
Extension of service for School Leadership Team (SLT) members to develop the 2026- 27 SCEP	Plan Development	Code 15	\$9, 852
	Plan Development		
	Plan Development		

Plan Development Plan Development		
TOTAL AMOUNT FOR 2026-27 PLAN DEVELOPMENT		\$9.852